



*The Abdus Salam
International Centre for Theoretical Physics*



1951-12

Workshop on the original of P, CP and T Violation

2 - 5 July 2008

From NMSGUT to LHC

AULAKH Charanjit Singh
*Panjab University
Department of Physics
160 014 Chandigarh
INDIA*

Pinning NMSGUT at LHC

C. S. Aulakh

Panjab Univeristy & IISER(Mohali)
Chandigarh,INDIA

SUMIT GARG ,

HEP-TH0807...

- MSGUTs basics and a brief History of Fits
- NMSGUT Formulae and their necessity
- Numerical searches for NMSGUT parameter based fits
- M_S Threshold corrections and Structure of Fits
- $RRRR \Delta B \neq 0$
- Outlook : Imminent Falsification or apotheosis?

VIRTUES OF SO(10) UNIFICATION

- $\{Q_L, L_L, u_L^c, d_L^c, l_L^c \oplus \nu_L^c\} \equiv 16$: Tight and complete !
- $SO(10) \ni CP \equiv DParity : f \Leftrightarrow f_L^c \Rightarrow$ BEST LOCUS FOR DEEP INSIGHT INTO CP VIOLATION !

- NATURAL HOME TO BOTH SEESAWS

$$\vec{\Delta}_R(1, 3, -2), \vec{\Delta}_L(3, 1, 2) \subset \overline{126}$$

- FM Higgs

$$16 \otimes 16 = 10 \oplus 120 \oplus 126 \Rightarrow 16 \cdot 16 \cdot (10 + 120 + \overline{126})$$

- SO(10) AM Higgs (**R-PARITY PROTECTED! LSP STABLE**):
 $45 \oplus 54 \oplus 126 \oplus \overline{126}$ OR $210 \oplus 126 \oplus \overline{126} \Rightarrow MSGUT$

Guts OF MSGUT

- **AM Higgs** : $\langle \mathbf{210}(\Phi_{ijkl}), \overline{\mathbf{126}}(\overline{\Sigma}_{ijklm}) \mathbf{126} \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $Susy SO(10) \longrightarrow MSSM$

- **Superpotential**

$$\begin{aligned}
 W = & m \mathbf{210}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{210}^3 + M \mathbf{126} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{126}} + \eta \mathbf{210} \cdot \mathbf{126} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{126}} \\
 & + 10 \cdot \mathbf{210}(\gamma \mathbf{126} + \bar{\gamma} \overline{\mathbf{126}}) \\
 & + M_H \mathbf{10}^2 + h_{AB} \mathbf{16}_A \cdot \mathbf{16}_B + f'_{AB} \mathbf{16}_A \mathbf{16}_B
 \end{aligned}$$

Superpotential Parameters : (**25**) **Minimal !!** [ABMSV\(2003\)](#)

- **GUT scale VEVs** : $SO(10) \rightarrow MSSM$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (15, 1, 1) \rangle_{\mathbf{210}} & : a & \langle (15, 1, 3) \rangle_{\mathbf{210}} & : \omega \\
 \langle (1, 1, 1) \rangle_{\mathbf{210}} & : p \\
 \langle (10, 1, 3) \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{126}}} & : \bar{\sigma} \quad ; \quad \langle (\overline{10}, 1, 3) \rangle_{\mathbf{126}} & : \sigma
 \end{aligned}$$

- D Terms : $|\sigma| = |\bar{\sigma}|$

- F Terms :SSB completely analyzable !!4 eqns $\Rightarrow$ Cubic in $x = -\lambda\omega/m : \xi = \frac{\lambda M}{\eta m}$. (ABMSV 2003)
 $8x^3 - 15x^2 + 14x - 3 = -\xi(1-x)^2$
- Units : $\frac{m}{\lambda}$
 $\tilde{a} = \frac{(x^2+2x-1)}{(1-x)} \quad ; \quad \tilde{p} = \frac{x(5x^2-1)}{(1-x)^2} \quad ; \quad \tilde{\sigma}\tilde{\bar{\sigma}} = \frac{2}{\eta} \frac{\lambda x(1-3x)(1+x^2)}{(1-x)^2}$
- Chiral GUT scale spectra : 52 MSSM multiplet sets,
26 MSSM types : 18 unmixed , 8 mixed : 504 Fields
CSA, Girdhar(2003) ; Fukuyama, Ilakovac, Kikuchi, Mejanac,
Okada (2004), BMSV (2004), CSA Girdhar(2004)
Complete gauge, spinor couplings , Clebsches : CSA Girdhar
(2002) (2004)
- Spectra $\Rightarrow$ RG including Threshold effects etc.CSA, Girdhar
(2004), NMSGUT (WITH COMPLETE FERMION
MASS, $d = 5, \Delta B \neq 0$ FORMULAE)!!!!!! CSA, Garg(2005/6)

Light Doublets and $m_{q,l}$

- Doublet Masses CSA, Girdhar (2003) : **10, $\overline{126}$, 126, 210**

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -M_H & +\bar{\gamma}\sqrt{3}(\omega - a) & -\gamma\sqrt{3}(\omega + a) & -\bar{\gamma}\bar{\sigma} \\ -\bar{\gamma}\sqrt{3}(\omega + a) & 0 & -(2M + 4\eta(a + \omega)) & 0 \\ \gamma\sqrt{3}(\omega - a) & -(2M + 4\eta(a - \omega)) & 0 & -2\eta\bar{\sigma}\sqrt{3} \\ -\sigma\gamma & -2\eta\sigma\sqrt{3} & 0 & -2m + 6\lambda(\omega - a) \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\bar{U}^T \mathcal{H} U = Dg(m_H^{(1)}, m_H^{(2)}, ..); \quad h^{(i)} = U_{ij} H^{(j)} \quad ; \quad \bar{h}^{(i)} = \bar{U}_{ij} \bar{H}^{(j)}$
- Fine Tune $M_H \Leftarrow Det \mathcal{H} = 0 \Rightarrow$ Light Doublets $H^{(1)}, \bar{H}^{(1)}$

$$E \ll M_X \quad : \quad \begin{aligned} h^{(i)} &\rightarrow \alpha_i H^{(1)} \quad ; \quad \alpha_i = U_{i1} \\ \bar{h}^{(i)} &\rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_i \bar{H}^{(1)} \quad ; \quad \bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{U}_{i1} \end{aligned}$$

- $\mathcal{H}\alpha = 0 \quad ; \quad \bar{\alpha}^T \mathcal{H} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \alpha, \bar{\alpha}.$

(ABMSV(2003), BMSV(2004), **NMSGUT** (6×6)

CSA, Garg(2006))

FERMION MASSES IN SO(10)

- Data for GUT To Explain :

Measured(17) : $m_{q,l}, \theta_i^{CKM}, \delta^{CKM}, \Delta m_\nu^2, \theta_{12,23}^{PMNS}$

Bounded: $\theta_{13}^{PMNS} < .15$

- Awaited (4) : $M_\nu, \delta^{PMNS}, \alpha_{1,2}^{PMNS}$

- Yukawa couplings : $h = h^T, f = f^T, g = -g^T$

$$W = \mathbf{16}_A \times \mathbf{16}_B \cdot (h_{AB} \mathbf{10} + f_{AB} \overline{\mathbf{126}} + g_{AB} \mathbf{120})$$

- MSGUT : only $\mathbf{10} + \overline{\mathbf{126}}$ Dirac masses at $M_X(\hat{h}, \hat{f})$ explicit formulae need 16×16 clebsches CSA, GIRDHAR(2004,5), null eigenvectors of $\mathcal{H}$ CSA, BAJC, MELFO, SENJANOVIC, VISSANI ; CSA, GIRDHAR(2005)

$$m^u = v(\hat{h} + \hat{f})$$

$$m^\nu = v(\hat{h} - 3\hat{f})$$

$$m^d = v(r_1 \hat{h} + r_2 \hat{f})$$

$$m^l = v(r_1 \hat{h} - 3r_2 \hat{f})$$

- Seesaw masses :

$$\begin{aligned} M_\nu^I &= vr_4 \hat{n} \\ M_\nu^{II} &= 2vr_3 \hat{f} \\ \hat{n} &= (\hat{h} - 3\hat{f})\hat{f}^{-1}(\hat{h} - 3\hat{f}) \end{aligned}$$

- Babu and Mohapatra (1992): $\mathbf{10} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{126}} \Leftrightarrow m_{q,l} \Rightarrow$
Predictive in the Neutrino Sector ?! : failure (1992,93,94..)
- Matsuda, Koide , Fukuyama, Nishiura (2002): Successful Type I , large θ^{PMNS} fit. Requires CP violation!

Type II: BM -BSV FITTING FRENZY

- Bajc, Senjanovic, Vissani (2003) Large PMNS mixing angle
 $b - \tau$ unification connection

$$M_\nu^{II} \sim f < \Delta_L > \sim (M_d - M_l) \sim m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & \epsilon^2 \\ \epsilon^2 & \frac{(m_b - m_\tau)}{m_\tau} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{MSSM} : m_b \simeq m_\tau(M_X) \Rightarrow \theta_{23}^{PMNS} \simeq 1$$

- Goh Mohapatra Ng : Type II : 3 generations , Real/Complex :
Good Fits except $\delta^{CKM} > \frac{\pi}{2}$.
- Bertolini, Malinsky (2004)(Type II, $\oplus 120$) ; Babu Maccesanu
(2005)(Type I and II) **Good Angle and Ratio Fits. !!**
- Datta Mimura, Mohapatra(2004/5) **120**, $< CP >$, Type II:
parameters reduced and generic fits excellent. $d = 5$ proton
decay controllable by cancellations

MSGUT DOOM

- Type I ,Type II generic fits : freedom to choose r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 *assumed*. M_ν scale, Relative strength of Type I / Type II *assumed*. **NOT JUSTIFIED IN MSGUT !** In MSGUT magnitude and relative strength of seesaw masses fixed !! Fit fully specified : is it viable ??

- **NO !!** CSA (2005), CSA, Garg (2005)

Seesaw masses ($v^2/M_X^0 = (1.7 \times 10^{-3} eV)$)

$$M_\nu^I = (1.7 \times 10^{-3} eV) \sin \beta F_I \hat{n}$$

$$M_\nu^{II} = (1.7 \times 10^{-3} eV) \sin \beta F_{II} \hat{f}$$

- $\hat{n}, \hat{f}, F_I \gg F_{II}$ known and bounded so M_ν too small.
CSA, CSA-Garg (May, December 2005)(utility of x emphasized by Bajc et al November 2005)
- **Checked**, Bertolini, Schwetz, Malinski(2006-April)

Re-Birthing MSGUTs

- **NEW SCENARIO** : CSA, GARG (2005); REASSIGNMENT OF ROLES !: $h \oplus g \ggg f \Rightarrow (m_{q,l}, \theta_q^i, \delta_c)$. **126**
IRRELEVANT TO CHARGED FERMION MASSES : CKM FROM G_{23} (GOOD AT ANGLES).
- $f \ll h, g \Rightarrow M_{\nu^c} \ll M_X \Rightarrow$ Type I BOOSTED ($\hat{n} \sim \hat{f}^{-1}$),
Type II DEAD!!
- GRIMUS LAVOURA(2006) **NO GO IN GENERIC CASE ! D QUARK TOO LIGHT EVEN WITHOUT CKM.**
- GRIMUS KUHBOCK(2006) : ACCURATE GENERIC FITS ,
SMALL F, LARGE G, r_D ??
- CSA(2007) : **GENERIC PARAMETERS CONSTRAINED IN NMSGUT; CONSTRAINT INSOLUBLE $\Rightarrow$ FRESH RE-SOLUTION IN TERMS OF NMSGUT PARAMETERS NECESSARY !**

Guts of NMSGUT

- Decomposition **120**-plet w.r.t $SU(4) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O_{ijk}(120) &= O_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}(10, 1, 1) + \overline{O}_{(s)}^{\mu\nu}(\overline{10}, 1, 1) + O_{\nu\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\mu}(15, 2, 2) \\
 &+ O_{\mu\nu\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}^{(a)}(6, 1, 3) + O_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}{}_{\alpha\beta}(6, 3, 1) + O_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(1, 2, 2)
 \end{aligned}$$

- AM SSB unmodified, 26 MSSM multiplet types same.

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{120} &= M_O \, 120 \cdot 120 + k \, 10 \cdot 120 \cdot 210 + \rho \, 120 \cdot 120 \cdot 210 \\
 &+ \zeta \, 120 \cdot 126 \cdot 210 + \bar{\zeta} \, 120 \cdot \overline{126} \cdot 210 + g_{[AB]} 16_A \cdot 16_B \cdot 120
 \end{aligned}$$

- Parameter Counting : Complex Case

$$M_O, k, \rho, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}, g_{AB} : (1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 3) \times 2 - 1 = 15 \oplus 24 \quad (old) = 39$$

(Minimal No Longer ?)

- **Too Hasty** : Spontaneous CP violation only ? $\Rightarrow$ All Superpot couplings Real, phases from vevs ($\Rightarrow$ *Complex* $x!!$)

$$OLD : m, M, M_H, \lambda, \eta, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}, h_{AB}, f_{AB} : 7 + 3 + 6 = 16$$

$$NEW : M_O, k, \rho, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}, g_{AB} : 5 + 3 = 8$$

$$TOTAL : 24 \text{ *I.E one less than MSGUT!*}$$

- 6×6 DOUBLET MASS MATRIX CSA TIWANA;
CSA,GARG(2005,6)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -M_H & \bar{\gamma}\sqrt{3}(\omega - a) & -\gamma\sqrt{3}(\omega + a) & -\bar{\gamma}\bar{\sigma} & kp & -\sqrt{3}ik\omega \\ -\bar{\gamma}\sqrt{3}(\omega + a) & 0 & -(2M + 4\eta(a + \omega)) & 0 & -\sqrt{3}\bar{\zeta}\omega & i(p + 2\omega)\bar{\zeta} \\ \gamma\sqrt{3}(\omega - a) & -(2M + 4\eta(a - \omega)) & 0 & -2\eta\bar{\sigma}\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3}\zeta\omega & -i(p - 2\omega)\zeta \\ -\sigma\gamma & -2\eta\sigma\sqrt{3} & 0 & -2m + 6\lambda(\omega - a) & \zeta\sigma & \sqrt{3}i\zeta\sigma \\ pk & \sqrt{3}\bar{\zeta}\omega & -\sqrt{3}\omega\zeta & \bar{\zeta}\bar{\sigma} & -m_0 & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{3}}i\omega \\ \sqrt{3}ik\omega & i(p - 2\omega)\bar{\zeta} & -i(p + 2\omega)\zeta & -\sqrt{3}i\bar{\zeta}\bar{\sigma} & -\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{3}}i\omega & -m_0 - \frac{2\rho}{3}a \end{pmatrix}$$

- DIAGONALIZE $\mathcal{H}$ after $Det\mathcal{H} = 0 \Rightarrow, H, \bar{H} \Rightarrow M_H FIXED!!$
LIGHT. RIGHT AND LEFT EIGENVECTORS
DETERMINE $\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i$

- YUKAWA COUPLINGS

(CSA,GIRDHAR(2004),CSA,GARG(2006))

$$\hat{y}^u = (\check{h} + \check{f} + \check{g}) \quad ; \quad r_1 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_1}{\alpha_1} \quad ; \quad r_2 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_2}{\alpha_2}$$

$$\hat{y}^\nu = (\check{h} - 3\check{f} + (r_5 - 3)\check{g}) \quad ; \quad r_5 = \frac{4i\sqrt{3}\alpha_5}{\alpha_6 + i\sqrt{3}\alpha_5}$$

$$\hat{y}^d = (r_1\check{h} + r_2\check{f} + r_6\check{g}); \quad r_6 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_6 + i\sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_5}{\alpha_6 + i\sqrt{3}\alpha_5}$$

$$\hat{y}^l = (r_1\check{h} - 3r_2\check{f} + (\bar{r}_5 - 3r_6)\check{g}); \quad \bar{r}_5 = \frac{4i\sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_5}{\alpha_6 + i\sqrt{3}\alpha_5}$$

$$\check{g} = 2ig\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(\alpha_6 + i\sqrt{3}\alpha_5) \quad ; \quad \check{h} = 2\sqrt{2}h\alpha_1 \quad ; \quad \check{f} = -4\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}if\alpha_2$$

- New Baryon Decay Channels : $P[3, 3, \pm\frac{2}{3}], K([3, 1, \pm\frac{8}{3}] \subset 120$

C.S. Aulakh : CPT@ICTP: Trieste, 3 July 2008

NUMERICAL SEARCH FOR COMPLETE GUT PARAMETERS

PRECISION DATA AT $m_t(m_t)$: Xing,Zhang,Zhou(2008)

2 loop SM $\Downarrow$ RG FLOW



M_S : THRESHOLD CORRECTIONS AMPLIFY UNCERTAINTIES



2 loop MSSM $\Downarrow$ FLOW

$M_X = 10^{16.3}$ GeV,DOWNHILL SIMPLEX,ANTUSCH ERRORS

2 loop MSSM $\Downarrow$ RG FLOW



LARGE $\tan \beta$ CORRECTIONS $\Rightarrow$ ACCURATE FITS ACROSS
 M_S TO SM PRECISION DATA DETERMINE SOFT SUSY



2 loop MSSM $\oplus$ SOFT $\Downarrow$ RG FLOW



SOFT STRUCTURE AT M_X

SEARCH FEATURES

- ALL COUPLINGS PERTURBATIVE
- 10^6 ITERATIONS EACH RANDOM START UNLESS STUCK
- χ^2 NEVER WENT BELOW 4.0, SOLUTIONS FOUND EVERY 20 ITERATIONS OR SO.
- ACCURATE FITS TO $\Delta m_\nu^2, \theta^{PMNS}$
- VALUES OF $\overline{126}$ COUPLINGS TINY $\Rightarrow M_{\bar{\nu}}$ SPAN $\sim 10^8 - 10^{13} GeV$ CSA,GARG(2006)
- BULK(OVER 85%) OF χ^2 from D,S QUARK REST BOTTOM,TAU.
- $M_{B-L} \sim 10^{15} GeV$ SUPPRESSES THE NEUTRINO MASSES $\Rightarrow$ TINY $\overline{126}$ YUKAWAS ALWAYS !

CANDIDATE SET FILTRATION

- SCALES FROM WEINBERG OPERATOR κ_{ab} AND UNIFICATION MUST COINCIDE (1-5)(OUROBOUROS !)
- $-.0017 < \alpha_S(M_S) < -.004, \Delta(\text{Log} M_X) \geq 0$
- ACCURATE FITS TO $\Delta m_\nu^2, \theta^{PMNS}$
- VALUES OF $\overline{126}$ COUPLINGS TINY $\Rightarrow M_{\bar{\nu}}$ SPAN $\sim 10^8 - 10^{13} \text{GeV}$ CSA,GARG(2006)
- BULK(OVER 85%) OF χ^2 from D,S QUARK REST BOTTOM,TAU.
- $M_{B-L} \sim 10^{15} \text{GeV}$ SUPPRESSES THE NEUTRINO MASSES $\Rightarrow$ TINY $\overline{126}$ YUKAWAS ALWAYS !

- 95% OF CANDIDATES KILLED ! RESIDUAL ALL INFECTED ds-b LOW DISEASE. EXTRAPOLATE TO M_S MATCH TO DATA USING

$$\frac{y^{SM}(M_S)}{y_f^{GUT}(M_S)} = \cos \beta (1 + \epsilon_f(m_{\tilde{F}_i}, m_{\tilde{d}_i, \tilde{l}_i, \tilde{t}}, M_1, M_2, M_3, \mu, A_t, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \tan \beta)$$

- DOWNHILL SIMPLEX IN SOFT SUSY PARAMETER SPACE (EXCEPT $m_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{c}}, B, M_{H, \bar{H}}^2$)
- GUT INSPIRED CONSTRAINTS (TO 10%):
1-2 DEGENERATE ; $M_1 : M_2 : M_3 :: 1 : 2 : 6$,
 $|m_{\tilde{l}, \tilde{L}}/M_1| \geq 0.9$; $|m_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{Q}}/M_3| \geq 0.75$ (TO 10%)
- AT LEAST ONE OF THIRD GEN SFERMIONS HEAVIER THAN 1-2 GEN; A_t, μ ARE OF OPPOSITE SIGN AND LARGE.

Parameter	$SOLN - 1$	$SOLN - 2$
h_{11}	8.95176×10^{-7}	2.69589×10^{-6}
h_{22}	0.000547776	0.00053838
h_{33}	0.196286	0.19651
f_{11}	-2.06673×10^{-7}	9.89865×10^{-8}
f_{12}	-2.37214×10^{-6}	2.02625×10^{-7}
f_{13}	-6.34652×10^{-6}	5.56002×10^{-6}
f_{22}	-0.0000237101	-5.28297×10^{-6}
f_{23}	-0.0000887439	0.0000439064
f_{33}	-0.000158592	0.00011407
g_{12}	-0.00592746	-0.0258934
g_{13}	0.0392534	0.0678888
g_{23}	0.428519	1.81457

Parameter	<i>SOLN</i> – 1	<i>SOLN</i> – 2
λ	2.1294	0.247415
η	–0.590395	–0.619743
ρ	–0.0325812	0.0682899
k	–0.000170967	–0.000055478
$\tilde{m}_O$	–0.0287934	0.338748
$\{m_{fit}/(10^{16}GeV)\}$	0.517858	0.347328
γ	–0.00131809	–0.0003681
$\bar{\gamma}$	0.0421822	0.04502453
ζ	–0.134801	–0.057408642
$\bar{\zeta}$	0.291861	–1.53557
ξ	0.848938	0.596808
$\{m_{RG}/(10^{16})\}GeV$	2.897	.304376
χ^2	5.71427	6.79112

Parameter	<i>SOLN</i> – 1	<i>SOLN</i> – 2
Δ_X	1.07903	1.60956
α_G^{-1}	14.7551	1.06413
$\Delta\alpha_3(M_Z)$	-1.33×10^{-3}	-0.015
$\{M^{\nu^c}/(10^{12})\}GeV$	$\{39.59, 3.97, 1.22 \times 10^{-3}\}$	$\{48.48, 7.46, 1.046 \times 10^{-3}\}$
$\{M_{II}^\nu/(10^{-12})\}eV$	$\{29.72, 2.98, 9.19 \times 10^{-4}\}$	$\{0.046, 7. \times 10^{-3}, 9.99 \times 10^{-7}\}$
$M_{Drc}^\nu(GeV)$	$\{82.0, 6.49, .01606\}$	$\{82.26, 6.65, .016\}$
$M_\nu(meV)$	33.93, 6.15, 1.82	33.75, 5.96, 1.0
$\{Ev[f]\}/(10^{-5})$	-20.28, 2.03, -6.2×10^{-4}	12.87, -1.98, -2.78×10^{-4}

Table 1: A sample set of NMSGUT couplings which pass the criteria of Section 2. Note how the strong hierarchy of M^{ν^c} weakens the strong hierarchy of $(M_{Drc}^\nu)^2$ to reproduce the weak observed light neutrino hierarchy via the Type I seesaw mechanism.

Parameter	<i>Target</i>	<i>Uncert.</i>	<i>SOLN1</i>	<i>SOLN2</i>
$m_t(\text{GeV})$	76.6	3.06	75.69	75.96
$m_c(\text{MeV})$	194.97	32.2	207.31	193.477
m_u	.4	.15	.41	0.39
m_b	1186.8	616.0	1621.31	1626.01
m_s	17.82	8.4	4.47	4.92
m_d	.931	.54	.24	0.25
m_τ	1493.37	283.74	1693.83	1698.87
m_μ	74.989	11.248	75.01	76.65
m_e	0.355	0.0533	.35	0.35
$\sin \theta_{12}^q$	0.22098	0.0016	0.221004	0.220974
$\sin \theta_{23}^q$	0.0349	.0013	0.0347803	0.0349877
$\sin \theta_{13}^q$	.00297	.0005	0.00294978	0.00305354

Parameter	<i>Target</i>	<i>Uncert.</i>	<i>SOLN1</i>	<i>SOLN2</i>
δ_{CP}	60.026	14.0	52.0769	64.53
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}^P$	.30029	.06	0.298219	0.288079
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}^P$	.465	.14	0.467765	0.326723
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}^P$	.0053	.03	.0108116	0.0292243
$m_{12}^2(\times 10^{-5} eV^2)$	3.46	.37	3.44621	3.4533
$m_{23}^2(\times 10^{-3} eV^2)$	1.11	0.22	1.11379	1.10343
χ^2	0	18.0	5.71427	6.79112
χ_d^2	0	1	1.58	1.536
χ_s^2	0	1	2.51	2.351
χ_b^2	0	1	0.49	0.508
χ_{DOWN}^2	0	1	4.58	4.395

Table 2: Values of the fermion data at M_X achieved by

Parameter	<i>SOLN1 – Set1</i>	<i>S2</i>	<i>S3</i>	<i>SOLN2 – S1</i>	<i>S2</i>	<i>S3</i>
M_1	0.18	0.17	−.18	0.17	0.18	0.16
M_2	0.33	0.33	−.37	0.3	0.34	0.32
M_3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.	1.0
A_t	−6.8	−27.1	−18.95	−7.352	−18.08	−5.43
μ	22.66	17.9	16.5	22.2	9.66	8.57
$\tilde{m}_{Q_1}$	3.7	2.21	1.16	2.43	1.35	1.26
$\tilde{m}_{Q_2}$	3.5	2.17	1.22	2.27	1.14	1.3
$\tilde{m}_{Q_3}$	5.3	18.0	5.21	3.39	.30	14.41

Table 3: Values (TeV) in of the soft susy parameters found by the threshold fitting at M_S scale

Parameter	<i>SOLN1 – Set1</i>	<i>S2</i>	<i>S3</i>	<i>SOLN2 – S1</i>	<i>S2</i>	<i>S3</i>
$\tilde{m}_{L_1}$	0.80	0.63	2.03	0.99	1.00	0.52
$\tilde{m}_{L_2}$	0.76	0.64	2.1	0.81	0.97	0.47
$\tilde{m}_{L_3}$	0.30	0.45	1.22	5.97	0.31	1.08
$\tilde{m}_d$	0.9	1.68	2.68	1.99	1.26	1.082
$\tilde{m}_s$	0.98	1.59	2.47	2.00	1.36	0.90
$\tilde{m}_b$	27.69	1.70	7.24	19.35	2.91	11.23
$\tilde{m}_t$	0.79	0.37	1.55	0.41	0.33	1.05
$\tilde{m}_e$	1.45	1.31	4.65	1.05	0.55	0.37
$\tilde{m}_\mu$	1.51	1.31	4.35	1.24	0.58	0.42
$\tilde{m}_\tau$	7.31	6.13	0.6736	0.50	14.03	0.30
$\tan(\beta)$	50.36	50.1	45.72	51.03	48.83	54.97

DISECTING SPECIMENS

- KILL SINGLY OR IN GROUPS : UNCOVER ROLES
- TINY $\overline{126}$ YUKAWA f_{AB} HAS NO EFFECT AT ALL ON CHARGED FERMION MASSES. LIMIT $f \rightarrow 0$ SINGULAR (CHANGES EFFECTIVE THEORY). LOWERS $m_{\bar{\nu}} \Rightarrow$ RAISES m_{ν} THO $m_{B-L} \sim M_X$.
- LYNCH PIN h_{33} ALONE FITS 3 GEN TO 5%.
- (LARGEST) g_{23} RESTORES m_{μ}, θ^{23} to within 0.1% . h_{22}, h_{11} RESTORE $m_{c,s}$ to 5%.
- $g_{12}, g_{13} \Rightarrow m_{u,d,e,c,s}, \theta_{12,13}^q$.
- STRONG HIERARCHY IN $f_{AB}, m_{\bar{\nu}}$ EIGENVALUES : $(10^2 : 10^1 : 10^{-3})$ COMPENSATES STRONG HIERARCHY IN $(M_{Dirac}^{\nu})^2$ TO GIVE WEAK LIGHT NEUTRINO HIERARCHY (15:3:1)

- GRIMUS KUHBOCK GENERIC $r_D \sim 10^4$ BOOST
TOTALLY DIFFERENT : UN-ACHIEVABLE IN NMSGUT
($r_D < 300$)
- 2-3 CORE OF THE FERMION MASS HIERARCHY :
PERTURB AROUND $h_{33}, g_{23} \sim O(1)$, rest $\sim O(\epsilon^n), n \geq 2$
CSA 2006: hep-ph/0607252, hep-ph/0602132 SEMI-SUCCESS
: NOW DO-ABLE ds-b-TOO-LOW DISEASE IS THE CURE !

d = 5 NUCLEON DECAY

- FAMILIAR $\bar{t}[\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3}] \oplus t[3, 1, \frac{2}{3}] \oplus$ NOVEL
 $P[3, 3, \pm\frac{2}{3}], K[3, 1, \pm\frac{8}{3}]$ MULTIPLY TYPES CONTRIBUTE
 TO BARYON VIOLATION IN SO(10)
 BABU,PATI,WILCZEK(2000);CSA,GIRDHAR,GARG(2004,2006)

$$W_{eff}^{\Delta B \neq 0} = -\hat{L}_{ABCD}(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon\hat{Q}_A\hat{Q}_B\hat{Q}_C\hat{L}_D) - \hat{R}_{ABCD}(\epsilon\bar{e}_A\bar{u}_B\bar{u}_C\bar{d}_D)$$

where the coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{L}_{ABCD} &= \mathcal{S}_1^1\tilde{h}_{AB}\tilde{h}_{CD} + \mathcal{S}_1^2\tilde{h}_{AB}\tilde{f}_{CD} + \mathcal{S}_2^1\tilde{f}_{AB}\tilde{h}_{CD} + \mathcal{S}_2^2\tilde{f}_{AB}\tilde{f}_{CD} \\ &- \mathcal{S}_1^6\tilde{h}_{AB}\tilde{g}_{CD} - \mathcal{S}_2^6\tilde{f}_{AB}\tilde{g}_{CD} + \sqrt{2}(\mathcal{P}^{-1})_2^1\tilde{g}_{AC}\tilde{f}_{BD} \\ &- (\mathcal{P}^{-1})_2^2\tilde{g}_{AC}\tilde{g}_{BD}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_{ABCD} = & \mathcal{S}_1^1 \tilde{h}_{AB} \tilde{h}_{CD} - \mathcal{S}_1^2 \tilde{h}_{AB} \tilde{f}_{CD} - \mathcal{S}_2^1 \tilde{f}_{AB} \tilde{h}_{CD} + \mathcal{S}_2^2 \tilde{f}_{AB} \tilde{f}_{CD} \\
& - i\sqrt{2} \mathcal{S}_4^1 \tilde{f}_{AB} \tilde{h}_{CD} + i\sqrt{2} \mathcal{S}_4^2 \tilde{f}_{AB} \tilde{f}_{CD} \\
& + \mathcal{S}_6^1 \tilde{g}_{AB} \tilde{h}_{CD} - i\mathcal{S}_7^1 \tilde{g}_{AB} \tilde{h}_{CD} - \mathcal{S}_6^2 \tilde{g}_{AB} \tilde{f}_{CD} + i\mathcal{S}_7^2 \tilde{g}_{AB} \tilde{f}_{CD} \\
& + i\mathcal{S}_1^7 \tilde{h}_{AB} \tilde{g}_{CD} - i\mathcal{S}_2^7 \tilde{f}_{AB} \tilde{g}_{CD} + \sqrt{2} \mathcal{S}_4^7 \tilde{f}_{AB} \tilde{g}_{CD} \\
& + i\mathcal{S}_6^7 \tilde{g}_{AB} \tilde{g}_{CD} + \mathcal{S}_7^7 \tilde{g}_{AB} \tilde{g}_{CD} - \sqrt{2} (\mathcal{K}^{-1})_1^2 \tilde{f}_{AD} \tilde{g}_{BC} \\
& - (\mathcal{K}^{-1})_2^2 \tilde{g}_{AD} \tilde{g}_{BC}
\end{aligned}$$

here $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is the mass matrix for $[3, 1, \pm 2/3]$ -sector triplets : $W = \bar{t}^i \mathcal{T}_i^j t_j + \dots$, while

$$\tilde{h}_{AB} = 2\sqrt{2} h_{AB} \quad \tilde{f}_{AB} = 4\sqrt{2} f_{AB} \quad \tilde{g}_{AB} = 4g_{AB}$$

- CARETS DENOTE h_{AB} DIAGONAL BASIS UNITARILY
RELATED TO MSSM $Y^{u,e}$ -DIAGONAL Y^d

DIAGONAL-MODULO-CKM

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q}_A &= \mathcal{Q}_{A'A}^* Q_{A'} \quad ; \quad \hat{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_{A'A}^* L_{A'} \quad ; \quad \bar{\hat{u}}_A = (V^u)_{A'A}^* \bar{u}_{A'} \\ \bar{\hat{d}}_A &= (V^d)_{A'A}^* \bar{d}_{A'} \quad ; \quad \bar{\hat{e}}_A = V_{A'A}^e \bar{e}_{A'}\end{aligned}$$

.

- ALL UNITARY MATRICES DETERMINED BY FIT !
DETERMINATION RIGHT HANDED MIXING
UNDERScores THE COMPLETENESS.
- TRANSLATE TO MSSM $Y^{u,e}$ -DIAGONAL Y^d
DIAGONAL-MODULO-CKM BASIS AND RG FLOW TO
 M_S (WORK IN PROGRESS)
- $L_{ABCD}, R_{ABCD}(M_X)$ GOOD ESTIMATORS FOR
 $L_{ABCD}, R_{ABCD}(M_S)$ USE WITH SFERMION FITS AND
MIXING ASSUMPTIONS TO PARAMETRIZE DECAY
RATE. e.g FOR SOLN1 WITH ALSO

$$m_{\tilde{u}} = .98, m_{\tilde{t}}, m_{\tilde{c}} = .99 m_{\tilde{t}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_p &= 2.1 \times 10^{-29} yr - MeV \frac{(32\pi m_p^3 f_\pi^2)}{(m_p^2 - m_K^2)^2} |\alpha_p (R_{ext} (1 + m_p \frac{(3F + D)}{3m_B}) \\ &+ R_{int} \frac{2m_p D}{(23m_B)})|^{-2} \\ &= 5.02565 \times 10^{18} \text{ yrs} \times (\cos^2 \phi \cos \chi \sin \chi \\ &+ 1.00061 (\cos \chi \sin \theta + \cos \theta \sin \phi \sin \chi) (\cos \theta \cos \chi \sin \phi - \sin \theta \sin \chi) \\ &- 1.00031 (\cos \theta \sin \chi + \cos \chi \sin \phi \sin \theta) (\cos \theta \cos \chi - \sin \phi \sin \theta \sin \chi))^{-2} \\ &= 1.5 \times 10^{31} yrs (AT\{\phi \rightarrow 0.001, \theta \rightarrow 0.2, \chi \rightarrow 0.002\}) \end{aligned}$$

- STILL NON UNIQUE USE $D = 5$, GUT CONSTRAINTS TO FILTER AND FIX SFERMION SPECTRA. NON DIAGONAL COMPUTATION URGENTLY REQUIRED !
- LHC DISCOVERY OF SFERMION SPECTRA CAN FALSIFY NMSGUT : BETS ARE PLACED NOW !!!

Conclusions

- SO(10) NMSGUT IS COMPATIBLE WITH KNOWN FM DATA
- NMSGUT 23-DIM PARAMETER SETS BY DOWNHILL SIMPLEX SEARCHES
- M_S THRESHOLD CORRECTIONS VITAL CONSTRAIN SFERMION SPECTRA CANDIDATES
- SMALL $\overline{126}$ COUPLINGS VITAL TO FIT : DOOR OPEN TO PERTURBATIVE UNDERSTANDING OF HIERARCHY
- D=5 NUCLEON DECAY, UNIFICATION CONSTRAINTS ON SFERMION SPECTRA WILL SIGNIFICANTLY CONSTRAIN AND MAP PARAMETER SPACE
- NMSGUT VULNERABLE TO FALSIFICATION AT LHSC (BUT PREPARING FOR APOTHEOSIS !)