



1951-23

#### Workshop on the original of P, CP and T Violation

2 - 5 July 2008

Impact of Non-Standard Interactions on Neutrino Physics

Manfred B. LINDNER Max-Planck-Institute for Nuclear Physics, Saupfercheckweg 1, D-69117

> Heidelberg Germany

## Impact of Non-Standard Interactions on Neutrino Physics





## **The larger Picture: GUTs**



# Learning about Flavour from Neutrinos

### **History: Elimination of SMA**



## **Mechanisms for large mixings:**

- → sequential dominance
  → type II see-saw
  → Dirac screening
  → ...
- ➔ generically 3 large/sizable mixings

**Next: Smallness of**  $\theta_{13}$  **and maximal**  $\theta_{23}$ 

what if θ<sub>13</sub> is very tiny?
or if θ<sub>23</sub> is very close to maximal?
→ numerical coincidence?
→ special reasons (symmetry, ...)
answered by coming experiments

# $\theta_{13}$ Sensitivity Versus Time





M. Lindner

## **Flavour Unification**

- so far no understanding of flavour, 3 generations
- apparant regularities in quark and lepton parameters
- → flavour symmetries (finite number for limited rank)
- → symmetry not texture zeros

**Examples:** 



## **GUT** $\otimes$ Flavour Unification



### → GUT group ⊗ flavour group

<u>example:</u> SO(10)  $\otimes$  SU(3)<sub>F</sub>

- SSB of SU(3)<sub>F</sub> between  $\Lambda_{GUT}$  and  $\Lambda_{Planck}$
- all flavour Goldstone Bosons eaten
- discrete sub-groups survive ←→SSB e.g. Z2, S3, D5, A4
  - ➔ structures in flavour space
  - ➔ compare with data

 $\mbox{GUT}\otimes\mbox{flavour}$  is rather restricted

←→ small quark mixings \*AND\* large leptonic mixings ; quantum numbers

→ so far not many viable models, e.g.

Cai and Yu, Hagedorn, ML and Mohapatra, Chen and Mahantappa, King, Ross, ...

→ rather limited number of possibilities; phenomenological success non-trivial

→ aim: distinguish models further by future precision

## **Could we be on the wrong track?**

- Top-down approach
  - tries to solve problems, be complete, ...
  - looks promising, aesthetical, predictions...
- Bottom-up
  - parametrize unknown physics

### • Options:

- is the low energy field content complete?
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. sterile v's, ...
- are the underlying concepts correct?
  - → d=4, ...
- QFT with known LE particle content: higher dimensional Operators from integrating out heavy unknown physics

## **Adding Neutrino Mass Terms**

**Renormalizable mass terms require new fields:** 

## 1) Simplest possibility: add 3 right handed neutrino fields



## **Other Neutrino Mass Operators**

**<u>3) Both v\_R and new Higgs triplets \Delta\_L:</u>** 

 $\Rightarrow \text{ see-saw type II} \qquad \mathbf{m}_{v} = \mathbf{M}_{L} - \mathbf{m}_{D} \mathbf{M}_{R}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{D}^{T}$ 

4) No new fields: Higher dimensional operators: d=5, ...



other higher dimensional operators!?

# **NSI Operators**

- Good reasons for physics beyond the  $SM + \nu$ 's
  - → expect effects beyond 3 flavours in many models
  - → effective 4f operators = Non Standard Interactions=NSIs
- integrating out heavy physics



$$\mathcal{L}_{NSI} \simeq \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} 2\sqrt{2} G_F(\bar{\nu}_{L\beta} \ \gamma^{\rho} \ \nu_{L\alpha})(\bar{f}_L \gamma_{\rho} f_L)$$

Grossman, Bergmann+Grossman, Ota+Sato, Honda et al., Friedland+Lunardini, Blennlow+Ohlsson+Skrotzki, Huber+Valle, Huber+Schwetz+Valle, Campanelli+Romanino, Bueno et al., Kopp+ML+Ota, ...

M. Lindner

# **Contributions to 0\nu\beta\beta Decay**

Alternative ways to generate neutrino-less double beta decay: Majorana v's, LR, RPV-SUSY,  $\dots \rightarrow$  other  $\mathcal{L}$  operators  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  NSI's



#### **Schechter+Valle:**

L violating operator  $\rightarrow$  radiative mass generation  $\rightarrow$  Majorana nature of v's However: This may only be a tiny correction to a much larger Dirac mass term



#### **Comments:**

- HM claim disputed, but what if right?
- reliability of cosmological results
- 0vββ signal from \*some other\* new BSM lepton number violating operator
  - → very promising interplay of neutrino mass determinations, cosmology, LHC, LVF experiments and theory

## **Lepton Flavour Violation**

- Majorana neutrino mass terms
- •••
- **R-parity violating supersymmetry** Hall+Kosteleck+Rabi, Borzumati+Masiero, Hisano+Tobe, Casas+Ibarra, Antusch+Arganda+Herrero+Teixeira, Joaquim+Rossi, ...
- →LFV and leptonic CP violation can even exist for m<sub>v</sub>→0
- → e.g. modifications of correlations between μ<sup>-</sup> → e<sup>-</sup>γ decay and nuclear μ<sup>-</sup> → e<sup>-</sup> conversion MEG: 10<sup>-13</sup> PRISM: 10<sup>-18</sup>

→<u>interplay:</u>v's – LFV - LHC



#### **M=1TeV**, best fit oscillation paramaters

#### **Deppisch+Kosmas+Valle**

## **NSIs & Oscillations**

## **Future precision oscillation experiments:**

- must include full 3 flavour oscillation probabilities
- matter effects
- define sensitivities on an event rate basis
  - ➔ Simulations with GLoBES

Source	⊗ Oscillation	⊗ Detector
- neutrino energy E - flux and spectrum - flavour composition - contamination - symmetric $\nu/\overline{\nu}$ operatio	<ul> <li>oscillation channel</li> <li>realistic baselines</li> <li>MSW matter pro</li> <li>degeneracies</li> <li>on - correlations</li> </ul>	els - effective mass, material - threshold, resolution ofile - particle ID (flavour, charg event reconstruction,) - backgrounds - x-sections (at low E)

precision experiments might see new effects beyond oscillations → NSIs!

## **NSIs interfere with Oscillations**



#### <u>note:</u> interference in oscillations $\sim \epsilon \quad \bigstar \quad FCNC \text{ effects } \sim \epsilon^2$

# **Physics Potential with NSIs included**

## **Perform physics potential simulations**

- full osciallation framework
- plus NSIs

### →4 possibilities for flavour transition:

- Oscillation
- NSI operator at source
- NSI operator at detector
- NSI effects in propagation

#### important: sensitivity limit comes from few events (small statistics)

- → no capability to resolve characteristic L/E dependence of oscillation
- → potential misinterpretation of NSI flavour transition effects

#### potential consequences:

- offsets in parameter determinations
- conflicting data

## **NSIs in Oscillation Experiments**

Possible consequences in oscillation experiments:

- Poor quality of standard oscillation fit (⇒ Detection of NSI possible)
- Offset: Wrong reconstruction of neutrino mixing parameters
- Mismatch between standard oscillation fits to different experiments





## **NSI: Offset and Mismatch in** $\theta_{13}$



Kopp, ML, Ota, Sato

M. Lindner

## **Relevant NSI Operators**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NSI}} = \mathcal{L}_{V\pm A} + \mathcal{L}_{S\pm P} + \mathcal{L}_{T}$$

**General Lorentz and flavour structure** 

$$\mathcal{L}_{V\pm A} =$$

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{f,f'} \tilde{\epsilon}^{s,f,f',V\pm A}_{\alpha\beta} \left[ \bar{\nu}_{\beta} \gamma^{\rho} (1-\gamma^5) \ell_{\alpha} \right] \left[ \bar{f}' \gamma_{\rho} (1\pm\gamma^5) f \right]$$

$$+ \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{f} \tilde{\epsilon}^{m,f,V\pm A}_{\alpha\beta} \left[ \bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\rho} (1-\gamma^5) \nu_{\beta} \right] \left[ \bar{f} \gamma_{\rho} (1\pm\gamma^5) f \right]$$

$$+ \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{S\pm P} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{f,f'} \tilde{\epsilon}^{s,f,f',S\pm P}_{\alpha\beta} \left[ \bar{\nu}_{\beta} (1+\gamma^5) \ell_{\alpha} \right] \left[ \bar{f}' (1\pm\gamma^5) f \right],$$
  
$$\mathcal{L}_T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{f,f'} \tilde{\epsilon}^{s,f,f',T}_{\alpha\beta} \left[ \bar{\nu}_{\beta} \sigma^{\rho\tau} \ell_{\alpha} \right] \left[ \bar{f}' \sigma_{\rho\tau} f \right].$$

Reactor source and detector (f = u, f' = d)

	Source			Detector		
	$\ell_{\alpha} = e$	$\ell_{\alpha} = \mu$	$\ell_{\alpha} = \tau$	$\ell_{\alpha} = e$	$\ell_{\alpha} = \mu$	$\ell_{\alpha} = \tau$
V - A	$\checkmark$	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production	✓	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production
V + A	$\checkmark$	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production	$\checkmark$	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production
S-P	strong constraints	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production	strong constraints	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production
S + P	strong constraints	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production	strong constraints	no $\mu$ production	no $ au$ production
T	strong constraints	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production	strong constraints	no $\mu$ production	no $\tau$ production

Superbeam source and detector (f = u, f' = d)

	Source			Detector		
	$\ell_{\alpha} = e$	$\ell_{\alpha} = \mu$	$\ell_{\alpha} = \tau$	$\ell_{\alpha} = e$	$\ell_{\alpha} = \mu$	$\ell_{\alpha} = \tau$
V-A	no $e$ production	√	no $\tau$ production	✓	$\checkmark$	no $\tau$ detection
V + A	no $e$ production	✓	no $\tau$ production	$\checkmark$ (mild supp.)	√(mild supp.)	no $\tau$ detection
S-P	no $e$ production	$\checkmark$	no $\tau$ production	strong constraints	chiral supp.	no $\tau$ detection
S + P	no $e$ production	√	no $\tau$ production	strong constraints	chiral supp.	no $\tau$ detection
T	no $e$ production	no $P$ -odd part	no $\tau$ production	strong constraints	chiral supp.	no $\tau$ detection

Propagation (f = e, u, d)

V - A	√
V + A	$\checkmark$

Table I: Classification of the vertices from Eqs. (4) – (6) according to their impact on reactor and superbeam experiments. Terms marked with  $\checkmark$  can give a sizeable contribution; for all other terms, the reason for their suppression is given (see text for details).

#### M. Lindner

## **NSI in the NuFact appearance Channel**



- Standard path (suppressed by  $\theta_{13}$ ):  $\mu^+ \rightarrow \nu_e \xrightarrow{\theta_{13}} \nu_\mu \rightarrow \mu^-$
- Assume  $\varepsilon \sim \theta_{13}$
- NSI paths with same level of suppression as standard path:

$$\mu^{+} \to \nu_{e} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{e\mu}^{m}} \nu_{\mu} \to \mu^{-}$$
$$\mu^{+} \to \nu_{e} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m}} \nu_{\tau} \xrightarrow{\theta_{23}} \nu_{\mu} \to \mu^{-}$$

## **NSI in the NuFact disappearance Channel**



- Standard path (unsuppressed):  $\mu^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mu^+$
- Dominant NSI paths:

$$\begin{split} \mu^{+} &\to \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{m}} \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \mu^{+} \\ \mu^{+} &\to \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \xrightarrow{\theta_{23}} \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{m}} \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \xrightarrow{\theta_{23}} \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \mu^{+} \\ \mu^{+} &\to \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mu\tau}^{m}} \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \xrightarrow{\theta_{23}} \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \mu^{+} \end{split}$$

## **Modified Oscillation Probabilities**

### **Standard Oscillations**

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}} = |\langle \nu_{\beta} | e^{-iHL} | \nu_{\alpha} \rangle|^2$$

### **Oscillations with neutral current NSI**

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha}^{s} \to \nu_{\beta}^{d}} = |\langle \nu_{\beta}|e^{-i(H+V_{\text{NSI}})L}|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle|^{2}$$
$$V_{\text{NSI}} = \sqrt{2}G_{F}N_{e}\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon_{ee}^{m} & \varepsilon_{e\mu}^{m} & \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m}\\\varepsilon_{e\mu}^{m*} & \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{m} & \varepsilon_{\mu\tau}^{m}\\\varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m*} & \varepsilon_{\mu\tau}^{m*} & \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{m}\end{pmatrix}$$

## Analytic Expression for $P_{e\mu}$ with $|e_{e\tau}^{m}|$

$$\begin{split} P_{e\mu}^{\mathrm{NSI}} \simeq P_{e\mu}^{\mathrm{SO}} &- 2 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right| \sin 2\theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} s_{23} \sin(\delta_{\mathrm{CP}} + \phi_{e\tau}^{m}) \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{MB}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{Res}} \sin \Delta \\ &- 2 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right| \sin 2\theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} s_{23} \cos(\delta_{\mathrm{CP}} + \phi_{e\tau}^{m}) \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{MB}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{Res}} \cos \Delta \\ &+ 4 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right| \sin 2\theta_{13} c_{23} s_{23}^{2} \cos(\delta_{\mathrm{CP}} + \phi_{e\tau}^{m}) \hat{A} \left( \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{Res}} \right)^{2} \\ &- 2 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right| \alpha \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} c_{23} \sin \phi_{e\tau}^{m} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{MB}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{Res}} \sin \Delta \\ &+ 2 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right| \alpha \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} c_{23} \cos \phi_{e\tau}^{m} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{MB}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{Res}} \cos \Delta \\ &- 4 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right| \alpha \sin 2\theta_{12} s_{23} c_{23}^{2} \cos \phi_{e\tau}^{m} \frac{1}{\hat{A}} \left( \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{MB}} \right)^{2} \\ &+ 4 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right|^{2} c_{23}^{2} s_{23}^{2} \hat{A}^{2} \left( \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{Res}} \right)^{2} \\ &- 2 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right|^{2} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{23} \hat{A} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{MB}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{Res}} \cos \Delta \\ &+ 4 \left| \varepsilon_{e\tau}^{m} \right|^{2} s_{23}^{2} c_{23}^{2} \left( \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{MB}} \right)^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{A} &\equiv \pm a_{\rm CC} / \Delta m_{31}^2 = \pm 2\sqrt{2}EG_F N_e / \Delta m_{31}^2, \\ \Delta &\equiv \Delta m_{31}^2 L / 4E, \\ \mathcal{F}^{\rm Res} &\equiv \sin[(1 - \hat{A})\Delta] / (1 - \hat{A}), \\ \mathcal{F}^{\rm MB} &\equiv \sin(\hat{A}\Delta) = \sin\left(\pm \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} N_e L\right). \end{split}$$

Vacuum oscillation phase Maximal at matter resonance

Matter potential

Vanishes at magic baseline

**Optimization of Muon Energy** 



 E<sub>μ</sub> = 25 GeV is optimal. (E<sub>μ</sub> > 25 GeV → no significant improvement E<sub>μ</sub> < 25 GeV → sensitivity decreases dramatically)</li>
 Silver channel only useful at E<sub>μ</sub> ≫ 25 GeV.

#### Kopp, Ota, Winter

# Conclusions

- Neutrino physics carries important flavour information
  - large mixings  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  small quark mixings
  - tiny  $\theta_{13}$  and/or maximal  $\theta_{23}$  could be hints for symmetries
- Good reasons for unifications
  - GUTs
  - horizontal flavour symmetries
  - GUT x flavour
- Parametrize unknown new physics as NSIs
  - effects in  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay
  - effects in LFV
  - interference terms in oscillations: limits or discovery potential
- Interesting potential from interplay of neutrino physics, LHC and LFV