



1965-26

9th Workshop on Three-Dimensional Modelling of Seismic Waves Generation, Propagation and their Inversion

22 September - 4 October, 2008

Upper Mantle Anisotropy from Surface Wave Studies

Part I

Jean-Paul Montagner Dept. Sismologie I.P.G. Paris France

jpm@ipgp.jussieu.fr jpm@ipgp.jussieu.fr





SURFACE WAVES and UPPER MANTLE ANISOTROPY

Jean-Paul Montagner

Dept. Sismologie, I.P.G., Paris; France

Overview Large scale Seismology: an observational field

- Data (Seismic source) + Instrument (Seismometer) -> Observations (seismograms)
- Historical evolution: Ray theory, Normal mode theory, Numerical techniques (SEM, NM-SEM)
- Scientific Issues: Earthquakes (Sumatra-Andaman), Anisotropic structure of the Earth
- Tomographic Technique
- Geodynamic Applications
 Seismic Experiment: Plume detection
- Adjoint and time reversal methods





Banda Aceh

before

after







Sumatra - Andaman Islands Earthquake (M_w=9.0) Global Displacement Wavefield from the Global Seismographic Network

Sumatra - Andaman Islands Earthquake Global Seismographic Network Stations



Seismic Instruments

• Seismoscope (China -100BC)



Broadband Seismometer (1mHz-20Hz) (Cacho, 1998)



Principle of a Seismometer



Tiltmeter (1960)





Broadband Seismometer (1982) Streckeisen STS1: 0.05s< T< 5000s



3 components frequency range: 1mHz-20Hz Period range: 0.05-1000s

Chile July 30, 1995, Ms=7.3



Chile earthquake magnitude= 7.3 Epicentral distance = 12,300km-depth 20km



Chile Earthquake Jul. 1995



- \rightarrow Dispersive waves,
- \rightarrow Good global coverage,
- \rightarrow Large scale heterogeneities (min. 600 km).



Vertical component of displacement field recorded at DRV station corresponding to the New-Guinea 05/16/1999 earthquake.



F.D.S.N. (Federation of Digital Broadband Seismic Networks)



Ocean Bottom Observatories

=> International Ocean network (I.O.N.)

•2/3 of the Earth are covered by water.

- seafloor seismometers enable:
 - To investigate oceanic regions with a better resolution

- To fill gaps in the global coverage

NERO (joint French-Japanese Project)



I.O.N.

International Ocean Network

ION (International Ocean network) France, Italy, Japan, UK, U.S.







M.O.I.S.E (June-Sept. 1997) (Monterey bay Ocean bottom International Experiment) MBARI, UC Berkeley, IPG-Paris, UBO-Brest





Multiparameter signals



Deconvolution of the seismic signal from the pressure influence





NERO observatory Scientific Interest Global scale

- To fill a gap in global station coverage

-To improve global tomographic model resolution

- To improve azimuthal NERO distribution in determination of large earthquakes focal

Karason & van der Hilst, 2003







Overview Large scale Seismology: an observational field

- Data (Seismic source) + Instrument (Seismometer) -> Observations (seismograms)
- Historical evolution: Ray theory, Normal mode theory, Numerical techniques (SEM, NM-SEM)
- Scientific Issues: Earthquakes (Sumatra-Andaman), Anisotropic structure of the Earth
- Tomographic Technique
- Geodynamic Applications
 Seismic Experiment, Plume detection
- Adjoint and time reversal methods

Propagation of seismic waves

Hypothesis: Elastic Medium : $\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \epsilon_{kl}$

Where ϵ_{kl} is the strain tensor, σ_{ij} the stress tensor

 C_{ijkl} the elastic tensor: 81 elastic moduli

Symmetries of ε_{kl} , σ_{ij} and of the strain energy W= 1/2 $\sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}$ => 21 independent elements Isotropic case => 2 independent elements $C_{ijkl} = \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})$

 λ , μ are Lamé parameters

Elastodynamic equation of motion $\partial_j (C_{ijkl} \partial_k u_l) - \rho \partial_{tt} u_i = 0$

> In the isotropic case, 2 solutions: S-wave P wave

In heterogeneous media, comparison between Wavelength λ and scale of heterogeneity Λ



Duality wave - particle:

- λ seismic wavelength
- Λ scale heterogeneity
- Particle: Ray theory (XXth century) $\lambda << \Lambda$
- Wave: Normal mode theory (>1970)

RAY PATHS INSIDE THE EARTH



Bolt, 1993



Time

Epicentral distance



"Travel time" of certain seismic phases vs. epicentral distance



Δ [°]

Duality wave - particle:

- λ seismic wavelength
- Λ scale heterogeneity
- Particle: Ray theory (XXth century) $\lambda << \Lambda$
- Wave: Normal mode theory (>1970)

Chile Earthquake (22 may 1960) recorded at Paris (IPGP)



Chile earthquake (may 22 1960) recorded at Paris (IPGP)





First observations of free oscillations of the Earth 1953? -> 1960 (Chile earthquake)

Frequency Peaks were not well understood

Theory was incomplete

Kuril islands 1994-277 Ms=8.3



Kuril islands 1994-277 SCZ-VLP Spectra 3 hours





Frequency (mHz)

Elastodynamic equation $\rho \partial_{tt} \mathbf{u}_{0i} = \partial_j \sigma_{ij} + \rho \mathbf{g}_i + \mathbf{F}_i (+ \mathbf{F}\mathbf{s}_i + ...)$ Which can be rewritten: $\rho \partial_{tt} \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{H}_0 \mathbf{u}_0 (+ \mathbf{F}\mathbf{s})$

H₀ is an integro-differential operator

1D-Reference Earth Model: $M_0(r), \rho(r), V_P(r), V_s(r)$ (PREM, Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981 or IASP91, Kennett and Engdahl, 1991)





 $\rho \partial_{tt} \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{H_0} \mathbf{u_0} \ (+ \mathbf{Fs})$

Eigenfrequencies: $_{n}\omega_{l}$ Eigenfunctions: $_{n}u_{l}^{m}(\mathbf{r},t)=|n,l,m>\exp(-i_{n}\omega_{l}t)$ 3 quantum numbers (k={n,l,m}) => $\mathbf{u}_{k}(\mathbf{r})\exp(-i_{n}\omega_{l}t)$ $\int \rho \mathbf{u}_{k}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k} d^{3}x = \delta_{ij}$

$$\mathbf{H_0} \, \mathbf{u}_k = \rho_n \omega_l^2 \, \mathbf{u}_k$$

Displacement: $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{n,l,m} \mathbf{a}_{l}^{m} |n,l,m\rangle \exp(-i_{n}\omega_{l}t)$ $\mathbf{u}_{k}(\mathbf{r}) = \{U(r)\mathbf{e}_{r} + V(r)\mathbf{e}_{\theta} \partial_{\theta} + V(r)/\sin\theta \mathbf{e}_{\phi} \partial_{\phi}\} Y_{l}^{m}(\theta,\phi)$ $+ \{W(r)/\sin\theta \mathbf{e}_{\theta} \partial_{\phi} - W(r) \mathbf{e}_{\phi} \partial_{\theta}\} Y_{l}^{m}(\theta,\phi)$ 1D-Reference Earth Model: $M_0(r)$, $\rho(r)$, $V_P(r)$, $V_s(r)$ (PREM, Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981)

 $\rho \partial_{tt} \mathbf{u}_0 + \mathbf{H_0} \mathbf{u_0} = \mathbf{0}$



Eigenfrequencies: $_{n}\omega_{l}$ Eigenfunctions: $_{n}u_{l}^{m}(r,t)=|n,l,m>exp(-i_{n}\omega_{l}t)$

2 kinds of modes: Toroïdal _nT_I, Spheroïdal _nS_I

Degeneracy of eigenfrequencies $_{n}\omega_{l}$: 2 l +1



Spheroidal Modes





Toroidal modes $_0T_2$ (44.2 min), $_1T_2$ (12.6 min) and $_0T_3$ (28.4 min)



Spheroidal modes $_{0}S_{0}$ (20.5 min), $_{0}S_{2}$ (53.9 min) and $_{0}S_{3}$ (35.6 min)

Study of Sumatra earthquake (26 december 2004) With GEOSCOPE stations



(Roult and Clévédé, 2005; Park et al., Science, 2005)



mode $_0S_2 =>$ splitting 5 singlets





Attenuation of some modes



Seismic Source $\rho \partial_{tt} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{H_0 u} = \mathbf{F_s}$

Displacement at point **r** and time t due to a force system F_s at point source r_s

eigenfrequencies: ${}_{n}\omega_{l}$ eigenfunctions: ${}_{n}u_{l}^{m}(r,t)=|n,l,m>exp(-i_{n}\omega_{l}t)$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r},t) &= \sum_{n,l,m} \mathbf{a}_l^m |n,l,m> \exp(-i_n \omega_l t) \\ \text{Eigenfunction basis is a complete basis => any wave can be} \\ \text{modelled by normal mode summation including surface waves} \\ \text{and body waves.} \end{aligned}$

1D- Reference Earth Model

Synthetic Seismograms by normal mode summation $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}=\{n,l,m\})$.



 $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r},t) = \Sigma_k \mathbf{u}_k (\mathbf{r}) \cos \omega_k t / \omega_k^2 \exp(-\omega_k t/2\mathbf{Q}_k) (\mathbf{u}_k \cdot \mathbf{F})_s$

Source Term $(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{F})_{\mathbf{S}} = (\mathbf{M} \cdot \varepsilon)_{\mathbf{S}}$

M Seismic moment tensor, ε deformation tensor







Synthetic seismograms By normal mode summation

Denali-Alaska earthquake (Nov. 2002)

Komatitsch and Tromp, 2003

Duality wave - particle: λ seismic wavelength Λ scale heterogeneity

Particle: Ray theoryλ<< Λ</th>=>Finite frequency effects(Guust Nolet)Wave: Normal Mode theory (NM)+ Perturbation theories (small
amplitude of 3D- heterogeneities)=>(John Woodhouse)

Numerical modelling of wave equationStrong or weak forms: $\lambda \approx \Lambda$ -Spectral Element Method (SEM)

(Dimitri Komatitsch)

-Coupled SEM-NM method

Spectral Element Method: D. Komatitsch (1999)

Coupled method of Spectral Elements and Modal Solution

Principle:

- Ω⁺: Spectral Element area:
 3D model
- Ω⁻: Modal Solution area: 1D model









Capdeville et al., 2002

Overview Large scale Seismology: an observational field

- Data (Seismic source) + Instrument (Seismometer)
- -> Observations (seismograms)
- Historical evolution: Ray theory, Normal mode theory, Numerical techniques (SEM, NM-SEM)
- Scientific Issues: Earthquakes (Sumatra), Anisotropic structure of the Earth
- Tomographic Technique
- Geodynamic Applications.
 Seismic Experiment Plume detection
- NM-SEM and time reversal

Seismic Source Studies $u(\mathbf{r},t) = S_k u_k (r) \cos \omega_k t / \omega_k^2 \exp(-\omega_k t/2Q) (\mathbf{u_k}.\mathbf{F})_s$

Source Term $(\mathbf{u_k} \cdot \mathbf{F})_{s} = (\mathbf{M} \cdot \varepsilon)_{s}$

M Seismic moment tensor, ϵ deformation tensor



