

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics



1968-36

#### Conference on Teleconnections in the Atmosphere and Oceans

17 - 20 November 2008

Snow-monsoon teleconnections: testing competing mechanisms using idealized snow forcing in a GCM

TURNER Andrew George and Slingo Julia University of Reading Walker Institute for Climate System Research Department of Meteorology Earley Gate, Whiteknights P.O. Box 243, RG6 6BB Reading UNITED KINGDOM



# Snow-monsoon teleconnections: testing competing mechanisms using idealized snow forcing in a GCM

#### Andrew Turner<sup>1,2</sup> & Julia Slingo<sup>3,2,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Walker Institute for Climate System Research

<sup>2</sup>NCAS-Climate (University of Reading, UK)

<sup>3</sup>UK Met Office







- More than a century ago, heavy winter snowfall was thought to precede weak Indian monsoons (Blanford 1884).
- Several studies have examined this in observations:
  - *eg*, Fasullo (2004): regions neighbouring India have robust negative correlations in weak ENSO years (snow cover).
- These rely on the Blanford hypothesis:
  - persistant heavy snow in spring reduces surface sensible heating over the Tibetan plateau, reducing the land-sea meridional temperature contrast and weakening the Asian summer monsoon.





Other studies have shown different results;

- Bamzai & Skukla (1999): western Eurasia is the only region with significant negative correlations between snow cover and ISM rainfall (but only 22yr data). No consideration of ENSO.
- Becker et al 2001: weakened monsoon circulation following heavy Western Eurasian snow cover (modelling study), positive correlation with AIR.
- Generally these rely on some circulation mechanism.





#### Introduction

- Analysis of long coupled integration
- Ensemble AGCM experiment design
- Preliminary results
- Potential uncertainties and future plan





HadCM3 1050yr control run under preindustrial greenhouse conditions, fullycoupled model:

- atmosphere 3.75°x2.5°xL19
- ocean 1.25°x1.25°xL20



Snow-monsoon correlations in HadCM3





Weak but significant negative correlations:

- FMA Himalaya snow vs. JJAS AIR
- FMA Eurasia snow vs.
  JJAS DMI

Partial correls of FMA snow and JJAS monsoon wrt MAM nino-3



-0.02

0.02

0.06

0.1

0.14

0.18

-0.1

-0.14

-0.18

-0.06



### Problems in the monsoon-ENSO teleconnection









Do other state-of-theart coupled models behave better than HadCM3?



FIG. 12. Lag-lead correlation between AIR anomalies and Niño-3.4 SST anomalies: (a) 20c3m and (b) 1pctto2x simulations. In (a) and (b), the results from observations are also shown. Horizontal dotted lines represent the 5% significance level. Lag-12 corresponds to Niño-3.4 SST anomalies one year before the monsoon season.

Correlations in the CMIP3 models which satisfy the Annamalai et al. (2007) test of well-simulating the present day monsoon-ENSO teleconnection.



#### Snow-monsoon correlations in CMIP3 models



Correlations of JJAS AIR with FMA snow amount



30E 60E 90E



mri\_cgcm2\_3\_2a, total



-0.18 -0.14 -0.1 -0.06 -0.02 0.02 0.06 0.1 0.14 0.18

0

gfdl\_cm2\_0, partial wrt JJAS nino-3



120E 30E 60E 90E



mri cgcm2 3 2a, partial wrt nino-3



Correlations of JJAS DMI with FMA snow amount



#### Snow-monsoon correlations in CMIP3 models







- ¾ give significant negative correlations for NW Eurasia snow amount and the dynamical monsoon index, in the absence of ENSO.
- Signal is much more mixed when considering the All-India Rainfall index.



#### EOF-1 of spring snow amount



#### HadCM3 1050yr FMA snow amount EOF1 (28.4%)



Can strong snow forcing in either region lead to a deficient summer monsoon over India?





Composites generated from the following conditions:

- Strong spring (FMA) snow amount followed by weak Indian summer monsoon.
- Weak spring (FMA) snow amount followed by strong Indian summer monsoon.



### HadCM3 snow composite difference evolutions



National Centre for Atmospheric Science





- Hadley Centre atmosphere model HadAM3: atmosphere 3.75° x 2.5° x L30 vertical levels<sup>1,2</sup>
- Snow forcing derived from HadCM3 1050yr run: using +/-2σ anomalies in FMA snow indices over:
  - > Eurasia (30-110E, 50-65N)
  - ➢ Himalaya (67.5-100E, 27.5-40N)
- Climatological snow elsewhere.
- Climatological SST forcing.

<sup>1</sup>P.M. Inness, J.M. Slingo, S. Woolnough, R. Neale, V. Pope (2001). *Clim. Dyn.* **17**: 777–793.

<sup>2</sup>H. Spencer, J.M. Slingo (2003). *J. Climate* **16**: 1757—1774.



#### Snow forcing anomalies







- Experiments initialized 1 Nov.
- Snow depth updated hourly to chosen forcing over Eurasia.
- 32 member ensemble begun 1Apr for 8 months [members initialized from daily start dumps over the 15Mar–16Apr period].
- Snow no longer constrained [free to melt].





































- Himalaya AGCM ensemble results consistent with coupled run composites.
- ❖ Strong Himalaya snow forcing
  → weakened Indian monsoon (June).





































- Eurasia AGCM ensemble results seem contrary to coupled run composites.
- Strong Eurasia snow forcing → strong Indian monsoon (June).
- Possible contamination from induced Himalaya anomaly?





- Snow forcing of the ASM is complex, even when broken down into separate regions.
- Results here suggest that Himalayan forcing is dominant, in support of the Blanford hypothesis.
- Better land surface initialization required in order to examine mechanisms involved.









To find *the dominant* mechanism

To test region-specific mechanisms





#### Land surface initialization:

- More simple: just impose a snow forcing in April and release it (no time for balancing)
- The present method: impose the previous winter and allow a certain amount of agreement with soil moisture / atmosphere
- More rigorous: match snow forcing conditions with contemporaneous soil moisture conditions.





## Thank you!







 Fasullo 2004: 1966-2001 snow cover data (weekly presence or absence of snow)



FIG. 5: Difference in MAM show cover between strong and weak monsoon seasons in which BNSO conditions are neutral.





#### 착 Corti *et al*. 2001:

- Neutral ENSO: inverse relationship between Tibetan snow and monsoon rain
- Strong El Nino: strong circulation anomalies, inverse relation between Eurasian snow and DMI. Regional effects are overcome & stronger DMI/AIR relationship → inverse relationship between Eurasian snow and monsoon rain.
- Becker et al. 2001: "the results [of climatological SST experiment] have shown that the monsoon circulation is substantially weakened in association with above normal snow amounts over western Eurasia, whilst AIR is slightly increased"
  - > AIR responding to Himalayan snow.
  - > DMI responding to Eurasian snow.





- Becker et al. 2001: "results...with observed SSTs show an opposite response in AIR, suggesting that SSTa forcing is potentially dominating the monsoon interannual variability"
- → Introduce ENSO and the circulation forcing from W Eurasia becomes dominant, together with stronger coupling between AIR and DMI.

