

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics



1968-57

#### Conference on Teleconnections in the Atmosphere and Oceans

17 - 20 November 2008

Atmospheric teleconnections and atmospheric regime behaviour under future climate projections

HANDORF Doerthe and DETHLOFF Klaus

Alfred-Wegener Institute For Polar & Marine Research Research Department Telegrafenberg A43 D-14473 Potsdam GERMANY

# Atmospheric regime behaviour under future climate projections

### **Dörthe Handorf, Klaus Dethloff**

### Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research Research Department Potsdam



## **Circulation Regimes**

Since Rossby (1939):

>Atmospheric variability characterised by few recurrent/persistent

- large-scale anomalous circulation patterns over defined region
- > Atmospheric variability due to (irregular) transitions

### Concept of Regimes

- > approach for understanding low-frequency variability
- > dynamical mechanisms underlying the regimes



## **Circulation Regimes**

> Regimes associated with large-scale structure of the chaotic attractor

(a) Stationary solutions

 $\rightarrow$  Lorenz-Model



→ Barotropic models (e.g. Charney & DeVore, 1979, Legras & Ghil, 1985)

(b) Bifurcation Cascade  $\rightarrow$  Chaotic itinerancy

 $\rightarrow$  Regimes identified with the ruins of multiple attractors

that have merged to a single attractor

→Baroclinic models (Itoh & Kimoto, 1996)

 $\rightarrow$ Baroclinic model with realistic regimes  $\rightarrow$  (Sempf et al., 2007)



### **Climate regimes under future projections**

- Does low-frequency variability, simulated by coupled AOGCMs, resemble regime-like behaviour?
- Does external forcing change only the frequency of occurence of preferred regimes or the regime structure itself?
  Can we detect differences between different model

realizations?



# **IPCC AR4 Coupled Atmosphere-Ocean GCM Simulations**

|            | MPI-ECHAM5/OM1     | UKMO-HadCM3        | NCAR-CCSM3                    | CCCma-CGCM3        |
|------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Atmosphere | ECHAM5             | HadAM3             | CAM3                          | AGCM3              |
|            | T63, L31           | 2.75x3.75° grid    | T85, L26                      | T47, L31           |
|            |                    | (approx. T42), L19 |                               |                    |
| Ocean      | MPI-OM1            |                    | POP 1.4.3                     | Based on MOM       |
|            | 1.5x1.5°grid       | 1.25x1.25°grid     | 1.125x(0.27-1.0) <sup>o</sup> | 1.85x1.85°grid     |
|            | L40                | L20                | L40                           | L29                |
| Coupling   | No flux adjustment | No flux adjustment | No flux adjustment            | No flux adjustment |

#### **Analysed Experiments**

| Preindustrial control                                | Constant forcing, preindustrial values                    |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| simulation (PICTRL)                                  |   |  |  |
| 340 years  |   |  |  |
| 20 <sup>th</sup> century simulation                  | Anthropogenic forcing:                                    |  |  |
| (20CM3)  | CO2,CH4,N2O, F11,F12,O3,sulfate                           |  |  |
| 1870-1999  |   |  |  |
| 21 <sup>th</sup> 22 <sup>nd</sup> century simulation | Anthropogenic forcing:                                    |  |  |
| (SRESA1B)  | CO2 (about 700ppm by 2100),CH4,N2O, F11F11,F12,O3,sulfate |  |  |
| 2000-2199  | Constant forcing after year 2100                          |  |  |

### **Data and Data preprocessing**

> For comparison: NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis



Determination of climate regimes in a low-dimensional (3D) state space (see e.g. Crommelin, 2004)

➢ Basis functions of the common 3D-state space
→ common Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
➢ Projection of PC-data α<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>2</sub>, α<sub>3</sub> onto unit sphere (ρ=1)

 $\alpha_{1} = \rho \cos \theta \sin \phi$   $\alpha_{2} = \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi$  $\alpha_{3} = \rho \cos \phi$ 

with 
$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \rho \\ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \\ 0 \leq \phi \leq \pi \end{array}$$



Determination of climate regimes in a low-dimensional (3D) state space (see e.g. Crommelin, 2004)

Spherical probability density function (SPDF) f(θ,φ)
by kernel density estimation

> 1000 Monte Carlo simulations of random Gaussian PCs (same  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ , AR1) > 1000 SPDFs of simulated PCs > 90%(95%) confidence levels







SPDF normalized by value for Gaussian distribution Unexpected high recurrence prob.
(900 of 1000 sim. PDFs have lower p-values)

#### Dominant spatial patterns for NH 20-90°N: All models EOF1





#### Dominant spatial patterns for NH 20-90°N: All models EOF2





#### Regime Detection Northern Hemisphere 20-90°N Spherical PDF- Areas of unexpected high recurrence probability



#### **Regime Detection Northern Hemisphere 20-90°N** Spherical PDF- Areas of unexpected high recurrence probability



# GPH 500hPa anomaly patterns of Regime 1: EA/WR-Run 20CM3 (1870-1999): All models NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis







# GPH 500hPa anomaly patterns of Regime 2: COWL Control run





# GPH 500hPa anomaly patterns of Regime 3: PNA-Run 20CM3 (1870-1999): All models NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis







# GPH 500hPa anomaly patterns of Regime 3a: AO+ Run 20CM3 (1870-1999): All models NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis







# GPH 500hPa anomaly patterns of Regime 4: PNA+/NAO-Run 20CM3 (1870-1999): All models NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis







# GPH 500hPa anomaly patterns of Regime 4a: AO-Control run







## **Summary and Conclusions**

- Coupled AOGCMs are capable to reproduce regime-like behaviour
- Control runs
  - → 5 regimes (EA/WR-, PNA-, PNA+/NAO-, COWL, AO-)
- ➢ 20<sup>th</sup> century
  - $\rightarrow$  additional regime AO+
  - → common regimes resemble NCEP regimes (EA/WR-, PNA-, PNA+/NAO-, AO+)
- Scenario runs
  - → Changes in the frequency of occurrence of regimes (COWL more frequent, regimes with annular structure more frequent, PNA- less frequent)
  - → Regime structure slightly changed (PNA-, PNA+/NAO-, AO+)
- Differences between models concerning number of regimes
  - $\rightarrow$  Response to forcing different among the models
- ECHAM5/OM1 tendency to preferred regional Pacific patterns
- > HadCM3, CGCM3 tendency to preferred annular and European patterns





## Outlook

➤ Understanding differences between different models
→ Quantifying the influence of internally generated climate variability by analyses of ensemble simulations
→ Study of dynamical causes for changed climate regimes

> Robust method for regime detection??

