



2022-Handout

# Workshop on Theoretical Ecology and Global Change

2 - 18 March 2009

Tropical dry forests in Venezuela: assessing status, threats and future prospects

RODRIGUEZ Jon Paul\* (Nassar J.M., Rodriguez-Clark K.M., Zager I., Portillo-Quintero C.A., Carrasquel F. and Zambrano F.)

> Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (Ivic). Centro de Ecologia Apdo. 21827 1020-A Caracas VENEZUELA

# Tropical dry forests in Venezuela: assessing status, threats and future prospects

J. P. RODRÍGUEZ<sup>1,2\*</sup>, J. M. NASSAR<sup>1</sup>, K. M. RODRÍGUEZ-CLARK<sup>1</sup>, I. ZAGER<sup>2</sup>,

C. A. PORTILLO-QUÍNTERO<sup>3</sup>, F. CARRASQUEL<sup>2</sup> AND S. ZAMBRANO<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro de Ecología, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Apdo 20632, Caracas 1020-A, Venezuela, <sup>2</sup>Provita, Apdo 47552, Caracas 1041-A, Venezuela and <sup>3</sup>Earth Observation Systems Laboratory (EOSL), Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2E3

Date submitted: 16 May 2008; Date accepted: 5 December 2008

## SUMMARY

Tropical dry forests may be among the world's most threatened ecosystems, but few studies have objectively quantified their status and threats. This study analysed Venezuelan dry forests at multiple scales, assessing status, present threats and the policy context shaping their future. Historical and current dry forest cover at both national and local scales were contrasted, and a set of quantitative risk assessment criteria applied. While dry forests were vulnerable nationally, in northern-central locations they were endangered. Clearing for cattle ranching and for intensive and subsistence agriculture were the principal factors driving dry forest loss at the national scale, while at a local level, urbanization and fire seemed to be the primary threats. The analysis emphasized the separation of risk assessment from the very different task of establishing conservation priorities; high risk areas may not necessarily be the highest priority for investment, and policy makers may become explicitly aware of the spatial scale at which their policies are implemented, as well as how these policies may affect or be affected by the status of ecosystems beyond their area of influence. The main challenge to future dry forest conservation is a paucity of explicit policies for management and use. However, scientifically-based management can support positive dry forest policies in many ways, including identifying locations and protocols for ecological restoration, maintaining seed banks, quantifying baseline conditions, and monitoring genetic diversity and other indicators.

*Keymords*: conservation status, drivers of change, policy options, remote sensing, tropical dry forests, Venezuela

# INTRODUCTION

Tropical dry forests have often been highlighted as one of the most threatened ecosystems in the world (Janzen 1988; Dinerstein *et al.* 1995; Miles *et al.* 2006). The ecosystem of choice for human settlement throughout the tropics (for example Central America; Murphy & Lugo 1986*a*), these dry forests have been fragmented, converted to pastures and croplands, and reduced to a fraction of their original extent (Murphy & Lugo 1986*a*, *b*, 1995; Janzen 1988; Ceballos 1995; Maass 1995). Despite this anthropogenic pressure, scientific studies of tropical dry forests are scant, trailing well behind those of their humid counterparts (Sánchez-Azofeifa *et al.* 2005). What few studies there are tend to be predominantly ecological, while quantitative measurements of threats are just starting to be developed, as is an understanding of the consequences of these threats for ecosystem functioning and human well-being (Miles *et al.* 2006).

Research to date on dry forests in the Neotropics reflects these global patterns. In many countries, dry forests have been the focus of ecological studies, however quantitative studies of dry forest threat status are rare. Among the most significant ecological investigations in this region are those in Mexico (Lott et al. 1987; Arriaga & León 1989; Trejo & Dirzo 2000; González-Iturbe et al. 2002; Cairns et al. 2003; White & Hood 2004), Costa Rica (Daubenmire 1972; Janzen 1988; Gillespie et al. 2000; Stern et al. 2002; Kalácska et al. 2004), Brazil (Sampaio 1995; Leal et al. 2003), Jamaica (McLaren & McDonald 2003a, b, c), Argentina (Grau et al. 2005, 2008), Puerto Rico (Lugo et al. 1978; Murphy & Lugo 1986a, b, 1995), Bolivia (Killeen et al. 1998; Kennard 2002), Peru (Bridgewater et al. 2003) and Nicaragua (Sabogal 1992). Venezuela represents a major gap in these studies: available information consists primarily of unpublished dissertations and reports, with the few scientific papers focused on ecological questions such as species composition, forest structure and phenology (Aristeguieta 1968; Tugues 1983; Pietrangeli & Brandin 1984; González 2003; Fajardo et al. 2005; Fajardo 2007). With dry forest potentially covering perhaps half the country, but currently occupying much less than that (Fajardo et al. 2005), Venezuela thus represents a pertinent opportunity to test new methods for assessing dry forest status and threats.

Such new methods for assessing ecosystem threat status are urgently needed (Nicholson *et al.* 2009). During the past two decades, the global conservation community has made major advances in the quantitative assessment of threat status at the species level (Mace & Lande 1991; IUCN [World Conservation Union] 2001), as well as the incorporation

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Dr J. P. Rodríguez Tel/Fax: + 58 212 504 1617 e-mail: jonpaul@ivic.ve

of these analyses into decision-making at the global scale (Butchart et al. 2004, 2005, 2007). Concrete proposals to use threatened species data to define conservation priorities have also been made at national scales (Gärdenfors et al. 2001; Miller et al. 2006, 2007). Several efforts to systematically assess the threat status of ecosystems are in development (Benson 2006; Revers et al. 2007; Rodríguez et al. 2007; Nicholson et al. 2009), but the connection between risk assessment and the establishment of conservation priorities is still weak (Rodríguez et al. 2007). However, during the IV World Conservation Congress (Barcelona, Spain, 5-14 October 2004), a motion was adopted for the 'development, implementation and monitoring of a global standard for the assessment of ecosystem status, applicable at local, regional and global levels', inspired by 'the successful and widespread application of the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria at the national, regional and global level' (Motion CGR4.MOT024). The rich experience of the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species will thus now provide the conceptual platform for the development of Red List categories and criteria for ecosystems.

In the present paper, our aims were to employ a quantitative framework for assessing threat status to explore the links between human activities and tropical dry forests, combining analyses at the national, regional and local levels in Venezuela. In particular, we sought to assess threat status, examine its drivers, and consider policy challenges and opportunities, in order to improve knowledge of the risks and threats to dryforest ecosystems in general, and ascertain how conservation might counteract them.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We assessed the threat status of dry forests by applying a framework of quantitative criteria and thresholds at the national level, as well as at a variety of local scales (Rodríguez *et al.* 2007). This framework, which is analogous to the IUCN Red List categories and criteria for species (IUCN 2001; Nicholson *et al.* 2009), requires the estimation of a series of landscape attributes, namely current and past extent of the ecosystem, degree of fragmentation and/or the observed and inferred rate of change, in order to determine the appropriate risk category for the region of interest.

At the national level, a map of recent (2001) dry forest cover was generated by combining a national political map of Venezuela with a recent map of the tropical dry forests of the world (Miles *et al.* 2006), based on MODIS satellite data collected in 2000–2001 at a resolution of 500 m (Hansen *et al.* 2003) (Fig. 1). The proportion of extant forests protected was determined by overlaying the map of dry forest cover with recent maps of national parks and natural monuments (Rodríguez *et al.* 2004). An estimate of the maximum potential historical extent of tropical dry forests in Venezuela was taken from Fajardo *et al.* (2005). Finally, dry forest threat status was assessed at the national scale by contrasting these landscape



Figure 1 Current distribution of tropical dry forests in Venezuela (shaded areas). The inset shows the position of Venezuela in northern South America.

metrics with the quantitative thresholds defined in the risk assessment framework employed (Table 1).

At the regional and local scale, our risk assessments focused on a portion of northern Venezuela, where high human densities overlap with a mosaic of different forests types, large urban centres and protected areas (Fig. 2). In this c. 6500 km<sup>2</sup> region, which comprised portions of the states of Aragua, Carabobo, Guárico, Miranda and Vargas, we analysed land cover change since the 1980s to the present, and assessed the threat status of tropical dry forests at multiple spatial scales, including the entire region, municipalities, states, major and minor watersheds, protected areas and an arbitrary grid of  $10 \times 10$  km square cells. The area considered contains most of Venezuela's human population (Oficina Central de Estadística e Informática 1970-1997), including the cities of Valencia (capital of Carabobo), Maracay (capital of Aragua) and Caracas (the capital of Venezuela, located in Miranda). Satellite images for 1986 (Landsat Thematic Mapper) and 2001 (Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper) at a resolution of 30 m were processed, classified and ground-truthed using methods described in Fajardo et al. (2005). Land cover was classified as evergreen forest, semideciduous forest, deciduous forest, grasslands/burned/bare soil, cultivated areas or urban areas. The area of deciduous forest provided an estimate of dry forest extent (which was verified in the field). We used Fragstats (McGarigal et al. 2002) to quantify the number and area of dry forest fragments  $> 10 \text{ km}^2$  and > 1 km apart, in both 1986 and 2001. From these data, we then estimated the proportion of the land cover converted, the rate of change and the projected land cover in 2031. The last was estimated assuming that the rate of change observed between 1986 and 2001 continued unaltered during the subsequent 30 years. This projection thus represents a future in which no policy interventions occur and the status quo continues. At these scales, threat status was once again assessed by contrasting landscape metrics with a series of quantitative thresholds (Table 1). To explore the effect of

Criterion	Quantitative thresholds	Category	
Reduction of land cover and	Reduction $> 90\%$ , threat con	CR	
continuing threat	Reduction $> 70\%$ , threat con	EN	
	Reduction $> 30\%$ , threat con	VU	
Rapid rate of land cover change	Rate of change such that > 90 or future 30 years	CR	
	Rate of change such that > 70 or future 30 years	EN	
	Rate of change such that > 30 or future 30 years	VU	
Pattern of fragmentation	> 90% of area in fragments < 10 km <sup>2</sup> AND	> 90% of fragments < 1 km from nearest neighbour	CR
		> 70% of fragments < 1 km from nearest neighbour	EN
		> 30% of fragments < 1 km from nearest neighbour	VU
	> 70% of area in fragments < 10 km <sup>2</sup> AND	> 90% of fragments < 1 km from nearest neighbour	EN
		> 70% of fragments < 1 km from nearest neighbour	VU
	> 30% of area in fragments < < 1 km from nearest neigh	VU	
Very small geographical	Entire area is one fragment <	CR	
distribution	Entire area is < 3 fragments <	EN	
	Entire area is $< 10$ fragments	VU	

Table 1 Quantitative criteria for defining threat status categories in terrestrial ecosystems. CR = critically endangered, EN = endangered, VU = vulnerable. After Rodríguez *et al.* (2007).

scale on conservation priorities, threat status categories were assigned to dry forest at all local spatial scales. Landscape units with > 70 % of their area located outside the boundaries of the study area were not considered in the analysis.

After assessing risk, we analysed threats to dry forests, again at multiple scales. Information on threats is a necessary complement to risk assessments for the definition of conservation priorities (Miller *et al.* 2006, 2007). At the national level, recent human uses of tropical dry forests were determined by overlaying the map of Figure 1 with an unpublished map of current land cover/land use in northern Venezuela, produced by the Government's *Manejo de Recursos Naturales y Ordenamiento de Tierras* (MARNOT) project. We then used a series of recent, published and unpublished national-level data sets (Ministerio del Ambiente y de los Recursos Naturales Renovables 1996; Rodríguez *et al.* 2004) to develop a general picture of major human activities and protected status, both in former and present dry forest areas.

At the regional and local scales, we assessed changes by comparing the area of each land cover type (evergreen forest, semideciduous forest, deciduous forest, grasslands/ burned/bare soil, cultivated areas and urban areas) from the classified satellite images of 1986 and 2001. Since our risk assessment framework focuses on changes in the different land cover types (Table 1), by identifying the land covers that replace dry forests, it is possible to infer the drivers of change. In this case, the candidate drivers were grasslands/burned/bare soil, cultivated areas and urban areas.

# RESULTS

## Status of Venezuelan tropical dry forests

In 2001 in Venezuela, tropical dry forests at a national scale covered *c*. 59 000 km<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 1, 'recent distribution'). Approximately 97% of remnant patches were < 1000 ha, and *c*. 88% of all patches were located within 2 km of their nearest neighbour (Fig. 3). The maximum historical extent of tropical dry forests in Venezuela is *c*. 400 000 km<sup>2</sup> (Fajardo *et al.* 2005). This implies that by 2001, dry forests had been reduced to *c*.15% of their former extent. Therefore, at the national scale, we classified Venezuelan dry forests as 'vulnerable' (VU; Table 1).

At a smaller scale, within a c.  $6500 \,\mathrm{km^2}$  area of the central Venezuelan coast where humans interact closely with tropical dry forests (Fig. 2), we found dry forests to be more threatened, qualifying as 'endangered' (EN; Table 2). As we divided the landscape into units that were more meaningful to decision-makers, patterns of threat status became more complex (Table 2, Fig. 2). In general, as landscape units decreased in size, the range of categories expanded to comprise the full interval between 'least concern' (LC) and 'critically endangered' (CR). This was unsurprising: smaller units tend to capture local differences better within heterogeneous landscapes. However, regardless of scale, the mean threat status tended to be constant, at a level similar to the risk in the entire 6500 km<sup>2</sup> study area (Table 2). Even dry forest patches within protected areas were threatened, albeit at a lower risk level (VU; Table 2).

Table 2 Relative threat levels of tropical dry forest in northern Venezuela, from larger to smaller landscape units. The category 'least concern' (LC) applies to ecosystems that do not qualify (and are not close to qualifying) as CR, EN or VU (CR = criticallyendangered, EN = endangered, VU = vulnerable). Mean status is weighted average of the frequency (%) of the landscape units in the different categories.

Landscape unit	Threat status category (% in category)				Total (n)	Mean status
	CR	EN	VU	LC		
Entire study area		1 (100)			1	EN
States	2 (40)	1 (20)	2 (40)		5	EN
Major watersheds	2 (40)	1 (20)	1 (20)	1 (20)	5	EN
Minor watersheds	8 (28)	4 (14)	9 (31)	8 (28)	29	VU
Municipalities	13 (45)	3 (10)	12 (41)	1 (3)	29	EN
100-km <sup>2</sup> grid cells	36 (41)	13 (15)	23 (26)	15 (17)	87	EN
Within protected areas			3 (100)		3	VU

(a)





Figure 2 Multi-scale assessment of the extinction risk categories of tropical dry forests in northern Venezuela. The insets (left) show the relative location of our study region in Venezuela and the states that it includes (Mir = Miranda). The three protected areas in the region are shown in grey (1 = Henri Pittier National Park, 2 = Pico)Codazzi Natural Monument, 3 = Macarao National Park.).

# Drivers of dry forest threat status

Comparison of our 2001 forest cover map with the recent MARNOT land use map suggests that of the dry forest that remained in Venezuela in 2001, 13600 km<sup>2</sup> (23% of the total) was under direct human influence by 2005. Across the entire country, the primary form of land use change in this span was the creation of cattle pastures (48% of converted area), followed by intensive agriculture

Figure 3 Spatial structure of remaining dry forests in northern Venezuela. (a) Area of patches. (b) Distance to the nearest neighbour between patches.

(29%), subsistence agriculture (15%), artificial water bodies (8%), mining and urbanization (<1% each). Approximately 3800 km<sup>2</sup> of remnant dry forests were included in national parks or natural monuments. Since this is just 28% of the total area recently covered with dry forests in Venezuela, this implies that more than two-thirds of the total could be influenced by human uses in coming years. At the regional scale, the principal driver of dry forest change was the area occupied by the grasslands/burned/bare soil, which increased by 69% between 1986 and 2001.

# DISCUSSION

#### Dry forest threatened status confirmed

Our most important, but perhaps least surprising result is that tropical dry forests are indeed threatened at national and local scales in Venezuela (Table 1, Fig. 3). Although previous studies have highlighted the declines in this ecosystem worldwide (Murphy & Lugo 1986a; Janzen 1988; Dinerstein *et al.* 1995; Miles *et al.* 2006), they have not used a quantitative framework to assess threat status in an objective systematic manner. Instead, their focus has been on the threats causing changes in dry forests, rather than on the patterns of change themselves. We suspect that by applying similar methods in other regions of the world containing tropical dry forests, a similar picture of threat will emerge.

The approach we employed of focusing on threat status had the distinct advantage of separating the task of risk assessment from the very different task of establishing conservation priorities. Although the importance of making this distinction is now widely appreciated in the classification of threatened species (Mace & Lande 1991; Gärdenfors *et al.* 2001; IUCN 2001; Miller *et al.* 2006, 2007), to date risk assessment for ecosystems has still relied heavily on subjective expert opinion or indirect measures of people's impact on the environment (Dinerstein *et al.* 1995; Miles *et al.* 2006), thereby confounding it with priority-setting.

A central benefit of separating risk assessment from priority-setting is that policy-makers may become explicitly aware of the spatial scale at which their policies are implemented, as well as how these policies may affect or be affected by the status of ecosystems beyond their area of influence. For example, the fact that dry forests are threatened (i.e. CR, EN or VU) in 97% of the municipalities in northern Venezuela (Table 2, Fig. 2), does not imply that the same conservation policies need to be implemented in all of them. As societies expand, wild lands are cleared, transformed for agriculture, and urbanized, all often prior to the creation of protected areas and the identification of sites for ecosystem restoration (Foley et al. 2005; Rodríguez et al. 2006). Clearly visualizing society's position in this sequence of land cover change can be key for evaluating the trade-offs between conservation and development of a given ecosystem, and deciding which among several equally threatened areas should be priorities for action.

Recognizing the global 'value' of a locally-threatened ecosystem (Rodríguez *et al.* 2007) may also promote informed decisions regarding investments in protection or restoration. For example, consider two hypothetical dry forest patches: a small highly-threatened patch in municipality A; and a large pristine relatively-unthreatened patch located in the neighbouring municipality B. It may not make sense to invest in the protection or restoration of forests in municipality A (where social and economic costs may be high), but instead make more sense to conserve the large pristine patch in municipality B, by transferring available financial resources there. The consequence might be the loss of dry forests in municipality A, but the long-term persistence of forests in municipality B, at a lower overall cost. In this hypothetical example, conservation priorities do not match the level of risk, and investment takes place in the dry forest patch with lower threat. In the present case, our findings about threat status within protected areas (Table 2) have a specific implication for priorities: future efforts should focus on strengthening national parks, which have not been effective in mitigating human impact, despite having been set aside for that purpose.

## Threats and policy challenges

The main challenges to present dry forest conservation in Venezuela appear to be that there have never been explicit policies for their management and use. Although they are recognized in national reports as one of the most threatened forest types of the country (Ministerio del Ambiente y los Recursos Naturales 2000), the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan does not mention dry forests, although it outlines explicit objectives for the conservation of arid and semiarid (xerophytic) ecosystems and humid forests (Szeplaki et al. 2001). In the entire northern half of the country, where dry forests are found (Fajardo et al. 2005), protected areas have focused on humid forests, especially those located along watersheds that provide water to major cities and towns (Rodríguez et al. 2004). Clearly communicating the multiple ecosystem services that standing dry forests can provide (such as erosion control, watershed protection, pollination services, wood fibres, tourism and game production; Maass et al. 2005) will be crucial for building an advocacy base for this ecosystem in the future. Creating this constituency is a major priority for the coming years, especially in the context of exploring the establishment of additional protected areas explicitly targeting large remnant dry forest patches (Fajardo et al. 2005).

A major conservation challenge is that remnant patches of tropical dry forests are likely to not be representative of their original diversity, as those found on high-quality soils are probably converted to agriculture before those located on marginal soils. Therefore, it may be necessary to consider the creation of reserves or the restoration of dry forests on highquality soils (Scariot & Sevilha 2005; Vieira & Scariot 2006). Baseline information for such actions does not yet exist in Venezuela, but should be the object of future conservation planning efforts.

A recent governmental project, 'Misión Árbol', may be promising for dry forest conservation in Venezuela (Roa 2007), with its goal of planting 100 million trees in 150 000 ha throughout Venezuela by 2011. Launched in June 2006, this reforestation programme organizes participants into community reforestation committees, paid by the government to create plant nurseries and establish agroforestry systems, combining native and cultivated fruit and timber trees. Although Misión Árbol does not explicitly focus on dry forests, the trees selected for propagation are predominantly dry forest species, some of which are depleted in the wild or threatened with extinction (Llamozas *et al.* 2003).

There are multiple ways in which the scientific community could contribute to dry forest-based projects: by helping identify locations for ecological restoration, providing expertise for species selection, offering the service of seed banks, monitoring spatial distribution of genetic diversity of tree species, developing protocols for improving survival rates of seedlings, quantifying baseline conditions and proposing indicators for monitoring. For example, patterns of dry forest use in Venezuela seem to have led to a highly fragmented spatial structure, but one that is characterized by fragments that are relatively near to each other (Fig. 3). Efforts aimed at rebuilding the connectivity of the landscape could take advantage of remnant patches and help re-establish ecological function at scales larger than those at which restoration efforts were occurring. This strategy is probably more viable at the national level, where the primary threats are cattle pastures and agriculture. The interruption of economic activities and the restoration of dry forests in these areas may require a significant investment, but could be feasible technically, socially and politically. In contrast, in high human density areas such as our regional study case, rebuilding the connectivity of the landscape may be practically impossible, and the focus may need to be on strengthening existing protected areas.

Misión Arbol may be the best policy opportunity at hand at this time, with ample room for collaboration between academia, government, local organizations and any other stakeholder interested in biodiversity conservation. Active involvement of the media and the organization of regional and national workshops especially focused on the management and conservation of dry forests could increase public awareness and participation. The success of future conservation and development projects will rely mostly on the availability of state resources to sustain them if no self-financing mechanisms are incorporated as an integral project component.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is a contribution of the Tropi-Dry research network. This work was carried out with the aid of a grant from the Inter-American Institute for Global Change Research (IAI) CRN II # 021, supported by the US National Science Foundation (Grant GEO-0452325). We are grateful to the Fondo Nacional de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (Fonacit) of Venezuela for support (Agenda Biodiversidad, Segunda Fase, project 'Hábitats de Venezuela: Diseño y Aplicación de Métodos Cuantitativos para Estimar sus Riesgos de Amenaza de los Hábitats Terrestres de la Zona Centro Norte de Venezuela', No. 200001516). Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC) and its Unidad de Información Geográfica del Centro de Ecología (ecoSIG) provided funds and infrastructure. We thank the University of Alberta for logistical support. Laurie Fajardo contributed to the field survey data, and Eliézer Arias helped design the field data collection questionnaire. Nicholas Polunin and two anonymous reviewers provided valuable feedback that helped

improve the manuscript. Provita is a member of the Wildlife Trust Alliance.

#### References

- Aristeguieta, L. (1968) El bosque caducifolio seco de los llanos altos centrales. *Boletín de la Sociedad Venezolana de Ciencias Naturales* 27(113–114): 395–438.
- Arriaga, L. & León, J. (1989) The Mexican tropical deciduous forest of Baja California Sur: a floristic and structural approach. *Vegetatio* 84: 45–52.
- Benson, J.S. (2006) New South Wales vegetation classification and assessment: introduction the classification, database, assessment of protected areas and threat status of plant communities. *Cunninghamia* 9(3): 331–382.
- Bridgewater, S., Pennington, R.T., Reynel, C.A., Daza, A. & Pennington, T.D. (2003) A preliminary floristic and phytogeographic analysis of the woody flora of seasonally dry forests in northern Peru. *Candollea* 58: 129–148.
- Butchart, S.H., Akçakaya, H.R., Chanson, J., Baillie, J., Collen, B., Quader, S., Turner, W.R., Amin, R., Stuart, S.N. & Hilton-Taylor, C. (2007) Improvements to the Red List Index. *PLoS ONE* 2(1): e140. doi:110.1371/journal.pone.0000140
- Butchart, S.H.M., Stattersfield, A.J., Baillie, J., Bennun, L.A., Stuart, S.N., Akçakaya, H.R., Hilton-Taylor, C. & Mace, G.M. (2005) Using Red List Indices to measure progress towards the 2010 target and beyond. *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society B – Biological Sciences* 360(1454): 255–268.
- Butchart, S.H.M., Stattersfield, A.J., Bennun, L., Shutes, S.M., Akçakaya, H.R., Baillie, J.E.M., Stuart, S.N., Hilton-Taylor, C. & Mace, G.M. (2004) Measuring global trends in the status of biodiversity: Red List Indices for birds. *PLoS Biology* 2(12): e383.
- Cairns, M.A., Olmsted, I., Granados, J. & Argaez, J. (2003) Composition and aboveground tree biomass of a dry semievergreen forest on Mexico's Yucatán Peninsula. *Forest Ecology* and Management 186: 125–132.
- Ceballos, G. (1995) Vertebrate diversity, ecology and conservation in Neotropical dry forests. In: *Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests*, ed. S.H. Bullock, H.A. Mooney & E. Medina, pp. 195–220. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Daubenmire, R. (1972) Phenology and other characteristics of tropical semideciduous forest in northeastern Costa Rica. *Journal* of Ecology 60: 147–170.
- Dinerstein, E., Olson, D.M., Graham, D.J., Webster, A.L., Primm, S.A., Bookbinder, M.P. & Ledec, G. (1995) A Conservation Assessment of the Terrestrial Ecoregions of Latin America and the Caribbean. Washington, DC, USA: The World Bank, The World Wildlife Fund.
- Fajardo, L. (2007) Bases Ecológicas para la Restauración de Bosques Secos Tropicales en la Península de Macanao, Isla de Margarita. Tesis Doctoral, Centro de Ecología, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas, Venezuela.
- Fajardo, L., González, V., Nassar, J.M., Lacabana, P., Portillo, C.A., Carrasquel, F. & Rodríguez, J.P. (2005) Tropical dry forests of Venezuela: characterization and current conservation status. *Biotropica* 37(4): 531–546.
- Foley, J.A., DeFries, R., Asner, G.P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S.R., Chapin, F.S., Coe, M.T., Daily, G.C., Gibbs, H.K., Helkowski, J.H., Holloway, T., Howard, E.A., Kucharik, C.J., Monfreda, C., Patz, J.A., Prentice, I.C., Ramankutty, N.

& Snyder, P.K. (2005) Global consequences of land use. *Science* **309**(5734): 570–574.

- Gärdenfors, U., Hilton-Taylor, C., Mace, G.M. & Rodríguez, J.P. (2001) The application of IUCN Red List criteria at regional levels. *Conservation Biology* 15(5): 1206–1212.
- Gillespie, T.W., Grijalva, A. & Farris, C.N. (2000) Diversity, composition, and structure of tropical dry forests in Central America. *Plant Ecology* 147: 37–47.
- González-Iturbe, J.A., Olmsted, I. & Tun-Dzul, F. (2002) Tropical dry forest recovery after long-term Henequen (sisal, Agave fourcroydes Lem.) plantation in northern Yucatan, Mexico. Forest Ecology and Management 167: 67–82.
- González, V. (2003) Bosques Secos. In: Biodiversidad en Venezuela, Tomo II, ed. M. Aguilera, A. Azócar & E. González-Jiménez, pp. 734–744. Caracas, Venezuela: Fundación Polar y Editorial ExLibris.
- Grau, H.R., Gasparri, N.I. & Aide, T.M. (2005) Agriculture expansion and deforestation in seasonally dry forests of northwest Argentina. *Environmental Conservation* 32(2): 140–148.
- Grau, H.R., Gasparri, N.I. & Aide, T.M. (2008) Balancing food production and nature conservation in the Neotropical dry forests of northern Argentina. *Global Change Biology* 14(5): 985–997.
- Hansen, M.C., DeFries, R.S., Townshend, J.R.G., Carroll, M., Dimiceli, C. & Sohlberg, R.A. (2003) Global percent tree cover at a spatial resolution of 500 meters: first results of the MODIS Vegetation Continuous Fields algorithm. *Earth Interactions* 7: 1– 15.
- IUCN (2001) *IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1.* Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK: Species Survival Commission, World Conservation Union (IUCN).
- Janzen, D. (1988) Tropical dry forests: the most endangered major tropical ecosystem. In: *Biodiversity*, ed. E.O. Wilson, pp. 130–137. Washington, DC, USA: National Academy Press.
- Kalácska, M., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G., Calvo-Alvarado, J.A., Quesada, M., Rivard, B. & Janzen, D.H. (2004) Species composition, similarity and diversity in three successional stages of a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 200: 227–247.
- Kennard, D.K. (2002) Secondary forest succession in a tropical dry forest: patterns of development across a 50-year chronosequence in lowland Bolivia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 18: 53–66.
- Killeen, T.J., Jardim, A., Mamani, F. & Rojas, N. (1998) Diversity, composition, and structure of a tropical semideciduous forest in the Chiquitanía region of Santa Cruz, Bolivia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 14: 803–827.
- Leal, I.R., Tabarelli, M. & Cardoso da Silva, J.M. (2003) *Ecologia e Conservação da Caatinga*. Recife, Brazil: Editora Universitária UEFP, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco.
- Llamozas, S., Duno de Stefano, R., Meier, W., Riina, R., Stauffer, F., Aymard, G., Huber, O. & Ortiz, R. (2003) *Libro Rojo de la Flora Venezolana*. Caracas, Venezuela: PROVITA, Fundación Polar y Fundación Instituto Botánico de Venezuela, Dr Tobías Lasser.
- Lott, E.J., Bullock, S.H. & Solis-Magallanes, J.A. (1987) Floristic diversity and structure of upland and arroyo forest of coastal Jalisco. *Biotropica* 19: (228–235).
- Lugo, A.E., González-Liboy, J.A., Cintrón, B. & Dugger, K. (1978) Structure, productivity and transpiration of a subtropical dry forest in Puerto Rico. *Biotropica* 10: 278–291.
- Maass, J. (1995) Conversion of tropical dry forest to pasture and agriculture. In: *Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests*, ed. S.H. Bullock, H.A. Mooney & E. Medina, pp. 399–422. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Maass, J., Balvanera, P., Castillo, A., Daily, G., Mooney, H., Ehrlich, P., Quesada, M., Miranda, A., Jaramillo, V., García-Oliva, F., Martínez-Yrizar, A., Cotler, H., López-Blanco, J., Pérez-Jiménez, A., Búrquez, A., Tinoco, C., Ceballos, G., Barraza, L., Ayala, R. & Sarukhán, J. (2005) Ecosystem services of tropical dry forests: insights from long-term ecological and social research on the Pacific Coast of Mexico. *Ecology and Society* 10(1): 17 [www.document]. URL http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol10/iss11/art17/
- Mace, G.M. & Lande, R. (1991) Assessing extinction threats: toward a reevaluation of IUCN threatened species categories. *Conservation Biology* 5(2): 148–157.
- McGarigal, K., Cushman, S.A., Neel, M.C. & Ene, E. (2002) FRAGSTATS: spatial pattern analysis program for categorical maps. Computer software program produced by the authors at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst, USA. Available at www.umass.edu/landeco/research/fragstats/fragstats.html
- McLaren, K.P. & McDonald, M.A. (2003a) Coppice regrowth in a disturbed tropical dry limestone forest in Jamaica. *Forest Ecology* and Management 180: 99–111.
- McLaren, K.P. & McDonald, M.A. (2003b) The effects of moisture and shade on seed germination and seedling survival in a tropical dry forest in Jamaica. *Forest Ecology and Management* 183: 61–75.
- McLaren, K.P. & McDonald, M.A. (2003c) Seedling dynamics after different intensities of human disturbance in a tropical dry limestone forest in Jamaica. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **19**(5): 567– 578.
- Miles, L., Newton, A.C., DeFries, R.S., Ravilious, C., May, I., Blyth, S., Kapos, V. & Gordon, J.E. (2006) A global overview of the conservation status of tropical dry forests. *Journal of Biogeography* 33(3): 491–505.
- Miller, R.M., Rodríguez, J.P., Aniskowicz-Fowler, T., Bambaradeniya, C., Boles, R., Eaton, M.A., Gärdenfors, U., Keller, V., Molur, S., Walker, S. & Pollock, C. (2006) Extinction risk and conservation priorities. *Science* **313**(5786): 441–441.
- Miller, R.M., Rodríguez, J.P., Aniskowicz-Fowler, T., Bambaradeniya, C., Boles, R., Eaton, M.A., Gärdenfors, U., Keller, V., Molur, S., Walker, S. & Pollock, C. (2007) National threatened species listing based on IUCN criteria and regional guidelines: current status and future perspectives. *Conservation Biology* 21(3): 684–696.
- Ministerio del Ambiente y los Recursos Naturales (2000) Primer Informe de Venezuela sobre Diversidad Biológica. Caracas, Venezuela: Ministerio del Ambiente y los Recursos Naturales.
- Ministerio del Ambiente y de los Recursos Naturales Renovables (1996) *Mapa de la Vegetacion de Venezuela. 1:250.000.* Caracas, Venezuela: Ministerio del Ambiente y de los Recursos Naturales Renovables, Dirección de Vegetación. Actualizado por la Unidad de Información Geográfica del Centro de Ecología del Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (ecoSIG).
- Murphy, P. & Lugo, A. (1995) Dry forests of Central America and the Caribbean. In: *Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests*, ed. S.H. Bullock, H.A. Mooney & E. Medina, pp. 9–34. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Murphy, P.G. & Lugo, A.E. (1986a) Ecology of tropical dry forest. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 17: 67–88.
- Murphy, P.G. & Lugo, A.E. (1986b) Structure and biomass of a subtropical dry forest in Puerto Rico. *Biotropica* 18: 89–96.
- Nicholson, E., Keith, D.A. & Wilcove, D.S. (2009) Assessing the threat status of ecological communities. *Conservation Biology* (in press).

- Oficina Central de Estadística e Informática (1970–1997) *Anuario Estadístico de Venezuela. Años: 1970–1996.* Caracas, Venezuela: Oficina Central de Estadística e Informática.
- Pietrangeli, M. & Brandin, J. (1984) Análisis de Gradientes de la Vegetación Forestal en la Región de Sascua, Cúa, Edo. Miranda. Caracas, Venezuela: Trabajo Especial de Grado, Escuela de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Central de Venezuela.
- Reyers, B., Rouget, M., Jonas, Z., Cowling, R.M., Driver, A., Maze, K. & Desmet, P. (2007) Developing products for conservation decision-making: lessons from a spatial biodiversity assessment for South Africa. *Diversity and Distributions* 13(5): 608– 619.
- Roa, R. (2007) La gestión del punto focal técnico en la aplicación de la Convención de Lucha Contra la Desertificación en Venezuela.
  In: *Memoria Taller Binacional y Regional Sobre Desertificación*, ed. M. Riveros Caballero, L.E. Sánchez & J. Paolini, pp. 87– 91. Caracas, Venezuela: Ediciones IVIC (Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas).
- Rodríguez, J.P., Balch, J.K. & Rodríguez-Clark, K.M. (2007) Assessing extinction risk in the absence of species-level data: quantitative criteria for terrestrial ecosystems. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16(1): 183–209.
- Rodríguez, J.P., Beard Jr, T.D., Bennett, E.M., Cumming, G.S., Cork, S.J., Agard, J., Dobson, A.P. & Peterson, G.D. (2006) Trade-offs across space, time, and ecosystem services. *Ecology* and Society 11(1): 28 [www document]. URL http://www. ecologyandsociety.org/vol11/iss21/art28/
- Rodríguez, J.P., Lazo, R., Solórzano, L.A. & Rojas-Suárez, F., eds (2004) Cartografía Digital Básica de las Areas Naturales Protegidas de Venezuela: Parques Nacionales, Monumentos Naturales, Refugios de Fauna, Reservas de Fauna y Reservas de Biósfera. Versión 1.0, CD ROM y en-línea. Caracas, Venezuela: Centro Internacional de Ecología Tropical (CIET), Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC), Conservación Internacional Venezuela, UNESCO y Oficina Nacional de Diversidad Biológica del Ministerio del Ambiente y de los Recursos Naturales (MARN) [www document]. http://ecosig.ivic.ve/anapro.htm

- Sabogal, C. (1992) Regeneration of tropical dry forest in Central America, with examples from Nicaragua. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 3(3): 407–416.
- Sampaio, E. (1995) Overview of the Brazilian caatinga. In: Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests, ed. S.H. Bullock, H.A. Mooney & E. Medina, pp. 35–63. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Sánchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Quesada, M., Rodríguez, J.P., Nassar, J., Stoner, K.E., Castillo, A., Garvin, T., Zent, E.L., Calvo-Alvarado, J.C., Kalacska, M.E.R., Fajardo, L., Gamon, J.A. & Cuevas-Reyes, P. (2005) Research priorities for neotropical dry forests. *Biotropica* 37(4): 477–485.
- Scariot, A. & Sevilha, A.C. (2005) Biodiversidade, estrutura e conservação de florestas estacionais deciduais no Cerrado. In: *Cerrado: Ecologia, Biodiversidade e Conservação*, ed. A. Scariot, J.C. Sousa-Silva & J.M. Felfili, pp. 122–139. Brasília: Ministério do Meio Ambiente.
- Stern, M., Quesada, M. & Stoner, K.E. (2002) Changes in composition and structure of a tropical dry forest following intermitent cattle grazing. *Revista de Biología Tropical* 50: 1021– 1034.
- Szeplaki, E., García Román,, L.B., Rodríguez, J.C. & González Jiménez, E., eds (2001) Estrategia Nacional sobre Diversidad Biológica y su Plan de Acción. Caracas, Venezuela: Oficina Nacional de Diversidad Biológica del Ministerio del Ambiente y de los Recursos Naturales (MARN).
- Trejo, I. & Dirzo, R. (2000) Deforestation of seasonally dry tropical forest: a national and local analysis in Mexico. *Biological Conservation* 94(2): 133–142.
- Tugues, J.L. (1983) Fenología de un bosque deciduo tropical, situado en la región de Charallave, Estado Miranda. Caracas, Venezuela: Trabajo de Ascenso, Escuela de Biología, Universidad Central de Venezuela.
- Vieira, D.L.M. & Scariot, A. (2006) Principles of natural regeneration of tropical dry forests for restoration. *Restoration Ecology* 14(1): 11–20.
- White, D.A. & Hood, C.S. (2004) Vegetation patterns and environmental gradients in tropical dry forests of the northern Yucatán Peninsula. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 15: 151–160.