Flux rope dynamos (an exploratory model)

Anvar Shukurov, Andrew Baggaley & Carlo Barenghi (Newcastle Univ., U.K.) Kandaswamy Subramanian (IUCAA, Pune, India)





Outline

Motivation: magnetic reconnection in the context of dynamo action

• A kinematic model of a turbulent flow

• Exploratory reconnection model

• Dynamo based on magnetic reconnections

Collisional plasma, MHD:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}$$
,

dynamo constrained by diffusion time $\tau_\eta \propto L^2 \eta^{-1}$

Hyperdiffusion facilitates dynamo action:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) + (-1)^{n-1} \eta_n \nabla^{2n} \mathbf{B}$$

with n = 2, helical V: larger growth rates, larger steady-state B (Brandenburg & Sarson, PRL 2002) • Rarefied, hot plasmas (galactic & solar coronae, ...): fast reconnection time is independent of η , $\tau_{\rm rec} \sim L/V_A$

• How the non-diffusive reconnection would affect dynamo action and plasma heating?

A kinematic model of a turbulent flow

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{A}_n \times \mathbf{k}_n \cos \psi_n + \mathbf{B}_n \times \mathbf{k}_n \sin \psi_n \right),$$

$$\psi_n = \mathbf{k}_n \cdot \mathbf{x} + \omega_n t , \quad \omega_n = k_n v_n,$$

$$abla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0$$
,

 $A_n, \ B_n$: $E_k \propto k^{-s}$, e.g., s = 5/3. Randomly chosen $\widehat{\mathbf{k}}_n, \ \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_n, \ \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_n$.

Chaotic (parameters fixed) or random (parameters varying at random)

Wilkin et al. (PRL 2007): this flow is a dynamo.



 $2.75B_{\rm rms}$ isosurface of $|\mathbf{B}|$



• All (?) existing dynamo models rely on the MHD approximation (diffusive time scales)

• How can fast reconnections affect the dynamo action?

 Are kinetic energy ⇒ magnetic field ⇒ heat transformations affected by the nature of magnetic dissipation?

Yes, of course --- but then, how?

Modelling reconnecting magnetic flux tubes

Evolving closed magnetic loops (flux tubes):

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, t),$

$$\Phi = BS = \text{const}, \qquad LS = \text{const} \\ \Rightarrow B \propto L$$

Tracer particles positioned along magnetic lines. New particles introduced (removed) when their separation exceeds (reduces below) *d*; magnetic field enhanced by stretching.



Keep track of the magnetic field direction and reconnect magnetic lines when their separation is $< d_0 ~(\approx d)$:



Energy released in the reconnection event: $\propto B_{\pm 2}^2 + B_{\pm 102}^2$

A conservative model of reconnection:

$$V_{\rm rec} = V(d_0) \ll V(l_0) = V_A$$

Nonlinear effects can be included:

$$\mathbf{V}(t + \delta t) = \mathbf{V}(t) + \delta t (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B},$$
$$(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} = B_s \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial s}.$$

Test 1: shear flow $\mathbf{V} = (V_x, 0, 0) , \quad V_x = V_0 e^{-y^2/2}$

$$\eta = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad B = B_0 \sqrt{1 + V_0 y^2 e^{-y^2} t^2}$$





Test 2: flux expulsion from a vortex

$$\Omega_z = e^{-r^2}$$
, $\mathbf{B}|_{t=0} = (0, 1, 0)$.



Multiscale flow, single loop, $E_k \propto k^{-5/3}$



Fluctuation dynamo with reconnections

Initial state



Evolved state



$B^2 = \text{const},$ Gaussian smoothing \Rightarrow

Compare solutions of the induction equation with those obtained from the reconnection model, with the same V(x,t)

Reconnection dynamo: larger magnetic field growth rate under comparable conditions

Compare runs with similar growth rates

Induction equation Reconnection model Kinematic stage





Induction equation

Reconnection model

Energy release rate

$$\tau_{\rm E}^{-1} = -\eta \frac{\langle \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} \rangle_V}{B_{\rm rms}^2}$$



$$\tau_{\rm E,rec}^{-1} = \frac{1}{B_{\rm rms}^2 \Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} B_i^2 ,$$

sum over segments removed in reconnection events









Similar results with the ABC flow

Conclusions and prospects

- Magnetic field growth rate and B_{\max} are sensitive to the dissipation mechanism.
- Reconnections: growth rates, B_{max} and energy release rates are larger than those from the induction equation (even if B_{rms} are similar).
- Reconnections: more efficient dynamos, faster conversion of kinetic energy into heat, stronger heating.
- Add nonlinearity & reconnection physics, e.g., $\mathbf{V}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{V}(t) + \Delta t (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{V}_{rec} , \quad \mathbf{V}_{rec} = \mathbf{V}_A$

Nonlinear effects

$$\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla B^2$$

 $\frac{1}{2}\nabla B^2 + \nabla p/\rho = 0$ (flux tubes in lateral equilibrium) $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} + \frac{\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}}{\tau}, \qquad (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} = B \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial s}$ $\tau \gg 1 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = B \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial s}$ (Alfvén waves) $\tau \ll 1 \Rightarrow 0 = B \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial s} + \frac{\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}}{\tau}$ $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \tau B \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial c}$ (slow evolution, e.g., dynamo)

Alfvén waves, nonlinear interactions (& numerical noise)

