



2036-5

International Workshop: Quantum Chromodynamics from Colliders to Super-High Energy Cosmic Rays

25 - 29 May 2009

Possible first observation of proton-proton phenomenology at LHC with CMS

Filippo Ambroglini University of Trieste & INFN Trieste Italy

Possible first observation

FSR

Filippo Ambroglini (University of Trieste & INFN)

Proton

Outgoing parton

Proton

ISR

Outgoing parton

Outgoing parton

Thanks to: CMS QCD group, Livio Fanò, Paolo Bartalini, Florian Bechtel, Lucia Garbini,Rick Field, Daniele Treleani, Ferenc Sikler, Peter Skands, et al.





Introduction to Large Hadron Collider environment • Underlying Event (UE) Minimum Bias (MB) and Multiple Partonics Interactions (MPI) Introduction (try to speak the same language) • QCD models and experimental results CMS QCD early physics Minimum Bias • Underlying Event • MPI: proton proton • Mini Jets Double parton scattering



filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Final state at Large Hadron Collider



At the LHC essentially all physics will arise from quark and gluon interactions (small and large transverse momentum)

Hard Process (High pT) well described by perturbative QCDSoft Interactions (Low pT): require phenomenological modelsDominant processes at the LHC (Minimum Bias)

p-p interaction @ LHC



ISR and FSR Jet Fragmentation and

Hard Interaction

Hadronization

MPI

INFN

Beam Remnant





Minimum Bias e Underlying Event



Minimum Bias

INFN



MB can be recorded together with other interactions that can activate the trigger. Pile-Up.

What can be observed with a detector/ trigger fully inclusive.



filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Minimum Bias e Underlying Event



filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

INFN

Minimum Bias e Underlying Event



Underlying Event

 All the activity of a single parton-parton interaction that is on top of the "interesting" process.

INFN

- Initial State Radiation (ISR).
- Final State Radiation (FSR).
- Spectators.
- MPI multiple parton interaction
- UE is correlated with the "interesting" process.
 - Share the same vertex.
 - The UE activity grows up with the energy scale of the main interaction
 - Pedestal effect.
 - UE studies can also help understand various physics phenomena!
 - Vertex reconstruction for H-> $\gamma\gamma$. γ .

Motivation



Exploring Fundamental aspects of hadron-hadron collisions

Describe QCD@LHC in the best way

Not enough to rescale conclusions from Tevatron to 14 TeV

[different Q^2, x range and energy dependence of the cut-offs]

Structure of hadrons

Factorization of interactions spin offs on other relevant physics

Impact on the search of new physics

The understanding of the MB and transition of pQCD and soft QCD

Important for Higgs in VBF and in general

for all the channels that using the the jet veto and fwd jet tag

Calibration of major physics tools

Low, medium and high-PT QCD affected by "surrounding" processes which affect: Pile up understanding, jet energy, isolation performances, vertexing, detector response, High-PT background...

Tuning of Monte Carlo Models

Both not-perturbative and perturbative aspects

Remnants, I-FSR radiation, MPI...

(UE activity, mini-jet, hard scattering, double scattering)

Understanding the detector

occupancies, background, ...

Theory/Models of pp interactions

ISR, FSR, SPECTATORS... Not enough to account for the observed multiplicities & P_T spectra !!!



The Pythia solution: Multiple Parton Interactions (MPI) (now available in other general purpose MCs: Herwig/Jimmy, Sherpa, etc.)

INFN

Inspired by observations of double high P_T scatterings



 $\sigma(\widehat{P}_T) \to \sigma(\widehat{P}_T) \cdot \frac{(\widehat{P}_T)^4}{((\widehat{P}_T)^2 + (\widehat{P}_T)^2)^2}$

- \checkmark Cross Section Regularization for P_{T} -> 0
- \checkmark P_{T0} can be interpreted as inverse of effective colour screening length
- ✓ Controls the number of interactions hence the Multiplicity:

$$< N_{int} > = \sigma_{parton-parton} / \sigma_{proton-proton}$$

Emphasis on the energy-dependence of the parameters. CDF, UA5 MB Phenomenology favors exponent behavior CGC Theory favors constant behavior [G.Gustafson & G.Miu]

Models with varying impact parameter between the colliding hadrons better describe shapes

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Also Quarkonia prefer dampening



filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Trieste 26 May '09 QCD at Cosmic Energies workshop INFN

Pythia models

[P. Skands]



INFN

MPI Models in Pythia 6.4

Old Model: Pythia 6.2 and Pythia 6.4

- "Hard Interaction" + virtuality-ordered ISR + FSR
- p_T-ordered MPI: no ISR/FSR
- Momentum and color explicitly conserved
- Color connections: PARP(85:86) → 1 in Rick Field's Tunes
- · No explicit color reconnections

New Model: Pythia 6.4 and Pythia 8

- "Hard Interaction" + p_T-ordered ISR + FSR
- p_T-ordered MPI + p_T-ordered ISR + FSR
 - ISR and FSR have dipole kinematics
 - "Interleaved" with evolution of hard interaction in one common sequence
- Momentum, color, and flavor explicitly conserverd
- · Color connections: random or ordered
- Toy Model of Color reconnections: "color annealing"

Hard System + MPI allowed to undergo color reconnections



Color Connections, Multijets - 2

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Trieste 26 May '09 QCD at Cosmic Energies workshop

MPI create kinks on existing strings, rather than new strings





Pythia Tune DW (from Tune A) OLD MPI model, IP CORRELATIONS Pythia Tune DWT

DW and default PT-cut-off evolution **Pythia Tune S0** New MPI, more correlations



All these Pythia Tunes describe the UE@Tevatron, but show several differences extrapolating to LHC energy not enough to re-scale conclusions to 14 TeV.





[P. Skands]

• Perugia Tunes

- First parameterization of new model using both Tevatron and LEP data
- First attempt of taking into account the systematics.
- Use a data-driven approach.







[P. Skands]

• Perugia Tunes

- First parameterization of new model using both Tevatron and LEP data
- First attempt of taking into account the systematics.
- Use a data-driven approach.







[P. Skands]

• Perugia Tunes

- First parameterization of new model using both Tevatron and LEP data
- First attempt of taking into account the systematics.
- Use a data-driven approach.



Experimental approach



- Study the Minimum Bias interaction
 - Study the MPI with "macroscopic" variables like charged multiplicity
 - We obtain indication on the energy dependencies of $P_{\rm T}$ cutoff
- Study the Underlying Event
 - Quantify the activity of process simultaneous to the hard interaction
 - Impact also in the understanding of the detector and to calibrate the tools for discovery
 - Study the MPI in the low-p_T kinematic region
- Study the Double Parton Scattering
 - We move the study of MPI from low p_T to high p_T kinematic region







filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch



Experimental approach



- Study the Minimum Bias interaction
 - Study the MPI with "macroscopic" variables like charged multiplicity
 - We obtain indication on the energy dependencies of P_T cutoff
- Study the Underlying Event
 - Quantify the activity of process simultaneous to the hard interaction
 - Impact also in the understanding of the detector and to calibrate the tools for discovery
 - Study the MPI in the low-p_T kinematic region
- Study the Double Parton Scattering
 - We move the study of MPI from low p_T to high p_T kinematic region

MB legacy from previous experiment



Comparisons between Pythia and experimental data (UA5, CDF) demonstrate that Multiple Parton Interaction models are successful in reproducing the charged track multiplicity spectrum in minimum bias events.

With the "post-HERA" PDFs, there's strong indication for exponential running of the P_T cut-off in MPI. Predictions made at larger energies (ex. LHC) with fixed P_T cut-off are most likely to overestimate the multiplicity observables.

The shape of the charged multiplicity distribution is well described by "varying impact parameter" MPI models with Gaussian matter distributions inside the protons.

Trigger strategy for MB



INFN

- Random trigger, Level-I
 - zero bias: trigger on crossing of filled bunches
 - optimal for moderate intensity, heavily prescaled
- At least one track in the pixel detector, HLT
 - very low bias, optimal for very low intensity running (e.g. @ 900 GeV)
 - efficiencies: 88% IN; 99% ND, 69% DD, 59% SD (@ 14 TeV)
 - can be completed by offline vertex trigger



count towers with ECT > IGeV in the forward calorimeters (HF, $3 < |\eta| < 5$) require hits on one side (OR triggered) efficiency: 89% IN (@ 900 GeV); 97% ND, 79% DD, 71% SD (@ 900 GeV) require hits on both sides (AND triggered) less efficient (59% IN), but not sensitive to beam-gas background Usability of triggers depend on bunch pattern and luminosity



- "minimum": the pT of the track must be above the minimal value
- "third": the track must be able to reach the third layer (barrel or endcap)



[F. Sikler]

Different for low pT for particles with different mass Steps at I and 2 GeV/c are due to stricter requirements (points on track)



Minimum Bias ad LHC INFN 0.75 4.5 CMS Preliminary CMS Preliminary simulation simulation 0.7 4 3.5 0.65 0.6 3 dN/dŋl_{ŋ = 0} (p_T) [GeV/c] 2.5 0.55 2 0.5 1.5 0.45 0.4 1 ISR FNAL UA1 ISR E735 0.5 0.35 UA5 CDF CMS CMS 0.3 0 10³ 10² 10^{2} 10³ 10^{1} 10^{4} 10^{4} 10¹ s^{1/2} s^{1/2}

Comparison to lower energy measurements: FNAL, ISR, UA1, UA5, E735, CDF We can verify if $dN/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ continues its linear increase in $\log\sqrt{s}$ A strong, non-linear increase of $<p_T>$ is expected

[F. Sikler]

Experimental approach



- Study the Minimum Bias interaction
 - Study the MPI with "macroscopic" variables like charged multiplicity
 - We obtain indication on the energy dependencies of P_{T} cutoff
- Study the Underlying Event
 - Quantify the activity of process simultaneous to the hard interaction
 - Impact also in the understanding of the detector and to calibrate the tools for discovery
 - Study the MPI in the low-p_T kinematic region
- Study the Double Parton Scattering
 - We move the study of MPI from low p_T to high p_T kinematic region

Measurment of UE with CMS



From charged jet (using MB and jet triggers) Topological structure of p-p collision from charged tracks Charged jet definition -> ICA with massless charged tracks as input



The leading Charged jet defines a direction in the ϕ plane.

The transverse region is particularly sensitive to the UE

Main observable: •dN/dηdφ, charged density vs P_T Jet#I •d(PT_{sum})/dηdφ, energy density vs P_T Jet#I

Components of UE:

- Initial State Radiation (ISR)
- Final State Radiation (FSR)
- Spectators
- MPI

Why Study Underlying Event:
Quantify the activity of process simultaneous to the hard interaction
Study the MPI in the low-p_T

UE legacy from previous experiment



CDF Examines the jet event structure looking at Toward, Away and Transverse regions in azimuth for central rapidities

The Transverse region is expected to be particularly sensitive to the underlying event

The CDF underlying event data in the Transverse region can be described with appropriate tunings for the PYTHIA Multiple Partonic Interactions models, other models missing MPI (HERWIG, ISAJET) fail to reproduce the charged multiplicity and P_T spectra

Sensitivity to the beam remnant and multiple interactions components of the underlying event in the "Transverse" region can be enhanced selecting back to back jet topologies

Generator level comparison between Tevatron and LHC



Tevatron





filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch



filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

QCD at Cosmic Energies workshop





Select charged tracks in $|\eta| < 2$ with pT > 0.9 GeV/c

Sharged lets



discriminate DW against DWT



Select charged tracks in $|\eta| < 2$ with pT > 0.5 GeV/c

Charged lets



discriminate DWT against S0

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Charged Jets: Ratio



Ratios between uncorrected UE-observables: UE-density(pT(track) > 0.9 GeV/c) / UE-density(pT(track) > 1.5 GeV/c) **No additional track reconstruction corrections needed!** track reconstruction performance uniform in pT for pT > 0.9 GeV/c



discriminate DW/DWT against S0

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Trieste 26 May '09 QCD at Cosmic Energies workshop

28

CMS Physics Analysis Summary QCD-07-003

UE @ LHC... new strategy





From D-Y muon pair production

(using muon triggers) observables are the same but defined in all the φ plane (after removing the μ pairs everything else is UE)

Using D-Y electron pair?? Study the UE directly on Top and/or Higgs?? Main observables: dN/dηdφ, charged density d(PT_{sum})/dηdφ, energy density



Lack of statistics for $M(\mu,\mu)$ out of Z mass peak.

(error bars dominated by MC statistics, arbitrary luminosity)

Good agreement with MC prediction:

differences compatible with expected corrections from di-muon mass calibration, efficiency of charged track reconstruction and fake rate.

Experimental approach



- Study the Minimum Bias interaction
 - Study the MPI with "macroscopic" variables like charged multiplicity
 - We obtain indication on the energy dependencies of $P_{\rm T}$ cutoff
- Study the Underlying Event
 - Quantify the activity of process simultaneous to the hard interaction
 - Impact also in the understanding of the detector and to calibrate the tools for discovery
 - Study the MPI in the low-p_T kinematic region
- Study the Double Parton Scattering
 - We move the study of MPI from low p_T to high p_T kinematic region

Double Parton scattering





Double high P_T interactions observed by AFS, UA2, CDF and now D0!!!

[CDF Collab, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 584 (1997)] [http://www-d0.fnal.gov/Run2Physics/WWW/results/prelim/QCD/Q13/]

In the simplest model, DP produces a final state that mimics a combination of two independent scatterings.

 $\sigma_{\rm DP} \equiv m \, \frac{\sigma_A \sigma_B}{2 \, \sigma_{\rm eff}}.$

m=2 for distinguishable scatterings m=1 for indistinguishable scatterings

 σ_B /(2 $\sigma_{\text{eff}})$ is the probability of hard scattering B taking place given A, and this will be larger or smaller depending on the parton spatial density.

 σ_{eff} contains the information on the spatial distribution of partons

Possible analysis strategy

3j **+** γ

-Reproduce results of CDF and now DO



Counting same sign W pairs Counting pairs of charged mini-jets in MB events



Counting the pairs of mini-jets we can quantify directly the number of MPI

We move the study of MPI from low p_T to high p_T

Implication of DP and MPI at LHC



We cannot neglect the DP as additional sources of background for relevant process:

INFN

- HW
- W(Z)+jets,W(Z)b+jets,W(Z)bb+jets
- tt→llbb, tb →bbln
- bb+jets
- final state with multi jets (p_T^{min}~20,30 GeV)

[Treleani et al. Int.J.Mod.Phys.A20:4462-4468 (2005). Phys. Rev. D 72, 034022 (2005).]



Results obtained with new Pythia 8









Tevatron: $\sigma_{eff} = 11 \text{ mb}$ Treleani, Phys.Rev.D76:076006,2007

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Y + 3 Jet analysis (D0)





These are the event topologies considered for the analysis -> identical to the CDF topologies

γ + 3 Jet analysis (D0)





These are the event topologies considered for the analysis -> identical to the CDF topologies

γ + 3 Jet analysis (D0)





The D0 results are close to the original from CDF... maybe also this analysis have the same problem



Trieste 26 May '09 QCD at Cosmic Energies workshop

Mini-Jets analysis



[D.Treleani]

The idea of the measurement is to study the Rates for a given number N of Mini-Jet Pairs above a given P_T threshold -> Infrared Safe Quantity

$$< N > \sigma_{hard} = \sigma_{S}$$

$$\sigma_{DP} \equiv m \frac{\sigma_{A} \sigma_{B}}{2 \sigma_{eff}}.$$

$$< N(N-1) > \sigma_{hard} = \sigma_{D}$$

Where $\sigma_{inel} = \sigma_{soft} + \sigma_{Hard}$ "S" = Single Interactions, "D" = Double Interactions, "Hard" = Inclusive

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

 $\overline{2}$

Mini-Jets analysis



[D.Treleani]

The idea of the measurement is to study the Rates for a given number N of Mini-Jet Pairs above a given P_T threshold -> Infrared Safe Quantity

$$\langle \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{1}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{\mathbf{2}} \, \frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{hard}}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{eff}}}$$

Where $\sigma_{inel} = \sigma_{soft} + \overline{\sigma}_{Hard}$ "S" = Single Interactions, "D" = Double Interactions, "Hard" = Inclusive

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch





To count the number of MPI we count the pair of mini-jet Mini-jet are jet built using as input the charged particles only (tracks) and with a very low P_T threshold







To count the number of MPI we count the pair of mini-jet Mini-jet are jet built using as input the charged particles only (tracks) and with a very low P_T threshold



MPI off RAD on MPI on RAD off MPI off RAD off MPI on RAD on



With this analysis the σ_{eff} is not sensitive to the radiation and is also independent from the geometrical acceptance

$$\sigma_{\rm DP} \equiv m \, \frac{\sigma_A \sigma_B}{2 \, \sigma_{\rm eff}}.$$

 σ_{B} /($2\sigma_{eff}$) represent the probability of having B interaction starting from A interaction

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch



Thanks!!!!

Run 62063, Event 2433, Orbit 15231634, BX 680

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch

Tracker performance



$\int L dt = 1 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

Ideal aligned detector Misaligned Misaligned + APE (Alignment Position Error)

NFN

Efficiency and fake performances are recovered using APE (additional error to the hit in order to take into account alignment precision) Transverse momentum resolution partially recovered Higher efficiency with APE is due to the Multiple Scattering (MS) effect recovered by a larger search window

CMS Physics Analysis Summary QCD-07-003

filippo.ambroglini@cern.ch



Charged Jet (Ipb-I)

Select charged tracks in $|\eta| < 2$ with $P_T > 0.9$ GeV/c



[uncorrected data]

Recovering the tracking at startup assures the possibility to build UE observables from first days of data taking and start building correction functions