



2045-7

Joint ICTP-INFN-SISSA Conference: Topical Issues in LHC Physics

29 June - 2 July, 2009

Dark Matter in Light of PAMELA and Fermi

Marco Cirelli CNRS IPhT-CEA/Saclay France

2 july 2009 Joint ICTP-INFN-SISSA Conference

Dark Matter in light of PAMELA and Fermi

Marco Cirelli (CNRS, IPhT-CEA/Saclay)

in collaboration with: A.Strumia (Pisa) N.Fornengo (Torino) M.Tamburini (Pisa) R.Franceschini (Pisa) M.Raidal (Tallin) M.Kadastik (Tallin) Gf.Bertone (IAP Paris) M.Taoso (Padova) C.Bräuninger (Saclay) P.Panci (Saclay)

Nuclear Physics B 753 (2006) Nuclear Physics B 787 (2007) Nuclear Physics B 800 (2008) 0808.3867 [astro-ph] Nuclear Physics B 813 (2009) JCAP03 009 (2009) 0904.1165 0904.3830 and work in progress

2 july 2009 Joint ICTP-INFN-SISSA Conference

Seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?!?

Marco Cirelli (CNRS, IPhT-CEA/Saclay)

in collaboration with: A.Strumia (Pisa) N.Fornengo (Torino) M.Tamburini (Pisa) R.Franceschini (Pisa) M.Raidal (Tallin) M.Kadastik (Tallin) Gf.Bertone (IAP Paris) M.Taoso (Padova) C.Bräuninger (Saclay) P.Panci (Saclay)

Nuclear Physics B 753 (2006) Nuclear Physics B 787 (2007) Nuclear Physics B 800 (2008) 0808.3867 [astro-ph] Nuclear Physics B 813 (2009) JCAP03 009 (2009) 0904.1165 0904.3830 and work in progress

Questions

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?

2. Why there is new theory of DM on the arXiv every day?

The Evidence for DM

1) galaxy rotation curves



$\Omega_{\rm M}\gtrsim 0.1$

2) clusters of galaxies



$\Omega_{\rm M}\sim 0.2\div 0.4$

3) CMB+LSS(+SNIa:)



$\Omega_{\rm M} \approx 0.26 \pm 0.05$

DM exists.

It consists of a particle. Permeates galactic haloes.

The Evidence for DM

1) galaxy rotation curves



$\Omega_{\rm M}\gtrsim 0.1$

2) clusters of galaxies



$\Omega_{\rm M}\sim 0.2\div 0.4$

3) CMB+LSS(+SNIa:)



$\Omega_{ m M} pprox 0.26 \pm 0.05$

What is the DM??

It consists of a particle. Permeates galactic haloes.

A thermal relic from the Early Universe

Boltzmann equation in the Early Universe:

$$\Omega_X \approx \frac{6 \ 10^{-27} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}}{\langle \sigma_{\mathrm{ann}} v \rangle}$$

Relic $\Omega_{\rm DM} \simeq 0.23$ for $\langle \sigma_{\rm ann} v \rangle = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} {\rm cm}^3/{\rm sec}$



(WIMP)

Weak cross section:

$$\langle \sigma_{\rm ann} v \rangle \approx \frac{\alpha_w^2}{M^2} \approx \frac{\alpha_w^2}{1 \,{\rm TeV}^2} \Rightarrow \Omega_X \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{few } 0.1)$$

, direct detection Xenon, CDMS (Dama/Libra?)

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic halo or center (line + continuum) Fermi

Vindirect e^{-} from annihil in galactic halo or center
PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi
 \bar{p} from annihil in galactic halo or center
 \bar{p} from annihil in galactic halo or center
GAPS
 $\nu, \bar{\nu}$ from annihil in massive bodies
Icecube, Km3Net

direct detection

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic halo or center (line + continuum)

indirect e^{-} from annihil in galactic halo or center
PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi
 \bar{p} from annihil in galactic halo or center \bar{p} from annihil in galactic halo or center $\bar{\nu}, \bar{\nu}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

direct detection

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic halo or center (line + continuum)

 indirect
 e^{-} from annihil in galactic halo or center

 \bar{p} from annihil in galactic halo or center

 \bar{D} from annihil in galactic halo or center

 \bar{D} from annihil in galactic halo or center

 \bar{D} from annihil in galactic halo or center

direct detection

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic halo or center (line + continuum)

indirect e^- from annihil in galactic halo or center \bar{p} from annihil in galactic halo or center \bar{D} from annihil in galactic halo or center \bar{V} \bar{V} \bar{V} from annihil in massive bodies

$\frac{\text{Indirect Detection}}{\bar{p} \text{ and } e^+ \text{from DM annihilations in halo}}$

















flux $\propto n^2 \sigma_{\text{annihilation}}$ astro& particle referor $\sigma v = \sigma v$

reference cross section: $\sigma v = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$

DM halo profiles

From N-body numerical simulations:

$$\rho(r) = \rho_{\odot} \left[\frac{r_{\odot}}{r}\right]^{\gamma} \left[\frac{1 + (r_{\odot}/r_s)^{\alpha}}{1 + (r/r_s)^{\alpha}}\right]^{(\beta - \gamma)/\alpha}$$

At small r: $ho(r) \propto 1/r^{\gamma}$

$$\rho(r) = \rho_s \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{2}{\alpha}\left(\left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\alpha} - 1\right)\right]$$

cuspy: NFW, Moore mild: Einasto smooth: isothermal

Halo model	α	eta	γ	r_s in kpc
Cored isothermal	2	2	0	5
Navarro, Frenk, White	1	3	1	20
Moore	1	3	1.16	30

Einasto | $\alpha = 0.17$

$$\alpha \equiv 0.17$$
 $r_s \equiv 20 \,\mathrm{kpc}$ ρ_s

 $= 0.06 \,\mathrm{GeV/cm^3}$



Indirect Detection

Boost Factor: local clumps in the DM halo enhance the density, boost the flux from annihilations. Typically: $B \simeq 1 \rightarrow 20 \ (10^4)$

For illustration:





Computing the theory predictions



2. primary channel(s)

Comparing with data

Positrons from PAMELA:

Payload for Anti-Matter Exploration and Light-nuclei Astrophysics





calibrated on accelerator fluxes

magnetic spectrometer: charge and energy

calorimeter: e^{\pm} vs p/\bar{p} (IIIeke stowers)

Big challenge: backgnd contamination from p (10⁴ more numerous at 100 GeV)

Swips thru

Positrons from PAMELA:

- steep e^+ excess above 10 GeV! - very large flux!

positron fraction:

(errors statistical only,



Positrons from PAMELA:

steep e⁺ excess
above 10 GeV!
very large flux!



Antiprotons from PAMELA:

0.1 BESS 95+97 **BESS 99** 0.01 **BESS 00** Wizard-MASS 91 anti-proton flux $[1/(m^2 \sec \operatorname{sr} \operatorname{GeV})]$ **CAPRICE 94 CAPRICE 98** 10^{-3} PAMELA 08 Pamela Coll. 2008. submitted to PRL 10^{-4} 10^{-5} Dackgroun 10^{-6} 10^{-7} 10 100 1000 $T_{\overline{p}}$ [GeV]

- consistent with the background

(about 1000 \bar{p} collected)

Which DM spectra can fit the data? E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 150 \,{\rm GeV}$ -annihilation DM $DM \rightarrow W^+W^-$ (a possible SuperSymmetric candidate: wino)



Anti-protons:

Which DM spectra can fit the data? E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 10 \,{
m TeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $ightarrow W^+W^-$



Which DM spectra can fit the data? E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 10 \,{\rm TeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^$ but...: -cross sec $\sigma_{\rm ann} v = 6 \cdot 10^{-22} {\rm cm}^3/{\rm sec}$

Positrons:



Anti-protons:



Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons only



Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons + anti-protons



Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

Cross section required by PAMELA



Data Sets Electrons + positrons from ATIC, PPB-BETS:







Advanced Thin Ionization Calorimeter

- bigger/denser: higher energy

- calorimeter only, no magnet: no charge discrimination

Data Sets Electrons + positrons from ATIC, PPB-BETS:



- an $e^+ + e^-$ excess at ~700 GeV??


Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data? A DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 1 \,{ m TeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$



10⁴

Which DM spectra can fit the data? A DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 1 \,{\rm TeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



Have we identified the DM for the first time???

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner et al. 0810: Yes! + a ton of others

Electrons + Positrons:



Results Which DM can fit the data?

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - Yin, Yuan, Liu, Zhang, Bi, Zhu, 0811.0176: Leptonically decaying DM - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - K.Hamaguchi, E.Nakamura, S.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0737: Decaying DM in Composite Messenger - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - A.Ibarra, D.Tran, 0811.1555: Decaying DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.3357: Decaying Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM -I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ GeV WIMP - E.Nardi, F.Sannino, A.Strumia, 0811.4153: Decaying DM in TechniColor - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC -A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075: Decaying DM in GUTs - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM- S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - .Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - C.-H.Chen, C.-Q.Geng, D.Zhuridov, 0901.2681: Fermionic decaying DM -J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons - Goh, Hall, Kumar, 0902.0814: Leptonic Higgs - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z₂ parity -

Results Which DM can fit the data?

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - Yin, Yuan, Liu, Zhang, Bi, Zhu, 0811.0176: Leptonically decaying DM - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - K.Hamaguchi, E.Nakamura, S.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0737: Decaying DM in Composite Messenger - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - A.Ibarra, D.Tran, 0811.1555: Decaying DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.3357: Decaying Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM -I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ GeV WIMP - E.Nardi, F.Sannino, A.Strumia, 0811.4153: Decaying DM in TechniColor - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC -A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075: Decaying DM in GUTs - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM- S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - .Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - C.-H.Chen, C.-Q.Geng, D.Zhuridov, 0901.2681: Fermionic decaying DM -J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons - Goh, Hall, Kumar, 0902.0814: Leptonic Higgs - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z₂ parity - ...

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons^{*} + balloon experiments



*adding anti-protons does not change much, non-leptonic channels give too smooth spectrum for balloons

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons^{*} + balloon experiments



(1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$), mass ~1 TeV

Data Sets Electrons + positrons from FERMI and HESS:



(Usa + France +Italy + Germany + Japan + Sweden)



"Designed as a high-sensitivity gamma-ray observatory, the FERMI Large Area Telescope is also an electron detector with a large acceptance" "The very large collection area of groundbased gamma-ray telescopes gives them a substantial advantage over balloon/satellite based instruments in the detection of highenergy cosmic-ray electrons."

Data sets

Electrons + positrons adding FERMI and HESS:



- no $e^+ + e^-$ excess - spectrum $\sim E^{-3.04}$
- a (smooth) cutoff?

Results Which DM spectra can fit the data?

$\mu^+\mu^-, M_{\rm DM} \simeq 1 \,{\rm TeV}$









Results Which DM spectra can fit the data?

$\mu^+\mu^-, M_{DM} \simeq 1 \text{ TeV}$

Notice:

- same spectra still fit PAMELA positron and anti-protons!



$W^+W^-, M_{\rm DM} \simeq 10 \,{\rm TeV}$



- no features in FERMI => $M_{\rm DM}$ > 1 TeV - a 'cutoff' in HESS => $M_{\rm DM} \lesssim 3$ TeV

- smooth lepton spectrum

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA + FERMI + HESS (no balloon):



(1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\tau^+\tau^-$), mass ~3 TeV

Two important remarks

A. Maybe it's just a pulsar, or other astrophysics



B. Associated gamma ray and radio constraints from the GC, Gal Halo and dwarf galaxies are severe



Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



© Mark A. Garlick space-art.co.uk

'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr (typical total energy output: 10⁴⁶ erg).

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.

Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

(1.4



Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



Geminga pulsar

(funny that it means: "it is not there" in milanese) 'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young ($T < 10^5$ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.

Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Try the fit with known nearby pulsars:

	LIST OF NEARBY SNRS		
SNR	Distance (kpc)	Age (yr)	E_{\max}^{a} (TeV)
SN 185	0.95	1.8×10^{3}	1.7×10^{2}
S147	0.80	4.6×10^{3}	63
HB 21	0.80	1.9×10^4	14
G65.3+5.7	0.80	2.0×10^4	13
Cygnus Loop	0.44	2.0×10^4	13
Vela	0.30	1.1×10^{4}	25
Monogem	0.30	8.6×10^4	2.8
Loop1	0.17	2.0×10^5	1.2
Geminga	0.4	3.4×10^{5}	0.67



Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux. Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Try the fit with known nearby pulsars:

	TABLE 1 List of Nearby SNRs		
SNR	Distance (kpc)	Age (yr)	E _{max} ^a (TeV)
SN 185	0.95	1.8×10^{3}	1.7×10^{2}
S147	0.80	4.6×10^{3}	63
HB 21	0.80	1.9×10^4	14
G65.3+5.7	0.80	2.0×10^4	13
Cygnus Loop	0.44	2.0×10^4	13
Vela	0.30	1.1×10^{4}	25
Monogem	0.30	8.6×10^4	2.8
Loop1	0.17	2.0×10^{5}	1.2
Geminga	0.4	3.4×10^5	0.67



Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.

Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Try the fit with known nearby pulsars and diffuse mature pulsars:



Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux. Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Open issue.

(look for anisotropies, (both for single source and collection in disk)

(Fermi is discovering a pulsar a week)

or shape of the spectrum...)

e.g. Yuksel, Kistler, Stanev 0810.2784 Hall, Hooper 0811.3362

Two important remarks

A. Maybe it's just a pulsar, or other astrophysics



B. Associated gamma ray and radio constraints from the GC, Gal Halo and dwarf galaxies are severe



DM detection

direct detection

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic center and from synchrotron emission

HESS, radio telescopes

\indirect/

p from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi p from annihil in galactic halo or center

from annihil in galactic halo or center

 ${m
u}$ from annihil in massive bodies

$\frac{1}{\gamma} \text{ from DM annihilations in galactic center}$



$\frac{1}{\gamma} \text{ from DM annihilations in Sagittarius Dwarf}$



Indirect Detection

radio-waves from synchrotron radiation of e^{\pm} in GC





- upscatter of CMB, infrared and starlight photons on energetic $\,e^{\pm}$
- probes regions outside of Galactic Center

Comparing with data

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not excede that.



HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not excede that.



HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not excede that.

Moreover: no detection from Sgr dSph => upper bound.





Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not excede that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.



Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not excede that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.

VLT 2003 emission at 10¹⁴ Hz.



integrate emission over a small angle corresponding to angular resolution of instrument

EGRET and **FERMI** have measured diffuse γ -ray emission. The DM signal must not excede that.







DM DM $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, NFW profile



The PAMELA and ATIC regions are in conflict with gamma constraints, unless...



Der tone, Onem, Strum



Inverse Compton γ constraints

DM DM $\rightarrow \mu\mu$, Einasto profile



Cirelli, Panci 0904.3830

The PAMELA and ATIC regions are in conflict with these gamma constraints, and here...

Inverse Compton γ constraints



Answers

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays? I don't know, I fear it's unlikely, but maybe... Maybe it's a pulsar.

2. Why there is new theory of DM on the arXiv every day?

Because the signals point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:

- DM is heavy
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)
- must not produce too many gammas

Upcoming data: Fermi, ATIC-4, Pamela, HESS, AMS-02...
Answers

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays? I don't know, I fear it's unlikely, but maybe... Maybe it's a pulsar.

2. Why there is new theory of DM on the arXiv every day?

Because the signals point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:

- DM is heavy
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)
- must not produce too many gammas

Decoming data: Fermi, ATIC-4, Pamela, HESS, AMS-02...

DM annihilations: the game

Dark Matter annihilations





























Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

The recent PAMELA results might be a breakthrough: excess in positrons, nothing in anti-protons.

Would anything go with PAMELA? Not at all! DM must - annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$) or - annihilate into W^+W^- with mass ≥ 10 TeV and you need a huge flux. Not your garden variety vanilla DM...

Adding balloon data (ATIC, PPB-BETS): DM must annihilate into $\mu^+\mu^-$ and have $M_{\rm DM} \simeq 1 \,{\rm TeV}$ Adding FERMI & HESS data: DM must annihilate into $\tau^+\tau^-$ (?) and have $M_{\rm DM} \simeq 2 \div 3 \,{\rm TeV}$ But: gamma, synchrotron and ICS constraints are severe! Need a not-too-steep DM profile. Future data (PAMELA, FERMI, AMSO2...) will be crucial. Will it be just some young, nearby pulsar?

Back up slides

The cosmic inventory

Most of the Universe is Dark.





FAvgQ: what's the difference between DM and DE?

DM behaves like matter

- overall it dilutes as volume expands - clusters gravitationally on small scales - $w = P/\rho = 0$ (NR matter) (radiation has w = -1/3)

DE behaves like a constant

- it does not dilute
- does not cluster, it is prob homogeneous $w=P/
 ho\simeq -1$

- pulls the acceleration, FRW eq. $\frac{a}{1} = -\frac{4\pi C}{2}$

$$-\frac{4\pi G_{\rm N}}{3}(1-3w)\rho$$



DM N-body simulations

2 10⁶ CDM particles, 43 Mpc cubic box



DM N-body simulations

2dF: 2.2 10⁵ galaxies SDSS: 10⁶ galaxies, 2 billion lyr



Springel, Frenk, White, Nature 440 (2006)

Millennium: 10¹⁰ particles, 500 h⁻¹ Mpc

[back]

The Evidence for DM

How would the power spectra be without DM? (and no other extra ingredient)



[back]

Boost Factor: local clumps in the DM halo enhance the density, boost the flux from annihilations. Typically: $B \simeq 1 \rightarrow 20 \ (10^4)$

In principle, B is different for e^+ , anti-p and gammas,

energy dependent,

dependent on many astro assumptions (inner density profile of clump, tidal disruptions and smoothing...), with an energy dependent variance, at high energy for e⁺, at low energy for anti-p.

positrons

antiprotons





Where do positrons come from?



Results for positrons:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM <u>halo</u> profile
- <u>boost</u> factor B



Results for positrons:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM <u>halo</u> profile
- <u>boost</u> factor B

Distinctive signal, quite robust vs astro.



Propagation for antiprotons:





Solar polarity Modulation of cosmic rays:

solar magnetic polarity reverses at (the max of) each cycle; during '- polarity' state, positive particles are more deflected away





Background estimation for positrons:

T. Delahaye et al. (2008)



using new measuremens of electron fluxes Casadei, Bindi 2004

Background estimation for positrons:

relaxing the assumption of isotropy* in propagation model (aCDM = anisotropic convection driven transport model), allows to fit PAMELA with pure background

e+/e+e- fraction galdef ID XX.4_Ch1278/Chan833/Ch1273/Ch1485 optimized aCDM * (ROSAT X-ray satellite has seen fast, strong SN winds coming out from conventional aCDM (+variations in electron galaxy plane: not isotropic) injection spectrum and magnetic field) solar modulation tuned for averaged data e⁺/(e⁺+e⁻) DM negligible **10**⁻¹ averaged data (without PAMELA) **PAMELA 2008** 10⁻² 10³ 10^{-3} **10**⁻¹ 10² 10 Energy [GeV] Gebauer 0811.2767

Background estimation for positrons:

SNRs in the spiral arm as sources of electrons (not positrons), whose flux drops at 10 GeV for energy loss = PAMELA

additional more local SNRs inject further electrons at 100 GeV = ATIC



Background estimation for positrons:

SNRs in the spiral arm as sources of electrons (not positrons), whose flux drops at 10 GeV for energy loss = PAMELA

additional more local SNRs inject further electrons at 100 GeV = ATIC

But: preliminary PAMELA data on absolute e⁻ flux show harder spectrum (E^{-3.33}) than this prediction...; do nearby sources agree with B/C...?



Background computations for antiprotons:

 $\log_{10}\Phi_{\bar{p}}^{\rm bkg} = -1.64 + 0.07\,\tau - \tau^2 - 0.02\,\tau^3 + 0.028\,\tau^4 \qquad \tau = \log_{10}T/{\rm GeV}$


Indirect Detection

Results for anti-protons:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM <u>halo</u> profile
- <u>boost</u> factor B



Indirect Detection

Results for anti-protons:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM <u>halo</u> profile
- <u>boost</u> factor B





Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data? Ok, let's *insist* on Wino with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 200 \,{
m GeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$

If one: - assumes non-thermal production of DM

- takes positron energy loss 5 times larger than usual
- takes "min" propagation only
- gives up ATIC
- neglects conflict with EGRET bound (4 times too many gammas)

then:

Positrons:

Anti-protons:



Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data? Ok, let's *insist* on KK DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 600 - 800 \,{\rm GeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow l^+l^-$ (BR = 60%) DM DM $\rightarrow q\bar{q} (BR = 35\%)$ Good fit with: - boost B = 1800B: $K(E_e) = 1.4 \times 10^{28} \, (E_e/4 \, \text{GeV})^{0.43} \, \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$, L=1 kpc - propagation model very large energy loss with very small L **Positrons**: Electrons + Positrons: Anti-protons: $-m_B \omega = 600$ GeV, BF=700, $\chi^2/dof = 1.20$ $m_{B^{(1)}}=600$ GeV, BF=700, $\chi^2/dof=0.86$ 0.100 10^{-3} Model A $m_{\rm R}$ = 800 GeV, BF=1800, $\chi^2/dof=1.28$ • ATIC-1 • ATIC-2 $m_{\rm B}$ = 800 GeV, BF = 1800, $\chi^2/{\rm dof} = 0.80$ 0.20 Prop 0.050 $\Phi_{e^+}/(\Phi_{e^+}+\Phi_{e^-})$ 0.10 0.020 dN_e/dE_e (GeV² 10^{-4} 0.05 0.010 0.005 0.02 Propagation Model B Propagation Model E 0.01 10 0.002 2 5 10 20 50 100 10 20 50 100 200 5 20 200 500 100 1000 2000 50 E_{p} (GeV) E_e (GeV) E_e (GeV) Hooper, K.Zurek 0902.0593 where are the secondaries?

Electrons + positrons from Fermi-LAT:

Fermi detects gammas by pair production: it's inherently an e⁺e⁻ detector



Which DM spectra can fit the data?





Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM: heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

Cirelli, Strumia et al. 2005-2009

Tytgat et al. 0901.2556

- More drastic extensions: New models with a rich Dark sector

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz. 0810.1502: Sec al DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Di ctor - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - K.Ishiwata S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250; Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387; sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399; Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Tak T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-B DM - S.Baek, P.Ko. 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.36 nt DM - M Ibe, H.Muravama, T.T.Yanagida Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation II(1) in GMSB - M Lattanzi J Silk 0812 0360 Somme cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.04 Zhu, 0812,0964; DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812,1174 usaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: 374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper. A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delau . 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park. Shu. 0901.0720: Split-UED DM R.Khalid, O.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901,0923; cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901,1334; Dark Matter; the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tvtgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - J.Mardon, Y.Nomu : Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons -K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z₂ parity - ...

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM: heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

Cirelli, Strumia et al. 2005-2009

Tytgat et al. 0901.2556

More drastic extensions: New models with a rich Dark sector

TeV mass DM
new forces (that Sommerfeld enhance)
leptophilic because: - kinematics (light mediator)
DM carries lepton #

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009 Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153 A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

The "Theory of DM"

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Finkbeiner et al. 0810.0713 0811.3641

Basic ingredients:

- χ Dark Matter particle, decoupled from SM, mass $_{M}$ \sim 700+ GeV
- ϕ new gauge boson ("Dark photon"),
 - couples only to DM, with typical gauge strength, $m_{\phi} \sim \text{few GeV}$ - mediates Sommerfeld enhancement of $\chi \bar{\chi}$ annihilation:

 $\alpha M/m_V \gtrsim 1$ fulfilled

- decays only into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$ for kinematical limit



The "Theory of DM"

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Finkbeiner et al. 0810.0713 0811.3641

Basic ingredients:

- χ Dark Matter particle, decoupled from SM, mass $_{M}$ \sim 700+ GeV
- ϕ new gauge boson ("Dark photon"),
 - couples only to DM, with typical gauge strength, $m_{\phi} \sim \text{few GeV}$ - mediates Sommerfeld enhancement of $\chi \bar{\chi}$ annihilation:

 $\alpha M/m_V\gtrsim 1$ fulfilled

- decays only into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$ for kinematical limit



Extras:

- χ is a multiplet of states and ϕ is non-abelian gauge boson: splitting $\delta M \sim 200 \; {
 m KeV}$ (via loops of non-abelian bosons) - inelastic scattering explains DAMA
 - eXcited state decay $\chi\chi \rightarrow \chi\chi^*$ explains INTEGRAL

 $\hookrightarrow e^+e^-$

The "Theory of DM"

Phenomenology:







Variations

pioneering: Secluded DM, U(1) Stückelberg extension of SM

Pospelov, Ritz et al 0711.4866 P.Nath et al 0810.5762



Axion Portal: ϕ is pseudoscalar axion-like Nomura, Thaler 0810.5397

singlet-extended UED: χ is KK RNnu, ϕ is an extra bulk singlet Bai, Han 0811.0387

split UED: χ annihilates only to leptons because quarks are on another brane Park, Shu 0901.0720



DM carrying lepton number: χ charged under $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$, ϕ gauge boson Cirelli, Kadastik, Raidal, Strumia 0809.2409 Fox, Poppitz 0811.0399 $(m_{\phi} \sim \text{tens GeV})$



New Heavy Lepton: χ annihilates into Ξ that carries lepton number and decays weakly (~ TeV) (~ 100s GeV)





"PAMELA did not do in-flight checks of the p rejection rate"



M.Schubnell, ENTApP workshop CERN, 02.2009

"PAMELA did do in-flight checks of the p rejection rate" Method: in the calorimeter, leptons leave all their energy and on the top; protons leave little energy and in the bottom.



Step 1: use the upper portion of the calorimeter to select electrons only $(\bar{p} \text{ negligible})$

Step 2: shower in lower protons only

Step 3: full analysis (see that peak is statistically consistent with e^{-} peak of step 1)

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not excede that.



HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not excede that.



HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not excede that.

Moreover: no detection from Sgr dSph => upper bound.





Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not excede that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.



Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not excede that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.

VLT 2003 emission at 10¹⁴ Hz.



integrate emission over a small angle corresponding to angular resolution of instrument

EGRET and **FERMI** have measured diffuse γ -ray emission. The DM signal must not excede that.





