



2046-4

#### Summer College on Nonequilibrium Physics from Classical to Quantum Low Dimensional Systems

6 - 24 July 2009

Non-adiabatic dynamics and thermodynamics in isolated out of equilibrium systems

Anatoli Polkovnikov Boston University Non-adiabatic dynamics and thermodynamics in isolated out of equilibrium systems.

Anatoli Polkovnikov, Boston University

**Collaborators:** 

C. De Grandi, R. Barankov, BU V. Gritsev, Fribourg



Trieste, Italy, July 2009



\* Basic facts from thermodynamics: energy, heat, work, first and second laws of thermodynamics, fundamental thermodynamic relation. Non-equilibrium work relations (Jarzyisky equality).

\* Thermalization in isolated systems; eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. Heat, entropy in driven gapless systems. Consistency of laws of thermodynamics and Hamiltonian dynamics.

\* Connection between quantum and thermodynamic adiabatic theorems.

\* Universal adiabatic dynamics near critical points

Basic facts from thermodynamics Macroscopic properties of large systems Are fully determined By energy (other possible conserved quantities) and external parameters : volume, magnetic field, .....

 $S \approx \frac{N!}{(N_{2})!(N_{2})!} \sim \frac{1}{5N} 2^{N}$ 

For large N B) MACrostate TS

over whelmengly more probable than

Q) MACTOSTATE.

Key quality in thermodynamics - entropy S(E) = Cn R(E) E, E, E, Equilibrium: most probable macrostate is the one which MAXIMIZES the number of AVAILABLE MICrostate  $\mathcal{R}_{i}(E_{i}) \mathcal{R}_{i}(E-E_{i}) = max$ la Ri(Ei) + la Ri(E-Ei) = MAX  $\frac{\partial c_n \mathcal{N}(E_1)}{\partial E_1} = \frac{\partial l_n \mathcal{N}_2(E_2)}{\partial E_2} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}}$ 

So dE = TdS More generally F - generalized (\*) dE= Tas - Jdx force X = Volume =, F = Pressure X = Magnetic field => F = magnetization Equation (\*) is called fundamental' thermodynamics relation. It is thought to be AlwAYS true.

Out of equilibrium systems RICEI RECEISMAX | E, | E - E, | $S_1(E_1) + S_2(E_2) \leq S(E) = S_1(E_1^*) + S_2(E - E_1^*)$ It is generally assumed that if the system is not in equilibrium then Si(E.) + Si(E-E.) 7 until the equilibrium is reached.

NI NZ This is Basically probabilistic XT statement - the system has GTX A higher chance to end up in A more probable state. Many phenomena like friction, spontaneous emission, etc. are explained through this principle. E.g. Friction is explaine by the fact that the released energy can go to many different phonon configurations. Non quasistatic processes : proflems with separation of energy on heat & work



Electric oven heats food



Microwave oven does work on food

Usual candidate for entropy:

Classical systems Gibbs entropy $S = -S d\Gamma g(p,q) ln g(p,q)$ 

A very interesting exact non-equilibrium result for open systems: TARZinsky



 $\frac{1}{2i} \operatorname{Sdr}_{i} e^{-\beta (E_{f}(p,q) - E_{i}(p,q))} e^{\beta E_{i}(p,q)} - \frac{1}{2i} \operatorname{Sdr}_{i} e^{-\beta (E_{f}(p,q) - E_{i}(p,q))} e^{-\beta E_{i}(p,q)} - \frac{1}{2i} \operatorname{Sdr}_{i} e^{-\beta (E_{f}(p,q) - E_{i}(p,q))} e^{-\beta E_{i}(p,q)} e^{-\beta E_{i$  $=\frac{1}{Z_{i}}\int d\Gamma_{i}e^{-\beta E_{i}(\rho,\varrho)} = \frac{1}{Z_{i}}\int d\Gamma_{i}e^{-\beta E_{i}(\rho,\varrho)} = \frac{Z_{i}}{Z_{i}}$ Z=e<sup>-BF</sup>

Thermalization in isolated systems. Ergodic hypothesis

### STUDIES OF NON LINEAR PROBLEMS

E. FERMI, J. PASTA, and S. ULAM Document LA-1940 (May 1955).

A one-dimensional dynamical system of 64 particles with forces between neighbors containing nonlinear terms has been studied on the Los Alamos computer MANIAC I. The nonlinear terms considered are quadratic, cubic, and broken linear types. The results are analyzed into Fourier components and plotted as a function of time.

The results show very little, if any, tendency toward equipartition of energy among the degrees of freedom.

$$\begin{aligned} x_i' &= (x_{i+1} + x_{i-1} - 2 x_i) + \alpha \left[ (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 - (x_i - x_{i-1})^2 \right] \\ & (i = 1, 2, \cdots, 64), \end{aligned}$$



In the continuum this system is equivalent to an integrable KdV equation. The solution splits into non-thermalizing solitons Kruskal and Zabusky (1965).

### Qauntum Newton Craddle.

#### (collisions in 1D interecating Bose gas – Lieb-Liniger model)

T. Kinoshita, T. R. Wenger and D. S. Weiss, Nature **440**, 900 – 903 (2006)



#### Thermalization in Quantum systems.

Consider the time average of a certain observable A in an isolated system after a quench.

$$\langle A(t) \rangle = \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m}(t) A_{m,n} = \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m}(0) e^{i(E_m - E_n)t} A_{m,n}$$
$$\overline{\langle A \rangle} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle A(t) \rangle dt = \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,n} A_{n,n}$$

Eignestate thermalization hypothesis (*Srednicki 1994*; *M. Rigol, V. Dunjko & M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 , 2008*.):  $A_{n,n} \sim \text{const}(n)$  so there is no dependence on  $\rho_{nn}$ .

Necessary assumption:  $A_{m,n} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|E_n - E_m| << 1/\tau$ 



#### M. Rigol, V. Dunjko & M. Olshanii, Nature **452**, 854 (2008)

**a**, Two-dimensional lattice on which five hard-core bosons propagate in time.

**b**, The corresponding relaxation dynamics of the central component  $n(k_x = 0)$  of the marginal momentum distribution, compared with the predictions of the three ensembles

Diagonal/relaxation**c**, Full momentum distribution function dynamics Microcanonical in the initial state, after relaxation, and canonical in the different ensembles.

# **Information about equilibrium is fully contained in diagonal elements of the density matrix.**

This is true for all thermodynamic observables: energy, pressure, magnetization, .... (pick your favorite). They all are linear in  $\rho$ .

This is not true about von Neumann entropy!

$$S_n = -Tr(\rho \ln \rho)$$

Off-diagonal elements do not average to zero.

The usual way around: coarse-grain density matrix (remove by hand fast oscillating off-diagonal elements of  $\rho$ .

Problem: not a unique procedure, explicitly violates time reversibility and Hamiltonian dynamics.

Von Neumann entropy: always conserved in time (in isolated systems). More generally it is invariant under arbitrary unitary transfomations

$$-S_n(t) = Tr\rho(t)\ln\rho(t) = TrU^+\rho U\ln U^+\rho U = Tr\rho\ln\rho$$

Thermodynamics: entropy is conserved only for adiabatic (slow, reversible) processes. Otherwise it increases.

Quantum mechanics: for adiabatic processes there are no transitions between energy levels:  $\rho_{nn}(t) = \text{const}(t)$ 

If these two adiabatic theorems are related then the entropy should only depend on  $\rho_{nn}$ .

#### Thermodynamic adiabatic theorem.

In a cyclic adiabatic process the energy of the system *does not change:* no work done on the system, no heating, and no entropy is generated .

General expectation:

$$E(\dot{\lambda}) = E(0) + \beta \,\dot{\lambda}^2, \quad S(\dot{\lambda}) = S(0) + \alpha \,\dot{\lambda}^2$$

 $\dot{\lambda}$  - is the rate of change of external parameter.

Adiabatic theorem in quantum mechanics

Landau Zener process:



$$\hat{H} = \delta t \cdot \delta_z + \Delta \delta_x$$
$$P_{\tau}(\infty) = e^{-\frac{\pi \Delta^2}{5\pi}}$$

In the limit  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  transitions between different energy levels are suppressed.

This, for example, implies reversibility (no work done) in a cyclic process.

# Adiabatic theorem in QM suggests adiabatic theorem in thermodynamics:

- 1. Transitions are unavoidable in large gapless systems.
- 2. Phase space available for these transitions decreases with the rate. Hence expect

$$E(\dot{\lambda}) = E(0) + \beta \,\dot{\lambda}^2, \quad S(\dot{\lambda}) = S(0) + \alpha \,\dot{\lambda}^2$$

Low dimensions: high density of low energy states, breakdown of mean-field approaches in equilibrium

Breakdown of Taylor expansion in low dimensions, especially near singularities (phase transitions).

Three regimes of response to the slow linear ramp: A.P. and V.Gritsev, Nature Physics 4, 477 (2008)

A. Mean field (analytic) – high dimensions:

 $E(\dot{\lambda}) = E(0) + \beta \,\dot{\lambda}^2$ 

B. Non-analytic – low dimensions

 $E(\dot{\lambda}) = E(0) + \beta |\dot{\lambda}|^{r}, \quad r \leq 2$ 

C. Non-adiabatic – low dimensions, bosonic excitations

$$E(\dot{\lambda}) = E(0) + \beta |\dot{\lambda}|^r L^{\eta}, \quad r \le 2, \eta > 0$$

In all three situations quantum and thermodynamic adiabatic theorem are smoothly connected.

The adiabatic theorem in thermodynamics does follow from the adiabatic theorem in quantum mechanics.

Connection between two adiabatic theorems allows us to define *heat* (A.P., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 220402, 2008).

Consider an arbitrary dynamical process and work in the instantaneous energy basis (adiabatic basis).

$$E(\lambda_t, t) = \sum_n \varepsilon_n(\lambda_t) \rho_{nn}(t) = \sum_n \varepsilon_n(\lambda_t) \rho_{nn}(0)$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_n \varepsilon_n(\lambda_t) [\rho_{nn}(t) - \rho_{nn}(0)] = E_{ad}(\lambda_t) + Q(\lambda_t, t)$$

- Adiabatic energy is the function of the state.
- Heat is the function of the process.
- Heat vanishes in the adiabatic limit. *Now this is not the postulate, this is a consequence of the Hamiltonian dynamics!*

## Isolated systems. Initial stationary state. $\rho_{nm}(0) = \rho_n^0 \delta_{nm}$

Unitarity of the evolution:



In general there is no detailed balance even for cyclic processes (but within the Fremi-Golden rule there is).

#### What about entropy?

- Entropy should be related to heat (energy), which knows only about  $\rho_{nn}$ .
- Entropy does not change in the adiabatic limit, so it should depend only on  $\rho_{nn}$ .
- Ergodic hypothesis requires that all thermodynamic quantities (including entropy) should depend only on  $\rho_{nn}$ .
- In thermal equilibrium the statistical entropy should coincide with the von Neumann's entropy:

$$S_n = -Tr(\rho \ln \rho) = -\sum_n \rho_n \ln \rho_n, \quad \rho_n = \frac{1}{Z} \exp[-\beta E_n]$$

Simple resolution: diagonal entropy

$$S_d = -\sum_n \rho_{nn} \ln \rho_{nn}$$

the sum is taken in the instantaneous energy basis.

Properties of d-entropy (R. Barankov, A. Polkovnikov, arXiv:0806.2862.).

Jensen's inequality:

$$Tr(-\rho \ln \rho + \rho_d \ln \rho_d) \le Tr[(\rho - \rho_d) \ln \rho_d] = 0$$

Therefore if the initial density matrix is stationary (diagonal) then

$$S_d(t) \ge S_n(t) = S_n(0) = S_d(0)$$

Now assume that the initial state is thermal equilibrium

$$\rho_n^0 = \frac{1}{Z} \exp[-\beta \varepsilon_n]$$

Let us consider an infinitesimal change of the system and compute energy and entropy change.

$$\rho_{nn}(t) = \rho_n^0 + \sum_m p_{m \to n}(t)(\rho_m^0 - \rho_n^0)$$

yields

$$Q(t) = \sum_{n} \varepsilon_{n} \Delta \rho_{nn}(t) = \sum_{n} \varepsilon_{n} (\rho_{m}^{0} - \rho_{n}^{0}) p_{m \to n}(t)$$

If there is a detailed balance then

Heat is non-negative for cyclic processes if the initial density matrix is passive  $(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m)(\rho_m^0 - \rho_n^0) \ge 0$ . Second law of thermodynamics in Thompson (Kelvin's form).

The statement is also true without the detailed balance but the proof is more complicated (Thirring, Quantum Mathematical Physics, Springer 1999).

$$\Delta E \cong \sum_{n} \Delta \varepsilon_{n} \rho_{n}^{0} + \varepsilon_{n}^{0} \Delta \rho_{nn}$$

$$\Delta S_d \cong -\sum_n \Delta \rho_{nn} (\ln \rho_n^0 + 1) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \varepsilon_n^0 \Delta \rho_n$$

Recover the first law of thermodynamics (Fundamental Relation).

$$\Delta E = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda}\right)_{S_d} \Delta \lambda + T \Delta S_d$$

If  $\lambda$  stands for the volume the we find

$$\Delta E = -P\Delta V + T\Delta S_d$$

Classical systems.



Instead of energy levels we have orbits.

 $\rho_{nn} \leftrightarrow$  probability to occupy an orbit with energy E.

$$\rho_{nm} \propto \exp[i(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m)t] \quad \leftrightarrow$$

describes the motion on this orbits.

#### **Classical d-entropy**

 $S_{d} = -\int N(\varepsilon) \rho(\varepsilon) \ln \rho(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \qquad \rho(\varepsilon) = \int d\Gamma \rho(p,q) \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon(p,q))$ 

The entropy "knows" only about conserved quantities, everything else is irrelevant for thermodynamics!  $S_d$  satisfies laws of thermodynamics, unlike the usually defined  $S = \ln \Gamma$ . Classic example: freely expanding gas



Suddenly remove the wall

 $\Delta S_{Gibbs} = 0$  by Liouville theorem

$$\rho_{nn}(0+) = \frac{1}{2}\rho_{nn}(0-)$$

double number of occupied states

 $\Delta S_d = N \ln 2$ 

result of Hamiltonian dynamics!

#### Example

Cartoon BCS model:

In the thermodynamic limit this model has a transition to superconductor (XY-ferromagnet) at g = 1.

Change g from  $g_1$  to  $g_2$ .







#### Entropy and reversibility.



Simple picture ĨЬ TITTTJ Two sources of energy change: 1) change external parameters without transitions between microstates (flipping spins ) -> Adiabatic change, conserves entropy, CAN be reversed 2) introduce transitions (either due to contact with external environment or due to Changing external parameters) Leads to entropy increase, heat generation, irreversible.

3) gan = const is a natural attractor of the desmiltonion dynamics. A somple reason is that one can introduce transition probabilities III It is more likely to occupy empty states. Mamiltonian dynamics is presumably sufficient but not recessory condition for this.

#### **Nearly adiabatic dynamics in many-particle systems**

Let us assume we are hanging some external parameter in time according to the protocol

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i & t < 0\\ \lambda_i + t\delta(\lambda_f - \lambda_i) & 0 \le t \le 1/\delta\\ \lambda_f & t > 1/\delta. \end{cases}$$

Can we say anything about system response in the limit  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ ?

Assume (for now) that we start in the ground state.

Need to solve

 $i\hbar\partial_t |\psi\rangle = \mathcal{H}(t)|\psi\rangle$ 

Convenient to work in the adiabatic (co-moving, instantaneous) basis

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n} a_n(t) |\phi_n(t)\rangle \quad \mathcal{H}(t) |\phi_n(t)\rangle = E_n(t) |\phi_n(t)\rangle$$

$$i\hbar\partial_t a_m(t) + i\hbar\sum_n a_n(t)\langle\phi_m|\partial_t|\phi_n\rangle = E_m(t)a_m(t)$$
$$a_n(t) = \alpha_n(t)\exp\left[-i\Theta_n(t)\right] \quad \Theta_n(t) = \int_{t_i}^t E_n(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\dot{\alpha}_n(t) = -\sum_m \alpha_m(t) \langle n | \partial_t | m \rangle \exp\left[i(\Theta_n(t) - \Theta_m(t))\right]$$

$$\alpha_n(t) = -\int_{t_i}^t dt' \sum_m \alpha_m(t') \langle n | \partial_{t'} | m \rangle e^{i(\Theta_n(t') - \Theta_m(t'))}$$

$$\alpha_n(\lambda) = -\int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \sum_m \alpha_m(\lambda') \langle n | \partial_{\lambda'} | m \rangle \mathrm{e}^{i(\Theta_n(\lambda') - \Theta_m(\lambda'))}$$

$$\Theta_n(\lambda) = \int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \frac{E_n(\lambda')}{\dot{\lambda}'} \qquad \dot{\lambda} = \mathcal{S}$$

In the limit  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  only term with n=m (assuming there are no degenarcies) survives.

Perturbative analysis, keep only term with m=0 in the sum.

$$\alpha_n(t) \approx -\int_{t_i}^t dt' \langle n | \partial_{t'} | 0 \rangle \mathrm{e}^{i(\Theta_n(t') - \Theta_0(t'))}$$

$$\alpha_n(t) \approx -\int_{t_i}^t dt' \langle n|\partial_{t'}|0\rangle \mathrm{e}^{i(\Theta_n(t') - \Theta_0(t'))}$$

#### Infinite integration limits

$$|\alpha_n|^2 \propto \exp[-2\Im(\Theta_n(\lambda^*) - \Theta_0(\lambda^*))]$$
  
where  $\lambda^*$  is the complex root of  $E_n(\lambda^*) - E_0(\lambda^*) = 0$ 

Finite integration limits:

$$\alpha_n(t_f) \approx i \frac{\langle \phi_n | \partial_t | \phi_0 \rangle}{E_n(t) - E_0(t)} e^{i(\Theta_n(t) - \Theta_0(t))} \bigg|_{t_i}^{t_f} = i \delta \frac{\langle \phi_n | \partial_\lambda | \phi_0 \rangle}{E_n(\lambda) - E_0(\lambda)} e^{i(\Theta_n(\lambda) - \Theta_0(\lambda))} \bigg|_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_f}$$

$$|\alpha_n(\lambda_f)|^2 \approx \delta^2 \left[ \frac{|\langle \phi_n | \partial_{\lambda_i} | \phi_0 \rangle|^2}{(E_n(\lambda_i) - E_0(\lambda_i))^2} + \frac{|\langle \phi_n | \partial_{\lambda_f} | \phi_0 \rangle|^2}{(E_n(\lambda_f) - E_0(\lambda_f))^2} \right] - 2\delta^2 \frac{\langle \phi_n | \partial_{\lambda_i} | \phi_0 \rangle}{E_n(\lambda_i) - E_0(\lambda_i)} \frac{\langle \phi_n | \partial_{\lambda_f} | \phi_0 \rangle}{E_n(\lambda_f) - E_0(\lambda_f)} \cos \left[ \Delta \Theta_{n0} \right].$$

$$(1)$$

Landau-Zener problem  $\mathcal{H} = \lambda \sigma_z + g \sigma_x$  $E_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 + g^2}$ 

Change coupling  $\lambda$  in the infinite range. Exact solution:

$$|a_{+}|^{2} = \exp\left[-\frac{\pi g^{2}}{\delta}\right]$$

Perturbative solution. Eigenstates:

$$\begin{split} |-\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta/2) \\ -\cos(\theta/2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad |+\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) \\ \sin(\theta/2) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tan \theta = g/\lambda \\ \langle +|\partial_t|-\rangle &= \dot{\theta}/2 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\lambda}g}{\lambda^2 + g^2} \end{split}$$

$$\alpha_{+}(\infty) \approx \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta g}{g^{2} + (\delta t)^{2}} \exp\left[2i \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \sqrt{(\delta \tau)^{2} + g^{2}}\right]$$



 $|\alpha_+(\infty)|^2 \approx \frac{\pi^2}{9} \exp\left[-\frac{\pi g^2}{\delta}\right]$ 

Spurious factor  $\pi^2/9$ 

Gapless systems with quasi-particle excitations

Ramping in generic gapless regime (low energy contribution)



Low energy contribution:

$$n_{ex}, s \propto |\dot{\lambda}|^{d/z}, \quad Q \propto |\dot{\lambda}|^{(d+z)/z}$$

High energy contribution.



High dimensions: high energies dominate dissipation, lowdimensions – low energies dominate dissipation.

#### Adiabatic crossing quantum critical points.



Relevant for adiabatic quantum computation, adiabatic preparation of correlated states.

$$V = \delta t, \quad \delta \to 0$$

How does the number of excitations (entropy, energy) scale with  $\delta$ ?

Use scaling arguments in the adiabatic perturbation theory

$$N_{ex} = \sum_{u} |d_{u}|^{2}, \quad Q = \sum_{u} |d_{u}|^{2}, \quad \dots$$

$$M_{u} \approx \sum_{i} |d_{u}|^{2}, \quad Q = \sum_{u} |d_{u}|^{2}, \quad \dots$$

$$M_{u} \approx \sum_{i} |d_{u}|^{2}, \quad Q = \sum_{u} |d_{u}|^{2}, \quad \dots$$

$$M_{u} \approx \sum_{i} |d_{u}|^{2}, \quad Q = \sum_{u} |d_{u}|^{2}, \quad \dots$$

$$\Theta_k(\lambda) - \Theta_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda} d\lambda' (\varepsilon_k(\lambda') - \varepsilon_0(\lambda')) \qquad \varepsilon_k(\lambda) - \varepsilon_0(\lambda) = \lambda^{z\nu} F(k^z/\lambda^{z\nu}).$$

$$\langle k|\partial_{\lambda}|0\rangle = \frac{\langle k|V|0\rangle}{\varepsilon_{k}(\lambda) - \varepsilon_{0}(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}G(k^{z}/\lambda^{z\nu}) \qquad \lambda = \xi\delta^{\frac{1}{\zeta\nu+1}}, \quad k = \eta\delta^{\frac{\nu}{z\nu+1}}$$

$$n_{\text{ex}} \sim 2 \int \frac{d^d k |\alpha_k|^2}{(2\pi)^d} = 2 |\delta|^{\frac{d\nu}{z\nu+1}} \int \frac{d^d \eta}{(2\pi)^d} |\alpha(\eta)|^2,$$
$$\alpha(\eta) = \int_{\xi_i}^{\xi_f} d\xi \frac{1}{\xi} G\left(\frac{\eta^z}{\xi^{z\nu}}\right) \exp\left[i \int_{\xi_i}^{\xi} d\xi_1 \xi_1^{z\nu} F(\eta^z/\xi_1^{z\nu})\right]$$

$$n_{ex} \propto |\delta|^{\frac{d\nu}{z\nu+1}}, \quad \frac{d\nu}{z\nu+1} < 2$$

A.P. 2003, Zurek, Dorner, Zoller 2005

$$n_{ex} \propto \delta^2, \quad \frac{dv}{zv+1} \ge 2$$

Nontrivial power corresponds to nonlinear response!

 $d_c = 2(z+1/\nu)$  is analogous to the upper critical dimension.

Transverse field Ising model.

$$\mathcal{H}_{I} = -\sum_{j} g \sigma_{j}^{x} + \sigma_{j}^{z} \sigma_{j+1}^{z}$$

$$g \to 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\langle \sigma_{i}^{z} \sigma_{j}^{z} \right\rangle \to 1 \qquad \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$$

$$g \to \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\langle \sigma_{i}^{x} \right\rangle \to 1 \qquad \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$$

There is a phase transition at g=1.

This problem can be exactly solved using Jordan-Wigner transformation:

$$\sigma_{i}^{x} = 2c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i} - 1, \quad \sigma_{i}^{z} = \prod_{j \le i-1} (c_{j}^{\dagger}c_{j} - 1) (c_{j} + c_{j}^{\dagger})$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{I} = -\sum_{i} c_{j}^{\dagger}c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^{\dagger}c_{j} + c_{j}^{\dagger}c_{j+1}^{\dagger} + c_{j+1}c_{j} - 2gc_{j}^{\dagger}c_{j}$$

Spectrum:

Critical exponents:  $z=v=1 \Rightarrow dv/(zv+1)=1/2$ .

Linear response (Fermi Golden Rule):

$$n_{\rm ex} \approx \int \left| \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \right| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda \langle k | \frac{d}{d\lambda} | 0 \rangle e^{i/\delta \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} (\omega_k(\lambda') - \omega_0(\lambda')) d\lambda'} \right|^2$$

$$n_{ex} \approx 0.21 \sqrt{\delta}$$
 A. P., 2003

Correct result (J. Dziarmaga 2005):  $n_{ex} \approx 0.11 \sqrt{\delta}$ 

Interpretation as the Kibble-Zurek mechanism: W. H. Zurek, U. Dorner, Peter Zoller, 2005

## Optimal adiabatic passage through a QCP.

(R. Barankov and A. Polkovnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 076801 (2008))



Given the total time *T*, what is the optimal way to cross the phase transition?

Need to slow down near the phase transition:

$$\lambda = (\delta t)^r, \quad \delta \sim 1/T$$

$$r_{\rm opt} \approx -\frac{1}{z\nu} \ln\left[\frac{\delta}{C}\ln(C/\delta)\right]$$
$$n_{\rm opt} \sim \left[\frac{\delta}{C}\ln(C/\delta)\right]^{d/z}$$

optimal power

number of defects at optimal rate.

Three regimes of response to the slow linear ramp: A.P. and V.Gritsev, Nature Physics 4, 477 (2008)

A. Mean field (analytic) – high dimensions:

 $E(\dot{\lambda}) = E(0) + \beta \,\dot{\lambda}^2$ 

B. Non-analytic – low dimensions

 $E(\dot{\lambda}) = E(0) + \beta |\dot{\lambda}|^{r}, \quad r \leq 2$ 

C. Non-adiabatic – low dimensions, bosonic excitations

$$E(\dot{\lambda}) = E(0) + \beta |\dot{\lambda}|^r L^{\eta}, \quad r \le 2, \eta > 0$$

In all three situations quantum and thermodynamic adiabatic theorem are smoothly connected.

The adiabatic theorem in thermodynamics does follow from the adiabatic theorem in quantum mechanics.

Numerical verification (bosons on a lattice).

$$\mathcal{H}_{bh} = -J\sum_{\langle ij\rangle} (a_i^{\dagger}a_j + a_j^{\dagger}a_i) + \frac{U(t)}{2}\sum_j a_j^{\dagger}a_j(a_j^{\dagger}a_j - 1),$$

 $U(t) = U_0 \tanh(\delta t)$ 

Nonintegrable model in all spatial dimensions, expect thermalization.



Use the fact that quantum fluctuations are weak in the SF phase and expand dynamics in the effective Planck's constant:

$$\hbar \to \sqrt{U / n_0 J}$$

T=0.02





#### Thermalization at long times (1D).



Probing quasi-particle statistics in nonlinear dynamical probes. (R. Barankov, C. De Grandi, V. Gritsev, A. Polkovnikov, work in progress.)

