



2047-6

Workshop Towards the Neutrino Technologies

13 - 17 July 2009

Sensitivity on Earth Core and Mantle densities using Atmospheric Neutrinos

Gennaro MIELE

Universita' di Napoli 'Federico II' Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo 80126 Napoli, ITALY

Sensitivity on Earth Core and Mantle densities using Atmospheric Neutrinos



Gennaro Miele

University of Naples "Federico II"



Based on JCAP 0906:030,2009, by E. Borriello, G. Mangano, A. Marotta,

G. M., P. Migliozzi, C.A. Moura, S. Pastor, O. Pisanti, P. Strolin



Outlook

- How to use earthquakes?
- Why neutrinos?
- Which neutrinos?
- How neutrinos?
- A toy model to test sensitivity

The Earth mass



The Earth internal structure

The Earth crust density is about 2.7 - 2.8 g cm⁻³ (direct observations till ~ 20 km depth). Information from samples brought to the surface by volcanic activity and by measuring the propagation speed of earthquake waves. It is found that:



the velocity generally increases gradually with depth in Earth, due to increasing pressure and rigidity of the rocks
however, there are abrupt velocity changes at certain depths, indicating layering

What we learn from earthquakes

As the result of earthquakes, explosions, or some other process (the incessant pounding of ocean waves, referred to as the microseisms, and the wind), seismic waves are continuously excited on Earth.

Earthquakes create two types of waves, body waves and surface waves:



 P waves (primary waves) are longitudinal body waves

• S waves (secondary waves) are transverse body waves

• L and R waves (Love and Raleigh waves) are horizontal and elliptical surface waves

• free oscillations are surface wave with wavelength comparable with the circumference of the Earth



Wave propagation in the Earth

In solids, the P waves generally travel almost twice as fast as S waves and can travel through any type of material. S waves can travel only through solids, as fluids (liquids and gases) do not support shear



Preliminary Reference Earth Model (PREM) A.M. Dziewonski and D.L. Anderson, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 25, 297 (1981)



G. Miele - NUTECH-09

Although this information is more precise than what we can realistically expect from neutrino radiography in the near future, aspects of the global structure of the Earth can require an independent confirmation.

Limits of seismic tomography

• Global seismic tomograph, limited by the irregularity in time and space of the source, and by the incomplete coverage of recording stations. The primary source is earthquakes, which are impossible to predict and only occur at certain locations around the world. In addition, the global coverage of recording stations is limited due to economic and political reasons. Because of these limitations, seismologists must work with data that contains crucial gaps.

• Free-oscillation data only reveal 1-dimensional structure.



Neutrinos are elusive particles!



G. Miele - NUTECH-09



Askaryan, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 144, 523 (1984)

Phys. Rep. 99, 341 (1983)

First proposal to use neutrino beams for Earth radiography by De Rujula, Glashow, Wilson, and Charpak in 1983: a neutrino moving in rock produces a shower which ionizes the medium and generates an acustic signal. Moreover, the muons accompanying the neutrino beam can be detected at the point of emergence from the Earth.

Which v's for Earth radiography?

<u>Neutrinos</u>

Neutrinos the are one of components of cosmic radiation. atmospheric and The the extragalactic contributions, which are mainly isotropic, dominates on the galactic component in two energy regimes. In particular, for $E \le 10^5$ GeV the statistics of atmospheric v is larger than that expected from other cosmic sources.

Astrop. Phys. 20 (2004) 507



G. Miele - NUTECH-09

Probe of geophysical structures



G. Miele - NUTECH-09

NEMO Site



G. Miele - NUTECH-09

The rate of lepton events in 1 km³

$$\frac{dN_{l}}{dt} = D \sum_{a} \int d\Omega_{a} \int dS_{a} \int dE_{v} \frac{d^{2} \Phi_{v}(E_{v}, \theta_{a})}{dE_{v} d\Omega_{a}} \int dE_{l} \varepsilon(E_{l}) \cos(\theta_{a}) k_{a}^{l} (E_{v}, E_{l}; \vec{r}_{a}, \Omega_{a})$$

Same calculation for Auger in PLB634:137-142 (2006)



PRD 77:045019 (2008)

Probability that an incoming v, with energy E_v and direction $\Omega_{a'}$ crossing the Earth, produces a lepton / which enters the fiducial volume with energy E_h through the lateral surface dS_a at the position $r_{a'}$

PREM versus homogeneous

Gonzales-Garcia, Halzen, Maltoni, Tanaka, PRL100 (2008) 061802



Neutrinos through the Earth

A simplified parametrization of Earth radial density profile (sPREM)

Neutrinos through the Earth

- three different type of tracks crossing the fiducial volume (described by a Digital Elevation Map)
- neutrinos injected at one side of the tracks according to known spectrum

Honda et al., PR D75:043006 (2007)

G. Miele - NUTECH-09

Atmospheric Neutrinos

The atmospheric neutrino spectrum falls as $E^{-\gamma}$, with $\gamma \approx 3-3.7$. The spectral index is similar to the CR one at lower energies, while it becomes steeper at higher energies. This happens because the higher the energy of the mesons produced in the atmosphere, the larger the amount of energy lost during their propagation before they decay.

Atmospheric Neutrinos

The "conventional" part comes from pion and kaon decays (low energy), a "prompt" isotropic contribution from short lived charmed hadrons (high energy). In the energy range of interest, the contribution from kaons dominates (~80%) and decay of muons can be neglected. Tau neutrinos are negligible since oscillation are very suppressed.

time horio Nou Λ \mathbf{o}

Neutrino interaction

$$Q^{2} \equiv -q^{2} \equiv -(k-k')^{2}$$

$$x \equiv Q^{2}/(2 m_{N} v)$$

$$y \equiv v/E_{v} \equiv (E_{v}-E_{l})/E_{v}$$

$$Q^{2} \equiv 2 m_{N}E_{v} \times y$$

TABLE I. Charged-current and neutral-current cross sections and their sum for νN interactions according to the CTEQ4-DIS distributions.

E_{ν} [GeV]	$\sigma_{ m CC}~[m cm^2]$	$\sigma_{ m NC}[m cm^2]$	$\sigma_{ m tot}[m cm^2]$
1.0×10 ¹	0.7988×10^{-37}	0.2492×10 ⁻³⁷	0.1048×10 ⁻³⁶
2.5×10^{1}	0.1932×10^{-36}	0.6033×10^{-37}	0.2535×10^{-36}
6.0×10^{1}	0.4450×10^{-36}	0.1391×10^{-36}	0.5841×10^{-36}
1.0×10^{2}	0.7221×10^{-36}	0.2261×10^{-36}	0.9482×10^{-36}
2.5×10^{2}	0.1728×10^{-35}	0.5430×10^{-36}	0.2271×10^{-35}
6.0×10^{2}	0.3964×10^{-35}	0.1255×10^{-35}	0.5219×10^{-35}
1.0×10^{3}	0.6399×10^{-35}	0.2039×10^{-35}	0.8438×10^{-35}
2.5×10^{3}	0.1472×10^{-34}	0.4781×10^{-35}	0.1950×10^{-34}
6.0×10 ³	0.3096×10^{-34}	0.1035×10^{-34}	0.4131×10^{-34}
1.0×10^{4}	0.4617×10^{-34}	0.1575×10^{-34}	0.6192×10^{-34}
2.5×10^{4}	0.8824×10^{-34}	0.3139×10^{-34}	0.1196×10^{-33}
6.0×10^{4}	0.1514×10^{-33}	0.5615×10^{-34}	0.2076×10^{-33}
1.0×10^{5}	0.2022×10^{-33}	0.7667×10^{-34}	0.2789×10^{-33}
2.5×10^{5}	0.3255×10^{-33}	0.1280×10^{-33}	0.4535×10^{-33}
6.0×10^{5}	0.4985×10^{-33}	0.2017×10^{-33}	0.7002×10^{-33}
1.0×10 ⁶	0.6342×10^{-33}	0.2600×10^{-33}	0.8942×10^{-33}
2.5×10 ⁶	0.9601×10^{-33}	0.4018×10^{-33}	0.1362×10^{-32}
6.0×10 ⁶	0.1412×10^{-32}	0.6001×10^{-33}	0.2012×10^{-32}
1.0×10^{7}	0.1749×10^{-32}	0.7482×10^{-33}	0.2497×10^{-32}

R. Gandhi, C. Quigg, M.H. Reno, and I. Sarcevic, 1998

G. Miele - NUTECH-09

Event simulation

Summary of simulation details

- *e* and μ (anti)neutrinos injected according to the atmospheric v flux in the range 1÷10² TeV (Honda et al., 2007). Negligible oscillation -> no τ contribution
- neutrino regeneration by NC processes
- μ energy loss in matter (ionization, bremsstrahlung, pair production, nuclear interaction)
- energy thresholds of 1 TeV (optimized value!)
- no details of the experimental apparatus, except for the request of a minimal track length of 300 m in the NT
- detectable events: *track* and *contained* events

Particles at the detector

Likelihood analysis

Δξ=0.25

We consider 5 angular bins for the interval $\cos\theta = 1$ (upgoing) to $\cos\theta = 0$ (horizontal) and make the analysis integrating the muons at different energies for $E_{th} = 1$ TeV (optimized value).

Observables N_i produced for a grid of 20 theoretical models of densities. Then, likelihood analysis with likelihood function $I_i \propto e^{-\chi^2/2}$ and

overall uncertainty on ϕ and σ

Likelihood analysis

G. Miele - NUTECH-09

Taking into account the Moment of Inertia of the Earth

Conclusions

- Study of the sensitivity of a NT to Earth interior for a simplified Earth model (sPREM)
- 2% (5%) uncertainties (at 2σ level) on ρ_m (ρ_c) for 10 years of observations and E_{th} =1 TeV with no details of the experimental apparatus
- Low number of model parameters ⇒ good level of sensitivity in their determination ⇒ By using all geological inputs one can perform a more realistic study expecting a good resolution on the free parameters