



2047-35

Workshop Towards Neutrino Technologies

13 - 17 July 2009

Towards cosmological relic neutrino detection

Alfredo G. COCCO Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Napoli Complesso Universitario di

Monte S. Angelo, Ed. 6



Trieste July, 17th

Towards Cosmological Relic Neutrino Detection

Alfredo G. Cocco Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (Italy)



Cosmological relic neutrino Background (CvB) In the Big-Bang scenario neutrinos decoupled when T ~ MeV

This happened about 1 s after the Universe was born $\Rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ are the oldest "detectable" relics !!

"Thermal" spectrum
$$f_v(p,T) = \frac{1}{e^{p/T_v} + 1}$$
 $p_v \approx 10^{-4} eV$

Number density today

$$n_{v} = \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} f_{v}(p, T_{v}) = \frac{3}{11} n_{\gamma} = \frac{6\zeta(3)}{11\pi^{2}} T_{CMB}^{3} \cong (56 \text{ cm}^{3}) \times 6$$

Energy density today massless $\Omega_v h^2 = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ massive $\Omega_v h^2 = \frac{\sum_i m_i}{94.1 \text{ eV}}$

Detection: G_F

Stodolsky effect: energy split of electron spin states in the v background requires v chemical potential (Dirac) or net helicity (Majorana) requires breaking of isotropy (Earth velocity) results depend on Dirac/Majorana, relativistic/non relativistic, clustered/unclustered

Duda et al '01

$$\Delta E \approx G_F g_A \vec{s} \cdot \vec{\beta}_{\oplus} (n_v - \overline{n}_v)$$

Torque on frozen magnetized macroscopic piece of material of dimension R

$$a \approx 10^{-27} \left(\frac{100}{A}\right) \left(\frac{cm}{R}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_{\oplus}}{10^{-3}}\right) \left(\frac{n_v - \overline{n}_v}{100 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right) cm \text{ s}^{-2}$$

Presently Cavendish torsion balances: $a \approx 10^{-12} \text{ cm s}^{-2}$

Detection: G_F²

v-Nucleus collision: net momentum transfer due to Earth peculiar motion

$$\sigma_{vN} = G_F^2 E_v^2 \qquad a = n_v v_v \frac{N_A}{A} \sigma_{vN} \Delta p$$
$$\Delta p = \beta_{\oplus} E_v$$
$$\Delta p = \beta_{\oplus} m_v$$
$$\Delta p = \beta_{\oplus} T_v \qquad a \approx (10^{-46} - 10^{-54}) \frac{A}{100} \text{ cm s}^{-2}$$

Coherence enhancement

$$\lambda_v \approx 1/T_v$$
 - $1/m_v \approx mm$

$$N_c = \frac{N_A}{A} \rho \lambda_v^3$$

Zeldovich and Khlopov '81

Smith and Lewin '83

The longstanding question

Is it possible to detect/measure the Cosmological Relic Neutrino background (CvB)?

We know that neutrino of $C_{\mathbf{v}}B$ are non-relativistic and weakly-clustered

- Torsion balance (target polarization, strong v-v asymmetry)
- UHE cosmic rays scattering (indirect, unknown sources)

The answer is: no !!

All the methods proposed so far require either strong theoretical assumptions or experimental apparatus having unrealistic performances

> A.Ringwald "Neutrino Telescopes" 2005 – hep-ph/0505024 G.Gelmini hep-ph/0412305

But....

Neutrino capture on β^{\pm} decaying nuclei





This process has no energy threshold !

Antineutrino capture on EC decaying nuclei (a)





This process has no energy threshold !

Antineutrino capture on EC decaying nuclei (b)





 E_v threshold = $2m_e - Q_{EC}$

The effect of $m_v \neq 0$

Neutrino masses of the order of 1 eV are compatible with the present picture of our Universe

exploiting $m_v \neq 0$

Neutrino capture on β^{\pm} decaying nuclei



The events induced by Neutrino Capture have a unique signature: there is a gap of $2m_v$ (centered at Q_β) between "signal" and "background"

exploiting $m_v \neq 0$

Antineutrino capture on EC decaying nuclei reaction (a)

Electron Capture

 $\textbf{e}^{-} + (\textbf{A}, \textbf{Z}) \rightarrow (\textbf{A}, \textbf{Z} - 1) + \textbf{v}_{\textbf{e}} + n \gamma$

$$E_{\nu} = Q_{EC} - E_{\kappa}$$
$$E_{\gamma} = E_{\kappa}$$

 E_{κ} = captured electron binding energy

 $\overline{v}_e + e^- + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1) + X$ Always energetically allowed

IF: $E_{\kappa} - m_{\nu} \leq Q_{EC} < E_{\kappa} + m_{\nu}$ (in the limit $E_{\nu} \rightarrow m_{\nu}$)

the EC decay is forbidden (no background)

exploiting $m_v \neq 0$

Antineutrino capture on EC decaying nuclei reaction (b)

Electron Capture

$$e^{-}$$
 + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1) + v_{e} + n γ

$$E_{v} = Q_{EC} - E_{K}$$

 $E_{\gamma} = E_{K}$

 E_{κ} = captured electron binding energy

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{e}}$ + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1) + \mathbf{e}^{+} $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{thr}}$ = 2 $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{e}}$ - $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{EC}}$

But, in case $2m_e - m_v < Q_{EC} < 2m_e + m_v$

no threshold and the β^+ decay is forbidden (no background)

The interactions exist.....but what about cross sections ?

If $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle NCB} \propto E_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$

then $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle NCB} \xrightarrow{E_{\scriptscriptstyle V}
ightarrow 0} 0$

NCB Cross Section

a new parametrization

Beta decay rate
$$\lambda_{\beta} = \frac{G_{\beta}^2}{2\pi^3} \int_{m_e}^{W_o} p_e E_e F(Z, E_e) C(E_e, p_{\nu})_{\beta} E_{\nu} p_{\nu} dE_e$$

NCB $\sigma_{\text{NCB}} v_{\nu} = \frac{G_{\beta}^2}{\pi} p_e E_e F(Z, E_e) C(E_e, p_{\nu})_{\nu}$

The nuclear shape factors $\textit{C}_{\!\beta}$ and $\textit{C}_{\!\nu}$ both depend on the same nuclear matrix elements

It is convenient to define
$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{m_e}^{W_o} \frac{C(E'_e, p'_\nu)_\beta}{C(E_e, p_\nu)_\nu} \frac{p'_e}{p_e} \frac{E'_e}{E_e} \frac{F(E'_e, Z)}{F(E_e, Z)} E'_\nu p'_\nu dE'_e$$

$$\sigma_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle NCB} v_{\nu} = \frac{2\pi^2 \ln 2}{\mathcal{A} t_{1/2}}$$

More details in: AGC, M.Messina and G.Mangano JCAP 06(2007)015

NCB Cross Section

a new parametrization

$$\sigma_{_{\rm NCB}}v_{
u}=rac{2\pi^2\ln 2}{\mathcal{A}\;t_{1/2}}$$
 This is valid for both eta^\pm and EC decaying nuclei

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{m_e}^{W_e} \frac{C(E'_e, p'_\nu)_\beta}{C(E_e, p_\nu)_\nu} \frac{p'_e}{p_e} \frac{E'_e}{E_e} \frac{F(E'_e, Z)}{F(E_e, Z)} E'_\nu p'_\nu dE'_e \qquad (\nabla' \text{capture on } \beta^{\pm} \text{ nuclei}$$
$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\sum_x n_x C_x(q_\nu) f_x(q_\nu)}{p_e E_e F(Z, E_e) C(p_e, p_\nu)_\nu} \qquad \nabla \text{ capture on EC nuclei}$$
$$\mathcal{A}' = \frac{\sum_x n_x C_x(q_\nu) f_x(q_\nu)}{\sum_x n_x C_x(E_\nu) g_x \rho_x(E_\nu)} \qquad \nabla \text{ + e}^- \text{ capture on EC nuclei}$$

In a large number of cases A can be evaluated in an exact way and NCB cross section depends only on Q_β and $t_{1/2}$ (measurable)

Example: NCB Cross Section on β^{\pm} nuclei for different types of decay transitions

• Superallowed transitions $\sigma_{\text{\tiny NCB}}v_{\nu}$

$$\sigma_{\rm NCB} v_{\nu} = 2\pi^2 \ln 2 \frac{p_e E_e F(Z, E_e)}{f t_{1/2}}$$

• This is a very good approximation also for allowed transitions since $C(E_e, p_u)_{\beta}$

$$\frac{C(E_e, p_\nu)_\beta}{C(E_e, p_\nu)_\nu} \simeq 1$$

• *i-th* unique forbidden

$$C(E_e, p_{\nu})^i_{\beta} = \left[\frac{R^i}{(2i+1)!!}\right]^2 \left|{}^{\scriptscriptstyle A}F^{(0)}_{(i+1)\,i\,1}\right|^2 u_i(p_e, p_{\nu})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{i} = \int_{m_{e}}^{W_{o}} \frac{u_{i}(p'_{e}, p'_{\nu})p'_{e}E'_{e}F(Z, E'_{e})}{u_{i}(p_{e}, p_{\nu})p_{e}E_{e}F(Z, E_{e})}E'_{\nu}p'_{\nu}dE'_{e}$$

NCB Cross Section Evaluation The case of Tritium

Using the expression

$$\sigma_{\rm NCB} v_{\nu} = \frac{G_{\beta}^2}{\pi} p_e E_e F(Z, E_e) C(E_e, p_{\nu})_{\nu}$$

we obtain
$$\sigma_{\text{\tiny NCB}}(^{3}\text{H}) \frac{v_{\nu}}{c} = (7.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-45} \text{ cm}^{2}$$

 $\lim \beta \to \mathbf{0}$

where the error is due to Fermi and Gamow-Teller matrix element uncertainties

Using shape factors ratio $\sigma_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle NCB} v_{\nu} = 2\pi^2 \ln 2 \frac{p_e E_e F(Z,E_e)}{f t_{1/2}}$

$$\sigma_{\rm NCB}({}^{3}{\rm H})\frac{v_{\nu}}{c} = (7.84 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-45} {\rm \, cm}^{2}$$

lim $\beta \to 0$

where the error is due only to uncertainties on Q_{β} and $t_{1/2}$

NCB Cross Section Evaluation using measured values of Q_{β} and $t_{1/2}$



Beta decaying nuclei having BR(β^{\pm}) > 5 % selected from 14543 decays listed in the ENSDF database

NCB Cross Section Evaluation specific cases

β±

	Isotope	Decay	Q	Half-life	$\sigma_{\rm NCB}(v_{\nu}/c)$
			(keV)	(sec)	(10^{-41} cm^2)
-	^{3}H	β^-	18.591	3.8878×10^{8}	7.84×10^{-4}
	⁶³ Ni	β^{-}	66.945	3.1588×10^{9}	1.38×10^{-6}
	93 Zr	β^{-}	60.63	4.952×10^{13}	2.39×10^{-10}
	106 Ru	β^{-}	39.4	3.2278×10^7	5.88×10^{-4}
	107 Pd	β^{-}	33	2.0512×10^{14}	2.58×10^{-10}
-	187 Re	β^{-}	2.64	1.3727×10^{18}	4.32×10^{-11}
	^{11}C	β^+	960.2	1.226×10^{3}	4.66×10^{-3}
	^{13}N	β^+	1198.5	5.99×10^2	5.3×10^{-3}
	^{15}O	β^+	1732	1.224×10^{2}	9.75×10^{-3}
	18 F	β^+	633.5	6.809×10^{3}	2.63×10^{-3}
	22 Na	β^+	545.6	$9.07 imes 10^7$	3.04×10^{-7}
	⁴⁵ Ti	β^+	1040.4	1.307×10^{4}	3.87×10^{-4}

EC

Isotope	Decay	$E_{ u}^{ m thr}$	Half-life	$\sigma_{ m \scriptscriptstyle NCB}$			
	$(J_i \to J_f)$	(keV)	(sec)	(10^{-41} cm^2)			
$^{7}\mathrm{Be}$	$\frac{3}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^-$	637.80	4.40×10^{7}	6.80×10^{-3}			
$^{7}\mathrm{Be}$	$\frac{\overline{3}}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{\overline{3}}{2}^-$	160.18	5.13×10^6	1.16×10^{-2}			
$^{55}\mathrm{Fe}$	$\frac{\overline{3}}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{\overline{5}}{2}^-$	790.62	8.64×10^7	1.55×10^{-5}			
68 Ge	$\tilde{0}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{1}^+$	916.00	2.34×10^7	1.39×10^{-4}			
^{178}W	$0^+ \rightarrow 1^+$	930.70	1.87×10^6	5.14×10^{-4}			
41 Ca	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	600.61	3.22×10^{12}	8.35×10^{-9}			
$^{81}\mathrm{Kr}$	$\frac{\overline{7}}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{\overline{3}}{2}^-$	741.30	7.23×10^{12}	2.40×10^{-9}			
100 Pd	$\tilde{0}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{2}^-$	693.68	3.14×10^5	4.17×10^{-4}			
$^{123}\mathrm{Te}$	$\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{7}{2}^+$	970.70	1.89×10^{22}	5.40×10^{-15}			
$E_v = E_{thr} + 1 \text{ MeV}$							

K capture

Nuclei having the highest product

 $\sigma_{\rm NCB} t_{1/2}$

Relic Antineutrino Detection using EC decaying nuclei (a)

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{e}} + \mathbf{e}^{-} + (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Z}-1) + \mathbf{X}$

The lack of a suitable final state prevents the use of this reaction to detect $C_{\nu}B$ unless either:

1) there exist an excited level (either atomic or nuclear) with energy $E_o = Q_{EC} - E_{K} + m_v$

2) the captured electron is "off-mass" shell $m_{eff} = m_e - E_o$

3) it exist a nucleus A (stable) for which $Q_{EC} = E_{K} - m_{v}$

Relic Antineutrino Detection using EC decaying nuclei (b)

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{e}}$ + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z–1) + \mathbf{e}^{+}

The energy threshold prevents the use of this reaction to detect $C_{\mathbf{v}}B$ unless:

1) use $C_{\mathbf{v}}B$ as a target for accelerated fully ionized beam

• EC decay is inhibited (no electrons to be captured)

• lons should have
$$\gamma_{
m min} = rac{E_{
m thr}}{m_{m
u}}$$

• Interaction rate is given by $\lambda_{\text{\tiny NCB}} = rac{n_{ar{
u}} \, 2\pi^2 \ln 2}{\mathcal{A} \cdot t_{1/2}^{\text{\tiny EC}}} \, \, \mathcal{N}$

For allowed transitions and using n_v = 56, E_{thr} =10 eV :

$$\mathcal{N} = 10^{13}$$
 $\lambda_{ ext{NCB}} \simeq 10^{-18} ext{ s}^{-1}$
 $\gamma = 100$ Too slow to be detected

Relic Antineutrino Detection

using EC decaying nuclei

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{e}}$$
 + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z–1) + \mathbf{e}^{+}

2) there exist a nucleus for which

$$2m_{e} - m_{v} < Q_{EC} < 2m_{e} + m_{v}$$

In this case:

- the reaction has no energy threshold on the incoming antineutrino
- unique signature since β^+ decay is forbidden
- cross section is evaluated using EC decay observables

Question: "Is it possible to detect/measure the CvB ?"

Short answer: In the most favourable scenario (β decays) it depends on the value of m_v and on the experimental energy resolution Δ

Relic Neutrino Detection signal to background ratio

The ratio between capture (λ_{ν}) and beta decay rate (λ_{β}) is obtained using the previous expressions

$$\frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{\lambda_{\beta}} = \frac{2\pi^2 n_{\nu}}{\mathcal{A}}$$

In the case of Tritium (and using $n_v = 50$) we found that

$$\lambda_{\nu}(^{3}{\rm H}) = 0.66 \cdot 10^{-23} \lambda_{\beta}(^{3}{\rm H})$$

Taking into account the beta decays occurring in the last bin of width Δ at the spectum end-point we have that

$$\frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{\lambda_{\beta}(\Delta)} = \frac{9}{2}\zeta(3) \left(\frac{T_{\nu}}{\Delta}\right)^3 \frac{1}{\left(1 + 2m_{\nu}/\Delta\right)^{3/2}} \sim 10^{-10}$$



where the last term is the probability for a beta decay electron at the endpoint to be measured beyond the $2m_v$ gap

It works for $\Delta < m_v$

Relic Neutrino Detection

using β^{\pm} decaying nuclei

In the case of Tritium we estimate that 7.5 neutrino capture events per year are obtained using a total mass of 100 g

Signal to background ratio depends crucially on the energy resolution (Δ) at the beta decay endpoint (It works only if $\Delta < m_v$)

As an example, given a neutrino mass of 0.7 eV and an energy resolution at the beta decay endpoint of Δ =0.2 eV a signal to background ratio of 3 is obtained. In the case of 100 g mass target of Tritium it would take one and a half year to observe a 5 σ effect

In case of CvB gravitational clustering we expect a significant signal enhancement

$m_{\nu}~(\mathrm{eV})$	FD (events yr^{-1})	NFW (events yr^{-1})	MW (events yr^{-1})
0.6	7.5	90	150
0.3	7.5	23	33
0.15	7.5	10	12

FD = Fermi-Dirac NFW= Navarro,Frenk and White MW=Milky Way (Ringwald, Wong)

KATRIN Karlsruhe Tritium Neutrino Experiment

Aim at direct neutrino mass measurement through the study of the ³H endpoint(Q_{β} =18.59 keV, $t_{1/2}$ =12.32 years)



Magnetic Adiabatic Collimator + Electrostatic filter

KATRIN Karlsruhe Tritium Neutrino Experiment



MARE

Aim at direct neutrino mass measurement through the study of the ¹⁸⁷Re endpoint (Q_{β} =2.66 keV, $t_{1/2}$ =4.3 x 10¹⁰ years) Using TES+micro-bolometers @ 10 mK temperature



Monica Sisti on Tuesday

MARE

Energy resolution: 2÷3 eV Total ¹⁸⁷Re mass: ~ 100 g



Phase II Energy resolution: < 1 eV(?)

A possible path to follow...

Scale MARE technology towards:

- "macroscopic" crystals (increase mass/readout channels ratio)
- faster response (avoid pile-up)

Geometrically metastable superconducting strip detectors

Beta decay induces local phase transition to non superconducting state (hole)

Magnetic field flowing into these holes can be measured by SQUIDS

$$E_{released} = \Delta h \cdot L_y S$$



I think we should approach the detection problem also using different point of views...

As an example:

Relic neutrino wave packets have a huge size:

Q: is there coherence enhancement in charged current interactions ?

A:

Conclusions

The fact that neutrino has a nonzero mass has renewed the interest on Netrino Capture on β^{\pm} and EC decaying nuclei as a tool to measure very low energy neutrino

A detailed study of NCB cross section has been performed for a large sample of known beta decays avoiding the uncertainties due to nuclear matrix elements evaluation

The relatively high NCB cross section when considered in a favourable scenario could bring cosmological relic neutrino detection within reach in a few years using β^{\pm} decaying nuclei

The energy threshold in one case and the absence of a suitable final state in the other prevent the use of EC decaying nuclei unless very specific conditions are fulfilled (difficult, but worth searching further...)

A.G.Cocco, G.Mangano and M.Messina, JCAP 06 (2007) 015 A.G.Cocco, G.Mangano and M.Messina, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 053009

backup

CvB indirect evidences



Anisotropy ProbeCollaboration



CvB map in 20??