



2048-1

# From Core to Crust: Towards an Integrated Vision of Earth's Interior

20 - 24 July 2009

### Structure and Non-Linear Dynamics of the Earth

G. Panza Universita di Trieste, Italy













However, there are still fundamental questions to be answered: are plates dragged horizontally by mantle convection? Are they dragged and sheared at the base by a faster moving mantle? Are they rather pulled by slab pull forces? Could they be driven by Earth's rotation and tidal drag? If ridges subduction trigger and zones convection, but are nevertheless still passive features, what moves plates?



The subduction of continental lithosphere is a paradox accordingly with the most popular interpretations of global tectonics, which state that subduction and plate's tectonics are, as a rule, controlled by the weight of the slab. It is well known that continental lithosphere (not only the crust, but also the lithospheric mantle) is lighter than the underlying mantle, nevertheless the subduction continental of lithosphere, proposed by Ampferer in 1906 and proven by Panza & Muller in 1978, is now widely accepted.

Mountain ranges sitting on continental lithosphere subduction form both:

- (a)when the subduction hinge approaches the overriding plate (Alps, Dinarides, Zagros, Himalaya) - type-A subduction and
- (b)when the subduction hinge departs from it (Apennines, Carpathian, Banda)- type-B subduction.

These two modes of continental lithosphere subduction have significantly different characters:

- (1)in type-A subduction the mountain ranges are quite high (on average>1500m), show a complete metamorphic cycle and extended basement outcrops, double vergence, two foredeeps subsiding at a rate<0.3mm/y, detachment planes involving the entire crust and the lid and relatively low effusive magmatism;
- (2)in type-B subduction the elevation is low, the vergence is single, the well pronounced foredeep subsides at a rate>1mm/y, detachment planes involve mostly the uppermost (1-12 km) subducting crust. At global scale, type-B subductions are west directed, and are overridden by a back-arc basin.

In the central Mediterranean area the examples are Apennines (B), Alps (A), Dinarides (A), Carpathian(B), where the subduction of continental material is a well-documented phenomenon by the geochemical properties of magmatism (Peccerillo, 2005).

Still the engine of plate tectonics in not too well known. In fact, convection alone seem not able to supply enough energy. This deficit is made even more severe by the the results of Laio, Chiarotti, Scandolo. Bernard and Erio Tosatti who reproduced in the computer the same conditions at Earth core by solving the fundamental the equations governing the dinamics of Iron atoms and estimated a temperature for the Earth core of about 5400 °C, i.e. at lease 1000°C less than what previously estimated. Some relevant amount of energy may be supplied by the deceleration of the Earth rotation due to the Moon (Sun) tidal effect.

















































When plate motions are considered relative to the hotspot reference frame, i.e., assuming fixed the mantle, the slabs of E- or NE-directed subduction zones may move out of the mantle (upduction). In the three stages sketch the white dot moves leftward relative to the underlying black dot in the mantle. Subduction occurs because the upper plate dark gray dot moves leftward faster than the white circle in the slab. In this model, the slab moves west at 20 mm/yr relative to mantle. The subduction rate is the convergence minus the orogenic shortening. With different velocities, this seems to apply, in the shallow hotspot reference frame, also to the Andean subduction. This kinematic evidence of upducting slabs casts doubts on the slab pull as the dominant driving mechanism of plate motions. (Doglioni et al. 2007, Earth-Science Reviews, 83 125–175).





Tomographic images of the Aegean/Eastern Mediterranean Upper Mantle for the sections shown on the left (The upper panels in a-d display a small location map for orientation). The contouring is in percentages of the ambient Jeffreys-Bullen Upper Mantle velocity (see legend). Cross (horizontal) hatching indicates positive (negative) anomalies. Regions with poor spatial resolution are not contoured (large white areas). The interval -0.1%/+0.1% is also indicated in white. Horizontal and depth axes given without vertical exageration. Black symbols are the projection of hypocenters with M>4 are located within 100 km from the plane. The width of the location map is 3 degrees (Spakman, et al., 1988. THE HELLENIC SUBDUCTION ZONE: A TOMOGRAPHIC IMAGE AND ITS GEODYNAMIC IMPLICATIONS,G.R.L., 15, 60-63).









































Cartoon of an oceanic rift with hypothetic velocities of plates a and b relative to a fixed mantle. The ridge moves west at the velocity Vr. The separation between plates triggers the uplift of undepleted mantle previously located to the west. In the melting area, the mantle looses Fe, Mg, and other minerals to form oceanic crust, while the residual mantle is depleted. Since the melting area moves west it gradually transits towards the undepleted mantle, releasing to the east a depleted mantle. This explains the slight shallower bathymetry of the the asymmetry of seismic waves velocity seen before.



controlled by LVZ viscosity variations generating variable decoupling between lithosphere and mantle. (Doglioni et al., 2005; 2009)







The lithosphereasthenosphere system in the Mediterranean region and westward mantle flow



















Cartoon showing the three-dimensional geodynamic scheme of the Tyrrhenian basin and bordering volcanic areas, including the subduction of the Ionian-Adria lithosphere in





(a) Hedgehog solutions (lines) from the average Rayleigh wave group velocity dispersion curve computed, in the period range 0.3-2 s, for all stations on **Mt. Vesuvius cone** (BAF, BKN, BKS, BKE, SGV), and regional group (T=10-35s) and phase (25-100s) velocities. (b) The chosen Vs models are shown along SE-NW-SW-NE cross-sections through Somma-Vesuvius. The grey bands indicate the boundaries between layers, that can well be transition zones in their own right, and the group of numbers indicate the ranges for  $V_S$  in km/s. (c) Uppermost part of the chosen model, if we impose, as a priori information, the value of 1.0km/s (Auger et al., 2003), for the low velocity layer at 8km of depths (this ultralow-velocity layer is present in all solutions).















Vs models of the lithosphereasthenosphere system along other representative sections in the Western Mediterranean. built from the cellular Vs model of the Tyrrhenian Sea and surroundings given by Panza et al. (2007b). In each labeled cell, the hatched zone stands for the thickness variability, while, to avoid crowding of numbers, only the average shear velocity is reported. The Vs ranges of variability are given in Panza et al. (2007a). Red triangles indicate recent and active volcanoes.





CO2 upwelling to the Moho and the lower crust, and, ultimately, outgassing at the surface.









The Gutenberg-Richter log-linear law supports that the whole lithosphere is a self-organized system in critical state, i.e., a force is acting contemporaneously over all the plates and distributes the energy over the whole lithospheric shell, a condition that can be satisfied only from a force acting at the astronomical scale (e.g. tidal drag).













A single GR is not valid for all areas. This fact opens the way to prediction (a SOC system is not predictable) and at the same time casts doubts on the validity of the currently used procedures for the probabilistic assessment of seismic hazard.



## Seismic hazard

- only the ensemble of events geometrically small, compared with the elements of the seismotectonic regionalization, can be described by a Gutenberg-Richter law;
- therefore the seismic zonation must be performed at several scales with corresponding Gutenberg-Richter laws and magnitude ranges.



CHIESA PARROCCHIALE My earliest credential SAN BIAGIO IN COSINA " 15 settembergo OROV. DI RAV about prediction Certifico io sottoscritto che Guiliano Jawa di Ginseffe This is my certificate e di Gueseppina liverare ' nato a S. Biagio of baptism, drafted on 11 27 aprile 1945 ad ore 7.15 September 15, 1950, fu Battezzato a questo S. Fonte il 23 aprile 1945 stating that I was dal M. R. D. Surifle Burgutin essendo madrina la sig. Lolle Colomba in hiveran born on April 27. Tanto risulta dai registri dei Battezzati esistenti in questo 1945 and I was Archieve Parroechiale. pag. 15 n. 43 christened on April IL PARROCO L. . S. **23**,1945 Heiseff Burne N Curia Vescovile di Faenza Visto per l'autenticazione della firma del M. R. IL CANCELLIERE L. A. S.





region



### Intermediate-term earthquake prediction

- In particular, the response to a perturbation increases, becomes more chaotic and acts at long distances.
- In the case of seismicity the non-linear system is the system of seismically active faults, the large earthquake corresponds to the collapse of the system, and the smaller earthquakes produce the perturbation of the system and at the same time reflect the increase of the response to the perturbation.

## Intermediate-term earthquake prediction

- The high statistical significance of this kind of prediction was established on the basis of prediction of strong earthquakes in numerous regions world-wide, 1985 - 2001.
- Prediction is reproducible; complete formal definition of the algorithms was published in advance.





Experiment	M6.5+		M6.0+		M5.5+	
	Space-time volume, %	n/N	Space-time volume, %	n/N	Space-time volume, %	n/N
Retrospective (1972-2001)	36	2/2	40	1/2	39	9/14
Forward (2002-2008)	49	0/0	43	0/0	21	5/9
All together (1972-2008)	37	2/2	40	1/2	36	14/23

in Italy, i.e. 17 out of 27 events occurred within the area alerted for the corresponding magnitude range. The confidence level of M5.5+ predictions since 1972 has been estimated to be above 98%; no estimation is yet possible for other magnitude levels. (updated to January 1 2009)

http://www.ictp.trieste.it/www\_users/sand/prediction/prediction.htm http://www.mitp.ru/prediction.htm

Experiment	Space-time volume of alarm (%)	n/N	Confidence level (%)	
Retrospective* (1954 – 1963)	41	3/3	93	
Retrospective (1964 – 1997)	27	5/5	>99	
Forward (1998 – 2008)	29	4/5	97	
All together (1954 – 2008)	30	12/13	99	
* Central and So	uthern regions only			











#### References

Ampferer, O., 1906. Uber das Bewegungbild von Faltengebirge, Austria. Geol. Bundesanst. Jahrb., 56, 539-622.
Chiarabba, C., et al., 2008. The southern Tyrrhenian subduction zone: Deep geometry, magmatism and Plio-Pleistocene evolution. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 268, 408-423.
Baccheschi, P., Margheriti, L. and Steckler, M. S. (2007). Seismic anisotropy reveals focused mantle flow around the Calabrian slab (Southern Italy), G.R.L., 34, L05302, doi:10.1029/2006GL028899
Chimera, G., Aoudia, A., Saraoz, A. and Panza, G.F., (2003). Active tectonics in Central Italy: constraints from surface wave tomography and source moment tensor inversion. PEPI, 138, 241-262.
Doglioni C., Green D. and Mongelli F. (2005): On the shallow origin of hotspots and the westward drift of the lithosphere: in Plates, Plumes and Paradigms, G.R. Foulger, J.H. Natland, D.C. Presnall, & D.L. Anderson (Eds), GSA Sp. Paper 388, 735-749.
Doglioni C., Carminati E., Cuffaro M. and Scrocca D. (2007): Subduction kinematics and dynamic constraints. Earth Science Reviews, 83, 125-175, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.04.001.
Ismail-Zadeh, A.T., Aoudia, A., and Panza, G.F., (2004). Tectonic Stress in the Central Apennines due to Lithospheric Buoyancy. Doklady Earth Sciences, 395A, 3, 2004, 369-372.
Ismail-Zadeh, A.T., Aoudia, A., and Panza, G.F., (2009). Three-dimensional numerical modeling of contemporary mantle flow and tectonic stress beneath the Central Mediterranean, submitted.
Kelly, R.K., Kelemen, P.B., Jull, M. 2003. Buoyancy of the continental upper mattle. G3. 4 (2), 1017.
Mueller, S. and Panza, G.F., (1986). Evidence of a deep-reaching lithospheric root under the Alpine Arc. In: The Origin of Arcs, ed. by: F.C. Wezel, Elsevier, 21, 93-113.
Panza, G.F., Mueller, S., 1978. The plate boundary between Eurasia and Africa in the Alpine Area. Mem. Soc. Geol. It., 33, 43-50.
Panza, G.F., Mueller, S., Calcagnile, G. and Knopoff, L., (1982).

#### References

Scalera, G., 2007. A new model of orogenic evolution Rend. Soc. Geol. It., 5, Nuova Serie, 214-218.
Scalera, G., 2005. A new interpretation of the Mediterranean arcs: Mantle wedge intrusion instead of subduction Boll. Soc. Geol.It., Volume Speciale n. 5, 129-147.
Scalera, G., 2008 Great and old earthquakes against great and old paradigms – paradoxes, historical roots, alternative answers. Adv. Geosci., 14, 41–57, 2008
Scoppola B., Boccaletti D., Bevis M., Carminati E. and Doglioni C. (2006): The westward drift of the lithosphere: a rotational drag?
Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., 118, 1/2; p. 199–209; doi: 10.1130/B25734.1.
Spakman, W., Wortel, M. J. R., Vlaar, N. J. (1988):. The Hellenic subduction zone: A tomographic image and its geodynamic implications, G.R.L., 15, 60-63.
Zhang, Yu-Sh. and Tanimoto, T. (1992) Ridges, hotspots and their interaction as observed in seismic velocity maps. Nature, 355, 45 - 49; doi:10.1038/355045a0.