



2050-7

#### Targeted Training Activity: Predictability of Weather and Climate: Theory and Applications to Intraseasonal Variability

27 July - 7 August, 2009

Ensemble predictions at ECMWF: The seasonal scale and beyond

Franco Molteni European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Reading U.K.



## Ensemble predictions at ECMWF: The seasonal scale and beyond

# Franco Molteni and the ECMWF Seasonal Forecast Section

European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, U.K.



#### 5 The El Niño – Southern Oscillation (ENSO)





Jan

2002

44

Jan

2000

Jan

1**99**8



### The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO)

Walker and Bliss (1932) Van Loon and Rogers (1978)



Positive NAO phase



Negative NAO phase



4

### Inter-decadal NAO variability



![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Challenges for seasonal to decadal predictions

- The evolution of climate anomalies on seasonal to decadal scale depends on the evolution of the coupled ocean-atmosphere-land system.
- 2. Initial conditions for atmospheric, oceanic and land-surface variables have to be specified, together with estimates of uncertainties.
- Inaccurate representation of interactions and feedbacks between atmosphere, ocean and land variability can lead to substantial differences between the observed and model climate.
- 4. The calibration (e.g. bias correction) and assessment of seasonal to decadal EPS requires the integration of the system from many different initial states, covering periods with variable concentrations of GHG and aerosols.

## ECMWF Seasonal forecast system (Sys-3)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

The seasonal forecast System-3 (implem. March 07)

#### •COUPLED MODEL (IFS + OASIS2 + HOPE)

- •Recent cycle of atmospheric model (Cy31R1)
- •Atmospheric resolution TL159 and 62 levels
- •Time varying greenhouse gasses.
- Includes ocean currents in wave model

#### •INITIALIZATION

- •Includes bias correction in ocean assimilation.
- •Includes assimilation of salinity and altimeter data.
- •ERA-40 data used to initialize ocean and atmosphere in hindcasts
- •Ocean reanalysis back to 1959, using ENACT/ENSEMBLES ocean data

#### •ENSEMBLE GENERATION

- •Extended range of back integrations: 11 members, 1981-2005.
- •Revised wind and SST perturbations.
- •Use EPS Singular Vector perturbations in atmospheric initial conditions.

•Forecasts extended to 7 months (to 13 months 4x per year).

### Products from Sys-3: ocean reanalysis

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Products from Sys-3: "plumes" for El Nino indices

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Forecast issue date: 15/09/2007

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Climagrams: area-averages of SST, 2mT and rainfall

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Climagrams : monsoon indices / teleconnections

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

## All India Rainfall: "climagram" from 1 May 2007

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Verification for T\_2m in DJF from 25-year hindcast set

ROC Skill Score for ECMWF with 11 ensemble members and 12 bins Near-surface temperature anomalies above the upper tercile Hindcast period 1981-2005 with start in November average over months 2 to 4 Threshold computed ranking the sample

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Products from Sys-3 : tropical storm frequency

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

## EUROSIP seasonal fc. of tropical storms (from 1<sup>st</sup> June)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

2

Nino3.4 rms error / spread in different ECMWF systems

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Rms error of forecasts has been systematically reduced (solid lines) ....

.. but ensemble spread (dashed lines) is still substantially less than actual forecast error.

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

CMWF

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Predictability of teleconnection/EOF indices in S-3

#### Rainfall: East. Tropical Indian Ocean pattern (JJA)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Predictability of teleconnection/EOF indices in S-3

Rainfall: Sahel / Guinea coast dipole (JJA)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Anomaly correlation of seasonal-mean rainfall

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

Ensemble predictions at ECMWF: the seasonal scale and beyond – ICTP, July 2009

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Predictability of East Africa short rains: EOF filtered OND

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Artic sea-ice variability

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

•The last 2 summers have seen unprecedented anomalies in the Artic ice extension

•The ECMWF SF system does not represent interannual variations of the sea-ice. Would the SF over Europe improve if artic sea-ice was predicted?

Images from the National Snow and Ice Data Center: http://www.nsidc.org/sotc/sea\_ice.html

## Sensitivity exp. on response to sea-ice anomalies

- 1 May 30Sep 2007 & 2008, 40-m. ensembles
- A1: Sys3 AGCM with prescribed (obs.) SST, observed seaice concentration
- A2: Sys3 AGCM with prescribed (obs.) SST, climatological sea-ice concentration
- B1: Sys3 CGCM with predicted SST, observed sea-ice concentration
- B2: Sys3 AGCM with predicted SST, climatological sea-ice concentration

```
AGCM response : A1 – A2
```

```
CGCM response : B1 - B2
```

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Impact on 500 hPa geop. height

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

Ensemble predictions at ECMWF: the seasonal scale and beyond – ICTP, July 2009

### But how is the response in coupled mode?

2

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Impact of SST bias in the Gulf Stream region

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

SST bias in the Gulf Stream region may explain a large part of the cupled model systematic errors over the North Atlantic Sector

## Impact on the response to the Arctic Ice anomaly

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Correcting the SST bias in the Gulf Stream region changes the atmospheric response to the prescribed sea-ice anomaly

# Conclusions (1)

- SST predictions from the ECMWF seasonal forecast system-3 show higher skill than those from previous system, particularly in the tropical Pacific and eastern Indian Oc., but western Indian Oc. and tropical Atlantic are still not better than persistence in NH summer.
- Predictive skill for seasonal rainfall is generally good over the Pacific and tropical S. America, poor along the coast of the Indian Ocean in early summer. Skill for South Asian rainfall increases in the latter part of the monsoon season.
- Substantial model errors affect rainfall variability over tropical land; however, rainfall forecasts over land can be improved by exploiting teleconnections with adjacent ocean regions.

# Conclusions (2)

- In the Arctic and North Atlantic regions, signals associated with interdecadal variability (natural and/or anthropogenic) are increasingly affecting anomalies on seasonal time-scale.
- Experiments with ECMWF Sys3 suggest a positive feedback between recent summertime reduction in sea-ice extent and AO/NAO-like circulation anomalies.
- If results from EPS-monthly and seasonal forecast systems are used on the appropriate scales, and minimal amount of statistical postprocessing is performed to correct model biases, dynamical predictions from the ECMWF systems can be used into provide skilful, seamless information on atmospheric variability over a number of tropical and extra-tropical regions.

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)