



2053-42

Advanced Workshop on Evaluating, Monitoring and Communicating Volcanic and Seismic Hazards in East Africa

17 - 28 August 2009

InSAR fundamentals and Advanced Methods

Tim Wright University of Leeds U.K.

Insar: Fundamentals

Tim Wright, University of Leeds, UK

Juliet Biggs, University of Oxford, UK





UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

Radar remote sensing

InSAR – the basics

Components of interferometric phase

Outline

Some examples of seismic and volcanic deformation

The Future



This is **passive** remote sensing where the Sun provides a natural source of illumination.

Active remote sensing involves illuminating the ground from the observing platform in some way, e.g. with radar or lasers.

The Electromagnetic Spectrum



Active Remote Sensing with Microwaves



Radar = RAdio Detection And Ranging



Side-Looking Airborne Radar



Side-Looking Airborne Radar



 $\theta \sim \lambda / W$ e.g. $\lambda = 0.05$ m W = 10 m $\theta \sim 0.005$ radians If at 800 km height, along-track footprint ~ 4 km

Trick – the Synthetic Aperture



Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)



A SAR makes use of measurements of the range and Doppler shift of the radar returns to locate ground points. The signals from many returns are analysed together to image ground elements ~5x20m in size, much smaller than would be possible with a stationary antenna of the same size hence the Synthetic Aperture.



- Actively illuminate ground with radar waves.
- Operates day and night, can see through clouds
- ERS-1 (1991): very stable orbits and pointing ⇒ InSAR
- Followed by ERS-2 (1995) and Envisat (2003) for
- ~ 17 year time series

















Image A - 12 August 1999 Interferogram = Phase A - Phase B Remove phase from topography satellite positions earth curvature Image B - 16 September 1999





$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{arm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{arm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{arm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{arm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$



Calculate phase ramp from satellite orbits ~500 fringes across typical frame
Subtract from interferogram
Residual orbital errors: ~0.3 mm/km (north, ERS) ~0.1 mm/km (east, ERS) (better for Envisat)
Minimal control on v. long wavelength

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$



$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$



A foggy morning, near ancient Mycenae, Greece

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

Layered atmosphere



29/8/1995 to 29/7/1997

30/8/1995 to 29/7/1997

Topography

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

Layered atmosphere



$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

Turbulent atmosphere



Athens Earthquake – September 1999

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

- Size of $\Delta \phi_{\text{atm}}$ (at sea level) ~ ± 10 cm
- Methods for dealing with $\Delta \phi_{\text{atm}}$
 - Ignore (most common)
 - Quantify
 - Model based on other observations (e.g. GPS, meteorology...)
 - Increase SNR by stacking or time series analysis

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

- Biggest source of noise is due to changing ground surface
- *Coherence* is convenient measure



$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

- Biggest source of noise is due to changing ground surface
- Coherence is convenient measure



$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$



Coherent surface types

- Bare Rock
- Buildings esp. towns/cities
- Grassland
- Agricultural fields
- Ice

Incoherent surface types

- Leafy Trees
- Water

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

1. incoherence

- Changes in the ground cover cause a random phase shift for each pixel
- Large baselines
- 2. Unwrapping errrors
- Phase in interferograms is wrapped (each fringe is 2 π radians).
- Discontinuities or data gaps can cause phase unwrapping errors

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{int}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{geom}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{topo}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{atm}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{noise}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{def}}$$

InSAR ONLY MEASURES THE COMPONENT OF SURFACE DEFORMATION IN THE SATELLITE'S LINE OF SIGHT



where n is a unit vector pointing from the ground to the satellite

$$\Delta \phi_{\rm def} = (4\pi / \lambda) \Delta r$$

i.e. 1 fringe = 28.3 mm l.o.s. deformation for ERS

Earthquakes

1. Coseismic Deformation



Current Capability

• Map deformation fields for most damaging earthquakes.

- Identify responsible faults
- Estimate slip models.
- Assess impact on future hazard .

What could be done?

• Routine analysis of **ALL** damaging earthquakes, c.f. Harvard CMT.

• Real-time assessment of causative fault and likely damage area.

• Near-real time assessment of future hazard (aftershocks + triggered quakes).

Why are we not doing this already?

- Data.
- Method Development.
- Manpower.

Earthquakes

Current Capability

- Measure interseismic strain rates on suitable, targeted faults.
- Use these to constrain slip rate and hence assess future hazard.

What could be done?

- Routine measurement of strain across whole regions.
- Assessment of slip rates and relative hazard of multiple faults (including unidentified faults).

Why are we not doing this already?

- Data.
- Method Development.
- Manpower.

2. Interseismic Strain



Wang, Wright and Biggs., GRL 2009

Volcanoes







Volcanoes



Current Capability

- Time-series analysis for suitable, targeted volcanoes
- Snapshot regional surveys.
- Integration with other data sets.

What could be done?

• Routine monitoring of ALL volcanoes worldwide (or in a region).

• Target application of ground monitoring in countries where resources are limited.

Why are we not doing this already?

- Data.
- Method Development.
- Manpower.

Biggs, J., Anthony, E.Y., Ebinger, C.J. Figure 1. biggs_fig1.jpg



Sentinel-1 (ESA, GMES)
"Operational" C-band InSAR
Funded, Launch 2012

The Future

The Future



NASA: L-band, InSAR + LiDAR Funding not yet confirmed Proposed launch 2010-2013

The Future

"InSAR everywhere, all the time"

Searching the Data Archive



ENVISAT, ERS, Landsat, IKONOS, DMC, ALOS, SPOT, Kompsat, Proba, JERS IRS, Nimbus, NOAA, SCISAT, SeaStar, Terra/Aqua.

http://earth.esa.int/EOLi/EOLi.html

Select option: 'connect as anonymous user'

Ordering Data

http://eopi.esa.int/esa/esa?cmd=aodetail&aona me=Cat1

Submit a category 1 proposal to ESA - entitles you to data at reproduction costs only

Category 1: research and applications development use in support of the mission objectives, including research on long term issues of Earth system science...

Data Costs

For Envisat/ERS. per scene (~100km x 100km)
Archive - 25 €
Programming - 80 €
But costs may be waved for scientists from developing countries

Conclusions InSAR is a powerful, low-cost tool for monitoring Earth deformation Capability improving continuously (smaller rates, bigger areas... Future missions and method development will ensure InSAR is a standard technique

Using the predictions and research of leading experts it portrays nature's rarest and most cataclysmic event...



