



2054-3

Structure and Dynamics of Hydrogen-Bonded Systems

26 - 27 October 2009

Quantization of Vibrations in H-Bonded Crystals

Jorge KOHANOFF The Queen's University of Belfast Atomistic Simulation Grp., University Rd., BT7 1NN Belfast U.K.





Quantization of vibrations in H-bonded crystals

Jorge Kohanoff

Atomistic Simulation Centre Queen's University Belfast Northern Ireland, UK

Collaborators:

Ivan Scivetti (QUB, now Rolls Royce) Nikitas Gidopoulos (RAL) David Hughes (QUB, now EDF) Alfredo Caro (Livermore)

ICTP meeting on the

Structure and Dynamics of Hydrogen-bonded systems Trieste, 26-27 October 2009

Outline

- Motivation: isotope effects in H-bonded crystals
- Lattice parameters and internal geometry of solids
 - Classical approach
 - Quasiharmonic approximation (QHA)
 - Exact solution and other approximations
- Applications
 - Model monoatomic and H-bonded chains
 - DFT H-bonded F-H...F linear chain
- Quality of DFT approximations
- Conclusions and Outlook

Isotope effects in the structure of solids: KDP vs DKDP

• Huge isotope effect in ferroelectric T_c : T_{KDP} =123 K vs T_{DKDP} =230 K (Isherwood and James, 1972)

• Structural modifications (Ubbelohde effect): O-O distances (Ichikawa 1978) and lattice constants (Nelmes, Tun and Kuhs 1987)

• Large family of H-bonded molecular crystals, including ferro and antiferroelectric materials (ADP, Squaric acid, LHP, ice, ...)

• Organic crystals, polymorphism is very important for Pharmaceutical industry

Distributions reconstructed from elastic neutron scattering do not show the potential minima















CrOOH(D): Structure and thermodynamics

(Keith Refson, next talk)

Structural modifications upon deuteration

[Christensen, Hansen and Lehmann, J. Solid State Chem. 21, 325 (1977)]

14-14	CrOOH	CrOOD
Space Group	R3m (csym)	R3m
c (Å)	13.37	13.48
a (Å)	2.979	2.985
d[O-O] (Å)	2.47	2.57

Phase transition in CrOOD at 320K

[Matsuo et al., J. Mol. Struc. 790, 129 (2006)]







Questions

- 1. What is the origin of quantum (isotope) effects in d[O-O] and lattice parameters?
 - Tunneling or geometric effect?
- 2. When are these important?
- 3. Can we deal with this in an inexpensive, efficient way?
- 4. What level of approximation is acceptable?

The Ubbelohde effect



Structural and dynamical properties of crystals: classical approach

- Born-Oppenheimer approximation for electrons (E)
- Classical equilibrium configuration (minimize *E*), or classical lattice parameters and equilibrium configuration (minimize $H=E+\sigma . \tau$)
- Force constants and dynamical matrix (second derivatives of the potential @ classical equilibrium structure)
- Phonon dispersion relations and vibrational normal modes.

Problem

Quantization of vibrations (Zero-point-motion - ZPM) not taken into account.

- 1. Lattice parameters (e.g. volume) are incorrect (ZP pressure shifts equilibrium)
- 2. Internal geometry can also be incorrect.
- 3. Mechanical and thermodynamic properties, e.g. phase transitions.

Introducing zero-point-motion

Express Hamiltonian in terms of {Q_j}, a set of orthogonal vibrational coordinates: Q = A⁻¹q, with q=mass-scaled coordinates:

$$H(Q_1, \dots, Q_{3N}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3N} \frac{d^2}{dQ_i^2} + V_{tot}(Q_1, \dots, Q_{3N})$$

Harmonic approximation: expand potential to 2nd order in {Q_i} around a stationary point :

$$V_{tot}(Q_1, \dots, Q_N) \approx E_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3N} \omega_i^2 Q_i^2$$

$$E_{HA} = E_0 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3N} \omega_i$$

Within HA,
$$=0$$

Internal geometry
not modified

• **Periodic solids**: Brillouin zone averaging

$$E_{HA} = E_0 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3M} \int g(\mathbf{k}) \,\omega_i(\mathbf{k}) \,d\mathbf{k}$$

 $g(\mathbf{k}) =$ phonon density of states

Quasi-harmonic approximation (QHA)

- Quasi-harmonic approximation (QHA): frequencies depend on lattice parameters.
- Free energy: includes quantum and thermal effects.

$$F_{QHA}(V) = E_0(V) + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3M} \int g(\mathbf{k}) \,\omega_i(\mathbf{k}, V) \,d\mathbf{k} + k_B T \sum_{i=1}^{3M} \int g(\mathbf{k}) \ln\left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\hbar\omega_i(\mathbf{k}, V)}{k_B T}\right)\right] d\mathbf{k}$$

• Minimization with respect to V gives finite-temperature, quantum-corrected equilibrium volume and internal geometry.

Limitations of the QHA

- Vibrations still treated as a collection of non-interacting harmonic oscillators.
- Anharmonicity only through *V*-dependence of ZPE.
- Excluded in QHA:
 - Intra-mode anharmonicity: higher orders in the same mode (e.g. Q⁴)
 - Mode coupling: products of modes (e.g. $Q_i^2 Q_i$)

The other extreme: exact solution

- 1. Express Hamiltonian in terms of $\{Q_i\}$, a set of orthogonal vibrational coordinates: $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{q}$. Rigid translations eliminated. No rigid rotations.
- 2. Map potential energy surface (PES):

$$V(Q_1,\cdots,Q_{3N-3})$$

3. Solve (3N-3)-dimensional vibrational Schrödinger equation:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\sum_{i}\frac{d^2}{dQ_i^2}+V(Q_1,\cdots,Q_{3N-3})\right]\Psi(Q_1,\cdots,Q_{3N-3})=E\,\Psi(Q_1,\cdots,Q_{3N-3})$$

4. Calculate mean values of vibrational coordinates:

$$\langle Q_i \rangle = \int \cdots \int Q_i |\Psi(Q_1, \cdots, Q_{3N-3})|^2 dQ_1 \cdots dQ_{3N-3}$$

5. Obtain quantum-averaged internal geometry via: $\langle \mathbf{q} \rangle = \mathbf{A} \langle \mathbf{Q} \rangle$

Approximations: VSCF

[For molecules: Ratner and Gerber, J. Phys. Chem. 90, 20 (1986)]

1. <u>Vibrational self-consistent field (VSCF/Hartree)</u>: Approximate total wave function as product of single-mode wave functions. <u>Modes uncorrelated</u>.

$$\Psi_{VSCF}(Q_1, \dots, Q_{3N-3}) = \prod_{i=1}^{3N-3} \phi_i(Q_i)$$

2. Solve a set of (<u>3N-3) coupled one-dimensional</u> Schrödinger equations:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{dQ_i^2} + V_i^{VSCF}(Q_i)\right]\phi_i(Q_i) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(Q_i)$$

3. With:

And e

4.

$$V_i^{VSCF}(Q_i) = \int \cdots \int V(Q_1, \cdots, Q_{3N-3}) \prod_{j \neq i} \left| \phi_j(Q_j) \right|^2 dQ_j$$

Mean values:

$$\langle Q_i \rangle = \int Q_i |\phi_i(Q_i)|^2 dQ_i$$

Internal geometry can change in VSCF

nergy:
$$E_{VSCF} = \sum_{i=1}^{3N-3} \varepsilon_i - (3N-7) \underbrace{V_1(Q_1) |\phi_1(Q_1)|^2 dQ}_{\text{Double counting}}$$

Further approximations

1. <u>Anharmonic</u>: Approximate wave function as product of single-mode wfn:

$$\Psi_{VSCF}(Q_1, \dots, Q_{3N-3}) = \prod_{i=1}^{3N-3} \phi_i(Q_i)$$

2. And the single-mode potentials as:

$$V_i^{ANH}(Q_i) = V(0, \cdots, Q_i, \cdots, 0)$$

3. Solve the (3N-3) uncoupled one-dimensional Schrödinger equations:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{dQ_i^2} + V_i^{ANH}(Q_i)\right]\phi_i(Q_i) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(Q_i)$$

4. with energy given by: $E_{ANH} = \sum_{i=1}^{3N-3} \varepsilon_i$



5. <u>Zero-order</u>: $V_i^{ZO}(Q_i) = V(\langle Q_1 \rangle, \dots, Q_i, \dots, \langle Q_{3N-3} \rangle)$ is like VSCF but ...

single-mode wave functions $\approx \delta$ -functions centered at the mean values.

Summary of Methods

1. <u>Quasi-harmonic approximation (QHA)</u>: €

- a. Recovers anharmonic effects mediated by volume changes.
- b. Ignores intra-mode and mode-coupling anharmonicity
- 2. <u>Anharmonic approximation (ANH)</u>: €€
 - a. Recovers intra-mode anharmonicity.

Zero-order (ZO): €€

- Recovers some mode-coupling anh.
- b. Ignores mode-coupling anharmonicity. Double-well potentials tricky.
- 3. <u>Vibrational self-consistent field (VSCF)</u>: €€€
 - a. Recovers intra-mode anharmonicity.
 - b. Recovers mode-coupling anharmonicity in mean-field.
 - c. Ignores correlation between modes.
- 4. Partially correlated schemes [e.g. P+(3N-3-P)]: $\in \in \in \in$
- 5. <u>Exact</u>: $\in \in \in \in \in (unfeasible for more than 6 modes)$

Monoatomic linear chain

I. Scivetti, N. Gidopoulos and J. Kohanoff, Phys. Rev. B 78, 224108 (2008)









ω increases upon compression

Expansion due to quantum effects (ZPE)

Monoatomic anharmonic chain: Brillouin zone sampling

Morse potential: $V(x) = D[1 - \exp(-b(x - x_0))]^2$



The relevant quanticity parameter is: $\gamma = mD$ with *m*=mass of the particles



Number of cells = number of k-points

Within the QHA

- 10 k-points: a is fully converged
- 4 k-points: *a* is excellent
- 2 k-points, *a* is 1% too low at γ =135

Monoatomic anharmonic chain: comparison of approximations Morse potential: $V(x) = D[1 - \exp(-b(x - x_0))]^2$



4 cells (3 vibrational d.o.f.)

Exact and VSCF: Solve Schrödinger using DVR in the form of Lagrange meshes [Baye and Heenen, J. Phys. A **19**, 2041 (1986)] [Varga, Zhang and Pentelides, PRL **93**, 196403 (2004)]

- Anharmonic: 0.1% below QHA
- Exact: 0.3% below QHA
- VSCF: within 0.05% of exact value

QHA lattice constant is extremely good

Model H-bonded chain

[Yanovitskii, Vlastou-Tsinganos and Flytzanis, PRB 48, 12645 (1993)]



For H-bonds, ω decreases upon compression.

Therefore, the lattice constant reduces

Model H-bonded chain

QHA vs exact solution

I. Scivetti, N. Gidopoulos and J. Kohanoff, Phys. Rev. B 78, 224108 (2008)



Model H-bonded chain: comparison of approximations

 $a_{Cl} = 4.368$ Bohr



- QHA only from minimum (can't be done from saddle point)
- ANH using normal modes at minimum.
- ANH using saddle point modes is poor.
- QHA and ANH converge to same *a* for large *N*
- •1 cell (2 atoms) gives already a decent *a*.
- 2 cells (4 atoms): ANH, Exact and VSCF:
- ANH non-monotonic. OK for two cells
- a_{VSCF} is 0.04 Bohr smaller than a_{QHA}
- a_{Exact} is only 0.002 Bohr from a_{VSCF}

First-principles (DFT-GGA) linear HF chain

I. Scivetti, N. Gidopoulos and J. Kohanoff, Phys. Rev. B 78, 224108 (2008)



- a_{QHA} goes half way (Decrease 0.05 Å, but still 0.04 Å to go): Anharmonicity is important
- *a_{ANHA}* appears to be quite good, and it is cheap! but ... is it reliable? (remember model)
- a_{Exact} and a_{VSCF} are indistinguishable: Correlation between modes not very important

First-principles (DFT-GGA) linear HF chain Choice of vibrational coordinates



- Small a \Rightarrow no barrier \Rightarrow single anharmonic well \Rightarrow SP=Min are good.
- Large a \Rightarrow high barrier \Rightarrow wfn localized in Min \Rightarrow Min good, SP poor.
- Intermediate $a \Rightarrow$ None is very good. Static correlation \Rightarrow Multiconfig.

First-principles linear HF chain Isotope effect









• Increasing *m*, *a* approaches the classical value

Lattice parameters are corrected

- Quantum-averaged F-H distance depends on m
 Internal geometry is also corrected
- QHA overestimates lattice parameters

Is DFT-GGA good enough for H-bonding?

A model H-bond: O₂H₃⁻





- GGA severely underestimates barrier and finds minima too close to center
- MP2 underestimates and CCSD overestimates, but they are quite good
- Hybrid B3LYP is in between.
- Tweaked hybrid HF-DFT (MPWB1K) or Screened exchange can do a good job.

[Zhao and Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. A **108**, 6908 (2004)]

[Heyd, Scuseria and Ernzerhof, JCP **118**, 8207 (2003)]

Conclusions

- 1. QHA quite safe to obtain quantum-corrected lattice parameters in covalently-bonded systems.
 - Coarse BZ sampling (4 or even 2 cells) is sufficient
- 2. QHA does not correct internal geometry at fixed cell.
 - Therefore, it does only half of the job in H-bonded systems.
- 3. ANHA seems quite good, but could be a size effect!
- 4. VSCF is an excellent approximation for structural properties.
 - Anharmonicity is important,
 - Correlation between modes is not necessarily relevant.
- 5. Careful with functionals for H-bonded systems!!