



2146-24

Gribov-80 Memorial Workshop on Quantum Chromodynamics and Beyond'

26 - 28 May 2010

Screening in plasma with charged Bose condensate

Alexander Dolgov *Universita' di Ferrara Italy*

SCREENING IN PLASMA WITH CHARGED BOSE CONDENSATE

A.D. Dolgov

ITEP, 117218, Moscow, Russia INFN, Ferrara 40100, Italy University of Ferrara, Ferrara 40100, Italy

Gribov-80 Memorial Workshop on Quantum Chromodynamics and Beyond

ICTP, Trieste, Italy May 26-28, 2010

Based on:

A.D. Dolgov, A. Lepidi, G. Piccinelli JCAP 0902 (2009) 027; Phys. Rev D, 80 (2009) 125009, arXiv: 1005.2702 [astro-ph]

Similar results in:

G. Gabadadze, R.A. Rosen Phys. Lett. B 658 (2008) 266; JCAP 0810 (2008) 030; JCAP 1004 (2010) 028. Textbook formula for screening:

$$U(r) = rac{Q}{4\pi r}
ightarrow rac{Q\, \exp(-m_D r)}{4\pi r}\,,$$

because the time-time component of the photon propagator acquires "mass" term:

$$k^2 \to k^2 + \Pi_{00}(k) = k^2 + m_D^2$$
,

where e.g. for relativistic fermions

$$m_D^2 = e^2 \left(T^2/3 + \mu^2/\pi^2 \right)$$
 .

Strangely untill recently effects on screening from condensate of a charged Bose field were not considered.

Consider electrically neutral plasma with large electric charge density of fermions compensated by charged bosons Bosons condense when their chemical potential reaches maximum value:

$$\mu_B=m_B$$
 .

Equilibrium distribution of condensed bosons:

$$f_B = C\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{q}) + rac{1}{\exp{[(E-m_B)/T]} \pm 1}$$

annihilates collision integral for an arbitrary constant C.

Calculations:

$$\partial_
u F^{\mu
u}(x) = \mathcal{J}^\mu(x)\,,$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mu}(x) = -i\,e[(\phi^{\dagger}(x)\partial^{\mu}\phi(x)) - (\partial^{\mu}\phi^{\dagger}(x))\phi(x)] + 2e^2A^{\mu}(x)|\phi(x)|^2$$

Express ϕ through A_{μ} :

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0(x) + \int d^4y \, G_B(x-y) {\cal J}_\phi(y) \, ,$$

where

$${\cal J}_\phi(x) = -i\,e[\partial_\mu A^\mu + 2A_\mu\partial^\mu]\phi
onumber \ + e^2A^\mu A_\mu\phi\,.$$

$$egin{aligned} \partial_{
u}F^{\mu
u}(x) &= -ie\,\phi_0^\dagger(x) imes\ \partial^\mu\left[\int d^4y\,G_B(x-y){\cal J}_\phi(y)
ight] + ... \end{aligned}$$

where

$${\cal J}_\phi(x) = +i\,e[\partial_\mu A^\mu(x) + 2A_\mu(x)\partial^\mu]\phi_0(x).$$

Averaging Maxwell equation over medium:

$$egin{aligned} \langle a^\dagger(\mathbf{q})a(\mathbf{q'})
angle &= f_B(E_q)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{q'}), \ \langle a(\mathbf{q})a^\dagger(\mathbf{q'})
angle &= [1+f_B(E_p)]\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{q'})\,. \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{align} \Pi_{00}(0,k) &= rac{e^2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty rac{dq \ q^2}{E_B} [f_B(E_B,\mu_B) \ &+ ar{f}_B(E_B,ar{\mu}_B)] [1 + rac{E_B^2}{kq} \ln |rac{2q+k}{2q-k}|] \,. \end{split}$$

Without condensate one obtaines the usual k-independent Debye screening:

$$\Pi_{00}(0,k)=m_D^2$$
 .

Corrections to Π_{00} at low k are infrared singular:

$$rac{\Delta\Pi_{00}}{e^2} = rac{m_B^2T}{2k} + rac{C}{m_B(2\pi)^3} \left(1 + rac{4m_B^2}{k^2}
ight)$$

Both terms in r.h.s. appear only if $\mu=m_B$.

Instead of exponential the screening becomes power law and oscillating, depending upon parameters, m_j :

$$\Pi_{00} = m_0^2 + m_1^3/k + m_2^4/k^2$$
.

May this have something to do with confinement? Recent paper: P. Gaete, E. Spalucci, 0902.00905 – confinement in Higgs phase.

Contribution from poles (in some limit):

$$egin{aligned} U(r)_{pole} &= rac{Q}{4\pi r} \exp{(-\sqrt{e/2}m_2r)} imes \ &\cos{(\sqrt{e/2}m_2r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Osicllating screening is known for fermions, Friedel oscillations. Observed in experiment.

Contribution from the integral along imaginary axis.

If $m_2 \neq 0$, the dominant term is

$$U(r) = -rac{12Qm_1^3}{\pi^2e^2r^6m_2^8}.$$

If $T \neq 0$, $\mu = m_B$, but the condensate is not yet formed, the asymptotic decrease of the potential becomes:

$$U(r) = -rac{Q}{\pi^2 e^2 r^4 m_1^3} = -rac{2Q}{\pi^2 e^2 r^4 m_B^2 T}.$$

Contribution from logarithmic cuts (analogous to Friedel oscillations for fermions).

If the first "pinch" dominates:

$$U_1(r) = - \; rac{32\pi Q}{e^2 m_B r^2} rac{e^{-z}}{\ln^2(2\sqrt{2}z)} \sin z \, ,$$

where $z = 2r\sqrt{2\pi T m_B}$.

NB: $U_1(r)$ is inversely proportional to e^2 and formally vanishes at $T \to 0$, but remains finite if $\sqrt{Tm_B}r \neq 0$.

All pinches are comparable:

$$U(r) \approx -\frac{3Q}{2e^2T^2m_B^3r^6\ln^3(\sqrt{8m_BT}r)}.$$

 $U \sim T^{-2}$ valid if $r \ll 1/\sqrt{16\pi T m_B}$, i.e. if $T=0.1 \mathrm{K}$ and $m_B=1 \mathrm{GeV}$ the distance should be bounded from above as $r \ll 3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ cm.

Condensation of vector bosons.

 W^{\pm} would condense in the early universe if lepton asymmetry was sufficiently high. Plasma neutrality was maintained by quarks and leptons. Depending on the sign of the pairwise spin-spin coulings W's would condense either in S=0 (scalar) state or in S=2 (ferromagnetic) state.

Magnetic spin-spin interaction through one photon exchange (similar to Breit equation):

$$egin{aligned} U_{em}^{spin}(r) &= rac{e^2
ho^2}{4\pi m_W^2}iggl[rac{(S_1\cdot S_2)}{r^3} - \ & 3rac{(S_1\cdot r)(S_2\cdot r)}{r^5} - rac{8\pi}{3}(S_1\cdot S_2)\delta^{(3)}(r)iggr]. \end{aligned}$$

Here ρ is the ratio of magnetic moment of W to the standard one.

For S-wave the energy is shifted by the last term only.

Local quartic self-coupling of W:

$$U_{4W}^{(spin)} = rac{e^2}{8m_W^2\sin^2 heta_W} (S_1S_2)\delta^{(3)}(r).$$

The net result $U_{em} + U_{4W}$ is negative, so S = 2 state is energetically favorable and spontaneous magnetization in the early universe is possible – seeds for large scale magnetic fields.