

Cycle map. Intermediate Jacobian.

Deligne cohomology

In this lecture $k = \mathbb{C}$. As before X is smooth, q -projective irreducible variety defined over \mathbb{C} .

Associated with X we have now also the corresponding complex manifold X_{an} . By abuse of language we denote often this manifold also shortly by the same letter X and the topology on $X = X_{an}$ is the classical (analytic) topology.

(A) The cycle map

Proposition X smooth, projective, irreducible / \mathbb{C}
 $0 \leq p \leq d = \dim X$; put $q = d - p$. There exists a homomorphism $\gamma_{X, \mathbb{Z}}$ (shortly $\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}$) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}^p(X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}} & \text{Hdg}^p(X) \subset H^{2p}(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \gamma_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ & & \text{CH}^p(X) \end{array}$$

where $\text{Hdg}^p(X) := H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap j^{-1}(H^{p,p}(X))$

with $j: H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbb{C})$ the natural map and $H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{r+s=2p} H^{r,s}(X)$ the Hodge decomposition.

(of course we mean $H^{2p}(X_{an}, \mathbb{C})$)

Remarks

Since $H(X_{an}, -)$ is a good topology (see Lecture II) we have that the equivalence relation \sim_{hom} on $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{hom}}(X)$ is adequate and since $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{rat}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\text{hom}}$ the cycle map $\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ factors through $\text{CH}^p(X)$ and - by abuse of language - we use the same letter $\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Construction of $\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (outline, [EV], p 252) 171-2

By linearity, ~~we can assume~~ it suffices to consider the case that $Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed subvariety of X .

Write $U = X - Z$ and use the exact sequence
 $\rightarrow H^{2p-1}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2p}(X, U; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2p}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$
 and via the theorem of Thom $T: H^{2p}(X, U, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(X, \mathbb{Z})$

Then put $\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) = \rho \cdot T(1_Z)$

Next in order to relate it to the Hodge decomposition we must use the de Rham isomorphism

$$H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{r+s=i} H^{r,s}(X)$$

and using this we have the

Lemma $j_* \gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \in H^{p,p}(X) \subset H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{C})$

(to be proved in the lecture) from which we get the required result:

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \in \text{Hdg}^p(X)$$

(B) Hodge cycles. Hodge conjecture.

So recall:

$$\text{Hdg}^p(X) := \left\{ \eta \in H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}) ; j_!(\eta) \in H^{p,p}(X) \subset H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

with $j: H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{C})$ the natural map.

The elements of $\text{Hdg}^p(X)$ are called Hodge classes or

Hodge cycles of type (p,p) .

And we have

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}: \mathbb{Z}^p(X) \rightarrow \text{Hdg}^p(X)$$

(always X smooth, irreducible and proper over \mathbb{C} , so ~~X_{an}~~ X_{an} compact manifold and by abuse of notation we write $X_{\text{an}} = X$)

In the original form Hodge conjectured that the map $\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is surjective ~~however~~ (integral Hodge conjecture), however Atiyah-Hirzebruch showed in 1962 that for $p > 1$ this integral form is not true (later more counterexamples by Kollar and Totaro). Therefore the conjecture has to be modified to:

Hodge conjecture

$$(HC) \quad \mathbb{Z}^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{Hdg}^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ onto?}$$

$H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cap H^{p,p}(X)$

For divisors there is the famous

Lefschetz (1,1)-theorem

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}} : \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Hdg}^2(X) \text{ is onto.}$$

(in the lecture we hope to outline the modern proof of Kodaira-Spencer $\bar{\partial}$ using sheaf-theory and the exponential sequence).

For cycles of codimension $p > 1$ ($p \neq d-1$) the Hodge conjecture is wide open and one of the most famous conjectures in mathematics ((for $p = d-1$ the Hodge conjecture with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients is true: it follows from the case $p=1$ and the so-called strong Lefschetz theorem))

③ Intermediate Jacobians

Always X smooth, proper, irreducible over \mathbb{C} , $X = X_{\text{an}}$ denotes also the corresponding complex (compact) manifold.

Recall the Hodge decomposition:

$$H^c(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{r+s=c} H^{r,s}(X) \quad (\text{and } H^{s,r} = \overline{H^{r,s}})$$

And the corresponding Hodge filtration.

$$F^j H^c(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{r \geq j} H^{r, c-r}(X) = H^{j,0} + H^{j-1,1} + \dots + H^{0, c-j}$$

So we have a descending filtration:

$$F^0 = H^c(X, \mathbb{C}) \supseteq F^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq F^c \supseteq F^{c+1} = 0$$

Definition

The p -th intermediate jacobian (of Griffiths) is

$$\begin{aligned} J^p(X) &= H^{2p-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) / F^p H^{2p-1} + H^{2p-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= (H^{p-1,p} + \dots + H^{0,2p-1}) / H^{2p-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

$J^p(X)$ is a complex torus

In general it is not an abelian variety.

Remarks

For $p=1$ $J^1(X) = H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) / H^{1,0} + H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ Picard variety of X .

For $p=d$ $J^d(X) = H^{2d-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) / H^{d,d-1} + H^{2d-1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ Albanese variety of X .

For $X = \mathbb{C}$ curve (i.e. $d=1$) we get $J^1(X) = J^d(X) =: J(X)$

The Jacobian of $X = \mathbb{C}$: variety of \mathbb{C}

The Picard and Albanese variety are abelian varieties

① Abel-Jacobi map

For $Z \in \mathbb{Z}^p(X)$ we have the cycle map $\gamma_Z(Z) \in H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ which is a topological invariant of Z ; when this $\gamma_Z(Z) = 0$ we have an analytic invariant, namely

Theorem.

\exists a homomorphism $AJ^p: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{hom}}^p(X) \rightarrow J^p(X)$

and AJ^p factors in fact through $CH_{\text{hom}}^p(X)$.

(AJ is called the Abel-Jacobi map)

In the lecture we shall discuss the construction.

For $X = C$ a curve we get the classical Abel-Jacobi map $\text{Div}_{(0)}(C) \rightarrow J(C)$, where $\text{Div}_{(0)}$ are the divisors

of degree 0, and factorizing through linear equivalence we get the theorem of Abel-Jacobi: $CH_{\text{alg}}^1(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} J(C)$;

for $X = X_d$ we get for $p=1$ the Picard map and for $p=d$ the Albanese map.

(E) Deligne cohomology, Deligne cycle map

In this section $X = X_{an}$ is the complex analytic manifold corresponding to the smooth, projective variety X (irreducible defined over \mathbb{C}) and, as before, the topology is the classical topology on $X = X_{an}$.

Recall the holomorphic de Rham complex

$$\Omega_X^i := 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^0 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

where Ω_X^i are the holomorphic differential forms of degree i ($\Omega_X^0 = \mathcal{O}_{X_{an}}$) which is by the holomorphic Poincaré lemma ([G-H], p 448) a resolution for \mathbb{C} , written $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Omega_X^0$.

Now let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a subring (ex. $A = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{R}), write put $A(n) = (2\pi i)^n A \subset \mathbb{C}$ as subgroup.

Deligne (and Beilinson) introduced the complex (now called Deligne complex)

$$A(n) : \quad 0 \rightarrow A(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

$\mathcal{D} \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \qquad 1 \qquad 2 \qquad \qquad \qquad n$

in degrees

~~which~~ and considered the hypercohomology ([G-H], p 445) of this complex:

Def.: $H_{\mathcal{D}}^i(X, A(n)) := H^i(X, A(n)_{\mathcal{D}})$

the so-called Deligne cohomology (with coefficients in $A(n)$).

More generally if $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion of analytic manifolds one can consider the Deligne-Berlinson cohomology with support on Y :

Def. $H_{Y, \mathcal{D}}^i(X, A(n)) := H_Y^i(X, A(n)_{\mathcal{D}})$.

Ex. Take $A = \mathbb{Z}$

Ex. 1. $m=0$ $H_{\mathcal{D}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}(0)) = H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Ex. 2 $m=1$

The complex $\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is quasi-isomorphic ([G-H] p. 446)

to the complex $\mathcal{O}_X^* := 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow 1$ shifted by -1 , i.e. to $\mathcal{O}_X^*[-1]$ via the exponential map $\exp(z) = e^{2\pi i z}$. Explicit:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z}(1)_{\mathcal{D}} : & 0 & \rightarrow & 2\pi i \mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & \exp \downarrow & & \downarrow \exp & \\ \mathcal{O}_X^*[-1] : & 1 & \rightarrow & 1 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^* & \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

From this we get:

$$H_{\mathcal{D}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong H^1(X_{an}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{an}}^*) = \text{Pic}(X_{an}) \stackrel{\uparrow \text{GAGA}}{=} \text{Pic}(X)$$

Now "recall" (lecture 2) the exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \parallel \quad \quad \quad \parallel$$

$$\quad \quad \quad J^2(X) \quad \quad \quad \text{Hdg}^1(X)$$

Ex. 3. $n = p$ (general case!
 $1 \leq p \leq d = \dim X$)

The following theorem shows that Deligne cohomology gives a beautiful generalization of $(*)$:

Theorem (Deligne) There is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow J^p(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \rightarrow \text{Hdg}^p(X) \rightarrow 0$$

Proof: to be discussed in lecture.

Moreover Deligne constructs also a cycle map

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^p : Z^p(X) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$$

Which "catches" both the classical cycle map and the

Abel-Jacobi map

Theorem There is a commutative diagram, with exact rows,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & Z_{\text{hom}}^p(X) & \longrightarrow & Z^p(X) & \longrightarrow & Z^p(X)/Z_{\text{hom}}^p(X) & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow \text{AJ} & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathcal{D}} & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathbb{Z}} & \\ 0 \rightarrow & J^p(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hdg}^p(X) & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

(See for instance [Green et al], lect. 2 of Green and lectures
~~HM~~ ibid, chap. IV).

References for lecture III.

For the basics of complex algebraic geometry see the book of Griffiths - Harris [G-H.]

The topics discussed in this lecture III are all thoroughly treated in the book of Voisin [V]. For some of the ~~lecture~~ topics one can also look to some of the lectures ~~in~~ from the CIME lectures in Torino 1993 (~~Griffiths~~ [Green et al], Springer LNM 1594). For the Deligne - Beilinson cohomology one can look also to [E-V].

[G-H] Griffiths - Harris, "Principles of algebraic geometry", Wiley & Sons

[E-V] Esnault - Viehweg, Deligne - Beilinson cohomology, in: "Beilinson's conjectures on Special Values of L-Functions", Rapoport, etc editors, Perspectives in Math., Vol 4, Academic Press

[Green et al], Green, etc. "Algebraic Cycles and Hodge Theory", Springer Lect. in Math., vol 1594

[V] Voisin, "Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry", Vol I, II Cambridge Tracts in advanced Mathematics, Vol. 76 and 77.

Algebraic versus homological equivalence.Griffiths group.

In this lecture we discuss the important discovery of Griffiths (1969) that ~~invariant~~ for cycles of codimension > 1 algebraic and homological equivalence are different. This shows that there is a striking difference between the theory of divisors and the theory of algebraic cycles of codimension > 1 .

(A) The starting point is:

Theorem 1 (Griffiths 1969)

Let Y be a smooth, projective, irreducible variety defined over \mathbb{C} of even dimension $d = 2m$. Let $\{X_t\}$ be a Lefschetz pencil of hyperplane sections of Y . Assume that $H^{2m-1}(Y) = 0$ and that

$$H^{2m-1}(X_t, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^{m, m-1}(X_t) \oplus H^{m-1, m}(X_t)$$

Let $Z \in Z^m(Y)$ be such that $Z \cap X_t$ is algebraically equivalent to zero for "very general" $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ (the parameter space of the pencil), then Z is homologically equivalent to zero on Y .

Remarks.

1. "Very general" means here that $\exists S \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ a countable set of points and that we must take $t \notin S$.
2. The proof (to be discussed) uses the theory of the intermediate Jacobians and normal functions on the one hand and also the "classical" Picard-Lefschetz theory of the Lefschetz pencil. A key role is played by the irreducibility of the monodromy-representation

$$\rho: \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \text{Aut } H^{2m-1}(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{C})$$

where $U = \{t \in \mathbb{P}^1; X_t \text{ is smooth}\}$

(B) Griffiths group.

$$\text{Griff}^p(X) := \mathbb{Z}_{\text{hom}}^p(X) / \mathbb{Z}_{\text{alg}}^p(X) \cong \text{CH}_{\text{hom}}^p(X) / \text{CH}_{\text{alg}}^p(X)$$

Due to the existence of the so-called "Chow varieties" the $\text{Griff}^p(X)$ is a countable group.

$\text{Griff}^2(X) = 0$ and $\text{Griff}^d(X_d) = 0$. However using the above theorem 1 Griffiths proved in 1969:

Theorem Consider the quintic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 .

For a "very general" such quintic hypersurface $X_t \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ we have $\text{Griff}^2(X_t) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$

Remarks

- "Very general" means $t \notin B$ where B is a union of countably many algebraic subsets of the parameter space $V = H^0(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(5)) / \mathbb{P}^4$ of such quintic hypersurfaces.
- The proof of Griffiths uses $J^2(X_t)$ and in fact he shows that $\exists Z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{hom}}^2(X_t)$ s.t. $AJ^2(Z)$ is not a torsion point on $J^2(X_t)$ for such very general X_t .
- We mention that Clemens proved later (1983) that for such X_t we get moreover $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Griff}(X_t) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \infty$.
- In the above results a crucial role is played by the fact that ~~$J^2(X) \neq 0$~~ $J^2(X) \neq 0$. However in 1998 Nori proved that there exists (very general) varieties such that and cycles $Z \in \mathbb{Z}^p(X)$ for $p \geq 3$ such that $AJ^p(Z) = 0$ but such that the image of Z in $\text{Griff}^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is not zero! (see the nice lectures of J. Nagel [N]).

5. In the above examples the varieties are always "very general". However there exists also varieties X defined over a number field, or even over \mathbb{Q} itself, for which $\chi_{\text{Griff}}^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$. The first ^{such} example (to my knowledge) is due to Bruno Harris.

References to lecture IV

For the results of Griffiths and related results see Vossin's book [V] (Vol II, chap 2, section 8) or also her lecture 7 in [Grun et al].
See also J. Nagel's lectures [N]

- [Grun et al] M. Grun, - "Algebraic Cycles and Hodge Theory", Springer LNM, vol 1594
- [N] J. Nagel, "Lectures on Nori's connectivity theorem" in Transcendental Aspects of Algebraic Cycles (Proc. Grenoble Summer School 2001)
London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 313, Cambridge Univ. Press
- [V] C. Vossin "Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry", Vol II.
Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math, Vol 77

The Albanese kernelResults of Mumford, Bloch and Bloch-Srinivas

In this lecture we return to the case of an algebraically closed field k (but otherwise arbitrary).

In the following we always assume (unless explicitly stated otherwise) that the varieties are smooth, projective, irreducible and defined over k .

Let $X = X_d$ be such a variety.

Recall: $CH^1_{\text{alg}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(X)_{\text{red}}$, the Picard

variety, an abelian variety (if $k = \mathbb{C}$ the $J^1(X)$)

For zero-cycles we have a homomorphism

$\alpha_X: CH^d_{\text{alg}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$, the Albanese

variety again an abelian variety and α_X is the albanese map (if $k = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Alb}(X) = J^d(X)$ and $\alpha_X = AJ^d$ the Abel-Jacobi map), however in general α_X is not surjective (on the contrary!)

Put $T(X) := CH^d_{\text{alb}}(X) := \ker(\alpha_X)$ the albanese kernel

Ⓐ Theorem of Mumford (1969)

Let S be an algebraic surface / \mathbb{C} . Let $g(S) := \dim H^0(S, \Omega_S^2)$ (geometric genus of S).

If $g(S) \neq 0$ then $T(S)$ is "infinite dimensional".

In the lecture we shall make this precise, but it implies that $T(S)$ can not be represented ~~by~~ (parametrized) by an algebraic variety, i.e. $T(S)$ is "very large" for such a surface.

(B) Generalization and reformulation by Bloch.

The notion "infinite dimensional" is closely related to the concept "weakly representable" introduced and used by Bloch.

Let $\Omega \supset k$ be a so-called "universal domain", i.e. Ω is an algebraically closed field of infinite transcendence degree over k , hence every $L \supset k$ of finite transcendence degree over k can be embedded in Ω , i.e. $k \subset L \subset \Omega$ (ex. $k = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$).

Definition. X/k
A subgroup $A \subset CH^d(X)$ (for instance $CH^d_{alg}(X)$) is weakly representable if there exist a curve C smooth,

but not necessarily irreducible, and a cycle class $T \in CH^d(C \times X)$ such that the corresponding morphism $T_x: CH_0(C_L) \rightarrow A_L$ is surjective for all $k \subset L \subset \Omega$

(To be more specific: say $A = CH^d_{alg}(X)$; assume now that we have chosen on each component of C a point $e \in C(k)$ and normalized such that $T(e) = 0$ then we require that $T_x: CH_{0,alg}(C_L) \rightarrow CH^d_{alg}(X_L)$ is surjective) for all fields $k \subset L$ with $k \subset L \subset \Omega$ and $\text{trans. deg } L/k$ finite).

Now ^{again let} ~~returning to~~ X_d/k , assume that we have chosen a Weil cohomology theory with coefficient field $F \supset \mathbb{Q}$ (for instance $F = \mathbb{Q}$ if X/\mathbb{C} or $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ if $H(X) = H_{\text{et}}(X_{\mathbb{F}_p})$); Consider $NS(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(X)$, put $H^2(X)_{alg}$ for the image and $H^2(X)_{tr} := H^2(X) / H^2(X)_{alg}$

i.e. the subgroup generated by the images of T_x is $CH^d_{alg}(X)$ itself

Now Bloch proved the following theorem

Theorem (see [B], p I-24).

Let S be an algebraic surface ($k = \bar{k}$). Assume that $H^2(S)_{\text{tr}} \neq 0$, then $CH_{\text{alg}}^2(S)$ is not weakly representable

We get the result of Mumford if we take $k = \mathbb{C}$ and note that $h_g(S) = \dim H^2(S)_{\text{tr}}$ since in that case $H^2(S)_{\text{tr}} = H^{2,0}(S) = H^0(S, \Omega_S^2)$.

The proof (to be discussed in the lecture) goes via the theorem in (C) below.

(C) A result on the diagonal.

Theorem (Bloch, Serre's)

Let $X = X_n$ be as usual. Assume that there exists a closed subset $V \subsetneq X$ (V not nec. smooth or irreducible) such that for $U = X - V$ we have $CH_0(U) = 0$.

Now consider the diagonal $\Delta(X) \subset X \times X$.

Then there exist an integer $N > 0$, a divisor D (or better a closed subset $D \subsetneq X$) and two d -dimensional cycles Γ_1 and Γ_2 on $X \times X$ with $\text{supp } \Gamma_1 \subset V \times X$ and $\text{supp } \Gamma_2 \subset X \times D$ such that in $CH^d(X \times X)$ we have

$$N \cdot \Delta = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$$

Remarks

1. The theorem above follows from this since if $X = S$ and if $CH_{\text{alg}}^2(S)$ is weakly representable then we get such cycles Γ_1 and Γ_2 and both these cycles operate trivially on $H^2(S)_{\text{trans}}$.

2. The above theorem has important consequences V-4
 on the $CH^2_{\text{alg}}(X)$ (to be discussed if time permits).

(D) Bloch Conjecture

Let S be again such an algebraic surface. Bloch conjectures that, conversely, if $H^2(S)_{\text{tr}} = 0$ that $CH^2(S_{\text{alg}})$ is weakly representable.

~~Based on~~ Using the classification of surfaces this can be proved for surfaces with "Kodaira dimension" $\kappa(S) < 2$. For surfaces of "general type" (i.e. $\kappa(S) = 2$) it has been proved for some special cases (like Godeaux surfaces), but otherwise it is wide open.

(E) Bloch-Beilinson filtration

In his book [B], ~~text~~ p. I-12 Bloch stressed the existence of a filtration on $CH^2(S)$ namely
 $F_0 = CH^2(S) \supset F_1 = CH^2_{\text{alg}}(S) = CH^2_{\text{hom}}(S) \supset F_2 = T(S) = CH^2_{\text{alb}}(S) \supset F_3 = 0$

Bloch and Beilinson (and later many others) conjecture that more generally there is ~~some~~ a filtration of a similar nature on ~~each~~ every $CH^i(X_d) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$

If time permits we shall say something about this in the lecture (but - in order to avoid confusion - the existence of such a filtration is a conjecture, wide open)

References to Lecture 5

- [B] S. Bloch, "Lectures on algebraic cycles"
Duke Univ., 1980
- [B-Sr] S. Bloch - V. Srinivas, "Remarks on
Correspondences and algebraic cycles"
Ann. J. of Math. 105 (1983)
- [V] C. Voisin, "Hodge Theory and Algebraic Cycles", II
Cambridge studies in adv. math, Vol. 77
See especially Chap III.