



2162-23

Advanced Workshop on Anderson Localization, Nonlinearity and Turbulence: a Cross-Fertilization

23 August - 3 September, 2010

Computational Studies of Nonlinear Wave Spreading in Disordered Systems

Dmitry KRIMER Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems Dresden Germany Computational studies of nonlinear wave spreading in disordered systems

Dmitry Krimer

Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems Dresden, Germany

Together with:

• Sergej Flach, Haris Skokos, Joshua Bodyfelt, Tetyana Laptyeva

Disordered systems

• Anderson localization of waves in disordered media electrons, phonons, photons, BEC, ...

Linear propagation in disordered structures (Anderson localization)

Y. Lahini et al, PRL 100, 013906 (2008)

a) A beam incident on 1D lattice of coupled optical waveguides; randomization of the waveguide's width (disorder)





b) No disorder c) with disorder; d) localization around specific locations (single eigenmode)



2D periodic photonic optically-induced lattice with a speckled beam (disorder). Output: b) No disorder; c) with disorder

Direct observation of Anderson localization of BEC released into 1D waveguide in the presence of a controlled disorder



(a)

Billy et al, Nature 453, 891(2008); Roati et al, Nature 453, 895 (2008)

The BEC is produced in an opto-magnetic hybrid trap. The longitudinal confinement is switched off at t=0

no disorder => BEC starts to expand in the z direction;
with disorder => BEC expansion rapidly stops

Defining the problem

- a discrete 1D disordered medium
- linear equations of motion: all eigenstates are localized
- localization length is bounded from above
- add short range nonlinearity (interactions)
- follow the spreading of an initially localized wave packet

Will it delocalize	Yes because of nonintegrability and ergodicity
or stay localized?	
	No because of energy conservation –
	spreading leads to small energy density,
	nonlinearity can be neglected,
	dynamics becomes integrable, and
	Anderson localization is restored

In simulations when we observe spreading it is subdiffusive

Our strategy: numerical observation — approximate modeling/explanation — generalizations — predictions — numerical observation

Model 1: The discrete nonlinear Schrödinger lattice with disorder

$$\mathcal{H}_D = \sum_l \epsilon_l |\psi_l|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} |\psi_l|^4 - (\psi_{l+1}\psi_l^* + \psi_{l+1}^*\psi_l)$$

 ϵ_l uniformly from $\left[-\frac{W}{2}, \frac{W}{2}\right]$ W: strength of disorder Hopping V=1 $\dot{\psi}_l = \partial \mathcal{H}_D / \partial (i\psi_l^{\star})$

$$i\dot{\psi}_{l} = \epsilon_{l}\psi_{l} + \beta|\psi_{l}|^{2}\psi_{l} - \psi_{l+1} - \psi_{l-1}$$

Conserved quantities: energy and norm $S = \sum_l |\psi_l|^2$

Varying the norm is strictly equivalent to varying β .

Equations model light propagation and cold atom dynamics in structured media

Model 2: The Klein-Gordon chain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{K} &= \sum_{l} \frac{p_{l}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_{l}}{2} u_{l}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} u_{l}^{4} + \frac{1}{2W} (u_{l+1} - u_{l})^{2} \\ \ddot{u}_{l} &= -\partial \mathcal{H}_{K} / \partial u_{l} \qquad \tilde{\epsilon}_{l} \text{ uniformly from } \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right] \\ \ddot{u}_{l} &= -\tilde{\epsilon}_{l} u_{l} - u_{l}^{3} + \frac{1}{W} (u_{l+1} + u_{l-1} - 2u_{l}) \end{aligned}$$

Conserved quantity: energy only

Equations can be approximately mapped on model 1 for small amplitudes

Back to model 1:
$$i\dot{\psi}_l = \epsilon_l\psi_l + \beta|\psi_l|^2\psi_l - \psi_{l+1} - \psi_{l-1}$$

The linear case:
$$~~eta=0~~~\psi_l=A_l\exp(-i\lambda t)$$

Eigenvalue problem:
$$\lambda A_l = \epsilon_l A_l - A_{l-1} - A_{l+1}$$

Normal mode (NM) eigenvectors:
$$~A_{
u,l}~~(\sum_l A_{
u,l}^2~=~1)~$$

NMs are ordered according to center-of-norm coordinate: $X_{\nu} = \sum_{l} l A_{l}^{(\nu)2}$

Eigenvalues:
$$\lambda_{\nu} \in \left[-2 - \frac{W}{2}, 2 + \frac{W}{2}\right]$$

Width of EV spectrum: $\Delta_D = W + 4$

Characterization of the NMs





Red line: average localization volume ($\lambda_v \approx 0$); Blue line: average localization length ($\lambda_v \approx 0$). NMs with eigenvalues near bandwidth center are taken

Localization volume of NM was calculated via the second moment of NM: $V_{\nu} = \sqrt{12m_2^{(\nu)}} + 1$ $m_2^{(\nu)} = \sum_l (X_{\nu} - l)^2 |A_{\nu,l}|^2$ and $X_{\nu} = \sum_l l A_{\nu,l}^2$

 $λ_v$ ≈0: ξ (W<4)≈ 100/W², V(W < 4) ≈ 3.3ξ V(W > 10) ≈ 1



More details: Joshua Bodyfelt (talk) and Tetyana Laptyeva (poster)

Transformation to NM space: $\psi_l(t) = \sum_{\nu} \phi_{\nu}(t) A_l^{(\nu)}$ Equations in normal mode space: $i\dot{\phi}_{\nu} = \lambda_{\nu}\phi_{\nu} + \beta \sum_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} I_{\nu,\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} \phi_{\nu_1}^* \phi_{\nu_2} \phi_{\nu_3}$

$$I_{\nu,\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} = \sum_{l} A_{\nu,l} A_{\nu_1,l} A_{\nu_2,l} A_{\nu_3,l}$$

We analyze a normalized norm distribution $\, z_
u \, \equiv \, |\phi_
u|^2 / \sum_\mu |\phi_\mu|^2 \,$ using

Second moment:
$$m_2 = \sum_{\nu} (\nu - \bar{\nu})^2 z_{\nu} \longrightarrow \text{location of tails}$$

 $\bar{\nu} = \sum_{\nu} \nu z_{\nu}$
Participation number: $P = 1/\sum_{\nu} z_{\nu}^2 \longrightarrow \text{number of strongly excited modes}$
Compactness index: $\zeta = \frac{P^2}{m_2} \longrightarrow \text{K adjacent sites equally excited:} \quad \zeta = 12$
 $\zeta = 3$

Results for single site excitations (weak chaos and self trapping)

$$\psi_l = \delta_{l,l_0} \quad \epsilon_{l_0} = 0$$

Flach,Krimer,Skokos (2009) Skokos,Krimer,Komineas,Flach (2009)









Sequence of dynamical regimes at fixed strength of disorder



Dynamics at moderate and strong disorder strengths

 $\beta n = 0.04$ $\beta n = 0.1$ $\beta n = 0.5$ $\beta n = 0.08$ $\beta n = 0.22$ $\beta n = 2.5$ Second Moment, $\langle \log_{10} m_2 \rangle$ Second Moment, $\langle \log_{10} m_2 \rangle$ $\beta n = 0.18$ $\beta n = 0.36$ $\beta n = 9.0$ $\beta n = 0.24$ $\beta n = 0.54$ $\beta n = 30.0$ $\beta n = 0.72$ $\beta n = 1.08$ 3 $\beta n = 1.2$ $\beta n = 1.44$ $\beta n = 3.6$ $\beta n = 3.6$ $\beta n = 4.8$ $\beta n = 5.4$ $\beta n = 7.2$ $\beta n = 10.8$ $\beta n = 8.4$ $\beta n = 14.4$ 2 W=4, L=20, n=1 W=6, L=10, n=1 W=15, L=10, n=1 Averaging over 1000 realizations 1.8 $\beta n = 1.2$ $\beta n = 0.1$ $\beta n = 5.0$ $\beta n = 1.0$ Second Moment, $\langle \log_{10} m_2 \rangle$ Second Moment, $\langle \log_{10} m_2 \rangle$ $\beta n = 20.0$ $\beta n = 5.0$ $\beta n = 25.0$.6 $\beta n = 100.0$ 1.4 1.2 Figs. from J. Bodyfelt W=40, L=10, n=1 W=25, L=10, n=1

Question: do we find a stop or slowing down of the spreading?



The amplitude of exterior mode grows as: $|\phi_{\mu}|^2 \sim eta^2 n^3 (\mathcal{P}(eta n))^2 t$

The momentary diffusion rate of packet equals the inverse time the exterior mode needs to heat up to the packet level: $D=1/T\sim\beta^2n^2(\mathcal{P}(\beta n))^2$

with the probability:
$$\mathcal{P}(\beta n) \approx 1 - e^{-\beta n/d} = \begin{cases} 1, & \beta n/d > 1 \text{ (strong chaos)} \\ \beta n/d, & \beta n/d < 1 \text{ (weak chaos)} \end{cases}$$

The diffusion equation $m_2 \sim Dt$ yields $m_2 \sim 1/n^2 \sim \beta(1-e^{-\beta n/d})t^{1/2}$

$$m_2 \sim \begin{cases} \beta t^{1/2}, & \beta n/d > 1 \text{ (strong chaos)} \\ d^{-2/3} \beta^{4/3} t^{1/3}, & \beta n/d < 1 \text{ (weak chaos)} \end{cases}$$
 Flach (2010)

strong chaos is a transient — a crossover to the asymptotic law of weak chaos at larger t

0

Generalization to larger dimensions D and different nonlinearity powers σ :

$$i\dot{\psi}_{l} = \epsilon_{l}\psi_{l} - \beta|\psi_{l}|^{\sigma}\psi_{l} - \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in D(l)}\psi_{\boldsymbol{m}} \qquad \qquad m_{2}\sim(\beta^{2}t)^{\frac{2}{2+\sigma\boldsymbol{D}}}, \text{ strong chaos} \\ m_{2}\sim(\beta^{4}t)^{\frac{1}{1+\sigma\boldsymbol{D}}}, \text{ weak chaos} \end{cases}$$

Previous considerations correspond to $\sigma=2$ (cubic nonlinearity) and D=1

Numerical evaluation for KG, D=1, W=4, single site excitations



For $\sigma < 1$ we enter to the region of strong chaos without dephasing



Full nonlinear system versus linear inside and linear outside (KG)

Inset: energy distributions at final time

Fröhlich Spencer Wayne Model (KG)

3,5

3,0

2,5

2,0

1,5

1,0

<log₁₀(P)>, <log₁₀(m₂)>



Left figure: average $\langle \log(m_2) \rangle$ and $\langle \log(P) \rangle$ vs. time for C=1, L=21, ϵ =0.05. Inset: the average compactness index vs. time. Dashed lines: $t^{1/3}$, $t^{1/4}$.

Right figure: derivatives d<log(m2)>/dlog(t) vs. time.

Averaging over 1000 realizations

Conclusions

- In simulations when we observe spreading, it is always subdiffusive we do not see any crossover to the localization at later times
- for DNLS and KG we found similar subdiffusive spreading behavior which is due to a finite number of resonant chaotic modes
- spreading is universal due to nonintegrability
- strong nonlinearity: partial localization due to selftrapping but part of wavepacket spreads
- weak nonlinearity: Anderson localization on finite times: After that – detrapping, and wavepacket delocalizes
- intermediate nonlinearity: wavepacket delocalizes without transients

• S. Flach, D.O.Krimer, Ch. Skokos, PRL 102 (2009) 024101

• Ch. Skokos, D.O.Krimer, S. Komineas, S. Flach, PRE 79 (2009) 056211

• D.O.Krimer, R.Khomeriki, S. Flach, PRE 80 (2009) 036201

• Ch. Skokos, S. Flach, PRE 82, 016208 (2010)

• S. Flach, Chem. Phys. in print, arXiv 1005.4820 (2010)

• T.Laptyeva, J.D.Bodyfelt, D.O.Krimer, Ch.Skokos, S. Flach, EPL 91, 30001 (2010)

• D.O. Krimer, S. Flach, , arXiv 1001.2673 (2010)