Defects on Nematic Shells

Epifanio G. Virga

SMMM Soft Matter Mathematical Modelling Department of Mathematics University of Pavia, Italy

Summary

Nematic Shells Mathematical Model Levi-Civita Parallel Transport Surface Nematic Elasticity Valence Control

Slide 3

 $\begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\ell} & \text{molecular director} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathscr{S}} & \text{closed orientable surface} \\ \boldsymbol{\nu} & \text{outer unit normal} \\ \boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \equiv 0 \end{array}$

planar degenerate anchoring

This picture is perhaps too naive: a degenerate planar anchoring di-

luted in a thin layer is a more realistic microscopic picture.

Nematic Shells

A *thin* film of nematic liquid crystal deposited on a *colloidal* particle is a *nematic shell*, which is treated here as a *two-dimensional* order texture.

1

tetravalent tennis balls

Slide 2 *Defects* in the order texture are potential *hot spots* where ligands may adhere bridging one particle to another in a *metamaterial* structure. NELSON (2002)



(b)

$order \ tensor$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Q} &:= \left\langle \boldsymbol{\ell} \otimes \boldsymbol{\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P} \right\rangle \\ \mathbf{P} &:= \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu} \\ \left\langle \cdot \right\rangle \quad \text{ensemble average} \end{split}$$

 \mathbf{Q} is a fully biaxial tensor

and freely gliding on it.

Slide 4

 $\mathbf{Q} = \lambda (\boldsymbol{n} \otimes \boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{n}_{\perp} \otimes \boldsymbol{n}_{\perp}) \qquad \boldsymbol{n}_{\perp} := \boldsymbol{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{n}$

Defects occur whenever $\lambda = 0$ and so $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$

order bounds

 $0 \le \lambda \le \frac{1}{2}$

q-representation

$$\mathbf{Q} = q_1(e \otimes e - e_\perp \otimes e_\perp) + q_2(e \otimes e_\perp + e_\perp \otimes e)$$

$$e, e_\perp \quad \text{tangent unit vector fields}$$

$$e_\perp := \nu \times e \quad e \cdot \nu \equiv 0$$

$$n = \cos \varphi e + \sin \varphi e_\perp$$

$$n_\perp = -\sin \varphi e + \cos \varphi e_\perp$$

 $\cos 2\varphi = \frac{q_1}{\sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}}$ $\sin 2\varphi = \frac{q_2}{\sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}}$

principal curvatures

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{s}}\boldsymbol{\nu} = \sigma_{1}\boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1} + \sigma_{2}\boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}$$
$$\boldsymbol{H} := \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{1} + \sigma_{2}) \qquad \text{mean curvature}$$
$$\boldsymbol{K} := \sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} \qquad \text{Gaussian curvature}$$

intrinsic distortion

Slide 7 Transporting a tangent unit vector **u**

$$oldsymbol{u}' = oldsymbol{\Omega}_{\parallel} imes oldsymbol{u}$$

$$\Delta \vartheta_{\mathscr C} = \int_{\mathscr S_{\mathscr C}} K da$$

 surface on $\mathscr S$ enclosed by $\mathscr C$

Levi-Civita Parallel Transport

For *planar* director fields, the *topological charge* of a defect is directly related to the *winding number*.

For **non-flat** fields, an intrinsic distortion is due to the curvature of the underling surface.

Along a curve \mathscr{C} on \mathscr{S}

Slide 6

Slide 5

 $oldsymbol{
u}' = \Omega_{\parallel} imes oldsymbol{
u}$ $oldsymbol{\Omega}_{\parallel} = oldsymbol{
u} imes (
abla_{s}oldsymbol{
u})t$ $oldsymbol{
u}$ $oldsymbol{
u}$ oldsy

$$egin{aligned} & m{n}' = m{\Omega} imes m{n} \ & m{\Omega} = m{\Omega}_{
u} m{
u} + m{\Omega}_{\parallel} \ & m{\Omega}_{m{
u}} := m{n}' \cdot m{n}_{\perp} & m{n}_{\perp} := m{
u} imes m{n} \end{aligned}$$

For the field *n* Slide 8

$$2\pi \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \oint_{\mathscr{C}} \boldsymbol{n}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\perp} ds + \int_{\mathscr{S}_{\mathscr{C}}} K da \qquad \forall \mathscr{C}$$

 $2m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *n* and -n give the same **Q**

3

$$2\pi m_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \oint_{\mathscr{C}} \boldsymbol{n}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\perp} ds + \int_{\mathscr{S}_{\mathscr{C}}} K da$$

For another tangent unit vector field \boldsymbol{e}

$$2\pi \boldsymbol{m_e} = \oint_{\mathscr{C}} \boldsymbol{e}' \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{\perp} ds + \int_{\mathscr{S}_{\mathscr{C}}} K da$$

In the q-representation

Slide 9

$$\mathbf{Q} = q_1(\boldsymbol{e} \otimes \boldsymbol{e} - \boldsymbol{e}_\perp \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_\perp) + q_2(\boldsymbol{e} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_\perp + \boldsymbol{e}_\perp \otimes \boldsymbol{e})$$

$$m_{n} = m_{e} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathscr{C}} \frac{q_{1}q_{2}' - q_{1}'q_{2}}{q_{1}^{2} + q_{2}^{2}} ds$$
$$m_{n} = m_{e} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\mathscr{C}} \varphi' ds$$

$$\begin{split} \chi(\mathscr{S}) &= F - E + V \\ \textbf{\textit{F}} & \text{number of faces} \\ \textbf{\textit{E}} & \text{number of edges} & \text{of } \textbf{\textit{any}} \text{ tessellation of } \mathscr{S} \\ \textbf{\textit{V}} & \text{number of vertices} \\ & \textbf{\textit{g}}(\mathscr{S}) & \text{number of } \textbf{\textit{handles}} \\ \hline \hline \begin{array}{c} \mathscr{S} & \textbf{\textit{g}} & \chi \\ \hline \textbf{\textit{sphere}} & 0 & 2 \\ \hline \textbf{\textit{torus}} & 1 & 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \textbf{\textit{Poincaré Theorem}} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i = \chi(\mathscr{S}) \end{split}$$

It is easily shown that the topological charge is *additive* in the contour enclosure.

total charge

If ${\mathscr S}$ splits into the union of N patches, each containing a defect of n

 $2\pi m_i = \oint_{\mathscr{C}_i} \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\perp} ds + \int_{\mathscr{S}_i} K da \qquad \mathscr{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathscr{S}_i$

Slide 10

$$2\pi \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i = \int_{\mathscr{S}} K da$$

$$\int_{\mathscr{S}} K da = 2\pi \chi(\mathscr{S}) = 2(1 - g(\mathscr{S}))$$

Surface Nematic Elasticity

The measure of surface *order distortion* is $\nabla_{s} \mathbf{Q}$

Slide 11

Slide 12

$$\begin{split} \iota_i &:= Q_{ij;k} Q_{ij;k} = |\nabla_s \mathbf{Q}|^2 \\ \iota_2 &:= Q_{ij;k} Q_{ik;j} \\ \iota_3 &:= Q_{ij;j} Q_{ik;k} = (\operatorname{div}_s \mathbf{Q})^2 \\ ; & \text{surface derivative} \\ & \operatorname{null-Langrangian} \ ? \end{split}$$

$$\int_{\mathscr{S}} (\iota_2 - \iota_3) da = -\int_{\mathscr{S}} K(\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}^2) da$$

elastic free-energy density

$$f_e := c_1 \iota_1 + c_2 \iota_2 + c_{24} (\iota_2 - \iota_3)$$

= $\frac{1}{2} (k_1 + k_3) Q_{ij;k} Q_{ij;k} + \frac{1}{2} (k_1 - k_3) Q_{ij;k} Q_{ik;j} - \frac{1}{2} k_{24} K \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}^2$
 $k_1 = c_1 + c_2 \qquad k_3 = c_1 - c_2 \qquad k_{24} = c_{24}$

Slide 13

Slide 15

one-constant approximation

$$k_1 = k_3 = \frac{1}{2}k$$
$$f_e = \frac{1}{2} \left(k |\nabla_s \mathbf{Q}|^2 - k_{24} K(\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}^2) \right)$$
$$-1 \le \frac{k_{24}}{k} \le 1$$
internal potential

$$\begin{split} f_p(\mathbf{Q}) &= \frac{A}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}^2 + \frac{C}{4} (\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}^2)^2 \\ A &= A_0 \frac{T - T_c}{T_c} \qquad A_0 > 0 \\ T \qquad \text{temperature} \\ T_c \qquad \text{critical temperature} \end{split}$$

condensation order

$$\lambda_c = \sqrt{\frac{A_0}{2C} \left(\frac{T_{\rm c} - T}{T_{\rm c}}\right)} \qquad T < T_{\rm c}$$

nematic coherence length

Slide 16

reduced temperature

 $\boldsymbol{\xi_0} := \sqrt{\frac{k}{A_0}}$

$$t := \frac{T - T_{\rm c}}{T_{\rm c}}$$

free-energy functional

$$\mathscr{F} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathscr{S}} \left\{ k |\nabla_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{Q}|^2 + (A - k_{24}K)(\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}^2) + \frac{C}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}^2)^2 \right\} da$$

$Ericksen's \ inequalities$



Valence Control

Both k_{24} and K appear capable of controlling both number and location of defects





Defects migrate towards the region the largest K, to the point of possibly *merging*.

This confirms simulations of BATES, SKAČEJ & ZANNONI (2010), which however did not show defect merging.



 $R = 20\xi_0 \qquad k_{24} = 0 \qquad t = -0.03$





For K > 0 a *negative* k_{24} enhances the migration of defects towards the regions with the largest K.





Soft Matter Mathematical Modelling
Department of Mathematics

University of Pavia, Italy

http://smmm.unipv.it



Slide 22

Slide 21