



2244-10

Summer School on Particle Physics

6 - 17 June 2011

Precision QED and new physics SPECIAL LECTURE

William MARCIANO Brookhaven National Laboratory USA

Precision QED and "New Physics" including (The Proton Size Puzzle)

Based in part on: "Lepton Moments" Editors B. Lee Roberts & W. Marciano

> William J. Marciano Lecture #1 ICTP Trieste, Italy June, 2011



<u>Outline</u>

1.) *Historical Introduction*

- i) Wolfgang Pauli (Exclusion Principle)
- ii) Spin (1925)
- iii) The Dirac Equation (1928) $g_e=2$

2.) Post WWII Developments (1947-48)

- i) Electron Anomalous Magnetic Moment g_e-2
- ii) Lamb Shift: Hydrogen 2S_{1/2}-2P_{1/2}
- iii) The Muon: "Who ordered that?" m_µ≈ 207m_e
- 3.) More Recent Developments
 - i) g_e-2
 - ii) Lamb Shift?
 - iii) g_{μ} -2 (Supersymmetry? Something Else?)

4.) Muonic Hydrogen Lamb Shift

<u>**The Proton Size Puzzle**</u> ($r_p(ep) vs r_p(\mu p)$ atom) Somethings gotta give: 5-8 sigma difference

5.) New Physics Scenarios

 r_p (5-8 σ) & g_μ -2 (3.6 σ) Discrepancies

Can the same "New Physics" Explain Both?

6.) New "Light" Vector or Scalar Boson?

Viewer Discretion Advised

7.) Conclusion & Outlook

1.) Historical Introduction

In a 1924 letter to Lande, W. Pauli presented his now famous

"Pauli Exclusion Principle"

Atomic Spectroscopy of the Bohr Atom, electrons classified by quantum no.: n, l, m & t=<u>twofoldness</u> *No two electrons can have identical quantum numbers!*

Fundamental Property of Nature → Chemistry, Neutron Stars, Baryon Spectroscopy (quark color)...

But, what was "twofoldness?

Wolfgang Pauli

Pauli Portraits

6/1/11 11:41 AM

Wolfgang Pauli



ii) *Electron Spin (1925)*

In 1925, Kronig (unpublished) and independently Uhlrenbeck and Goudsmit interpret "twofoldness" as Electron spin $S=\pm\frac{1}{2}$. Wavefunction antisymmetric under Interchange of identical electrons.

Pauli: "A clever idea but nothing to do with nature!"

Eventually spin established (Thomas relativistic factor of 2) Electron magnetic moment $\mu_e = g_e e/2m_e S$ $g_e = gyromagnetic ratio = 2$

Ironic: Pauli 2x2 *spin* matrices (Non-relativistic)

iii) <u>The Dirac Equation</u> (1928) g_e=2

"The Dirac equation like youth is often wasted on the young"

The Stage in 1928

Non Relativistic <u>Schroedinger Eq.</u> First Order Relativistic Klein-Gordon Scalar Eq. (spin 0) Second Order Spin 1/2 - Pauli 2x2 Matrices (non-relativistic spin)

The Genius of Dirac

QM+Special Rel.+Spin+Gauge Invariance First Order Equation
i(∂_μ - ieA_μ(x))γ^μψ(x) = m_eψ(x),
4x4 γ^μ (Dirac) matrices: γ^μγ^ν + γ^νγ^μ = 2g^{μν}I Mag. Moment: μ=g_ee/2m_es g_e=<u>2</u> Not 1! As Observed Experimentally <u>Automatic Unexpected Success of Dirac Eq.</u>

Dirac Derivation of g_e=2 (1928 & "QM" Book)
 (Advanced Exercise for students)
Go to second order formalism (apply i(∂_μ - ieA_μ(x))γ^μ twice
 and find terms in Klein-Gordon Eq.
 μ•H + iρ₁μ•E (edm?) μ=2e/2m_es
Imaginary Part? - Non Physical→ Ignore?
By the 4th edition of "QM" he got rid of it
(What is an edm and what is a chiral phase?)

Later realized Negative Energy Solutions! (Dirac Equation Largely Ignored) W. Pauli was a primary antagonist

Dirac predicts positron, antiproton, antihydrogen... Antimatter Discovery Dirac's crowning glory! Doubled Particle Spectrum! Why is the Universe Matter-Antimatter Asymmetric?

Baryogenesis! Leptogenesis! (1964-CP Violation Discovered-CKM Not Enough) <u>"New Physics" Source of CP Violation Needed!</u> Supersymmetry, 4th Generation, Multi-Higgs...

Pauli opposed the Dirac Equation (Neg Energy Sol.) Later became so converted that he opposed proton Mag. Moment exp. "It must be $g_p e/2m_p s g_p = 2!$ " *Experiment* $g_p = 5.59$

2.) Post WWII Developments (1947-48)

<u>1947</u> Small Anomalous Atomic Fine Structure Effects G. Breit: maybe $a_e = (g_e - 2)/2 \neq 0$ <u>1948</u> Schwinger Calculates: $a_e = \alpha/2\pi \approx 0.00116$ ($\alpha = e^2/4\pi = 1/137$) Agreed with measurement of Kusch & Foley! Great Success of QED -Quantum Field Theory Exercise: Calculate 1loop QED Correction $a_e = (g_e - 2)/2 = \alpha/2\pi$

<u>1947</u> <u>Lamb</u> measures the 2P_{1/2}-2S_{1/2} splitting vacuum polarization, electron self-interaction *a_e* and Lamb shift start of QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)

<u>1947</u> Muon established $m_{\mu} \approx 207 m_{e}$ "Who ordered that?" Later τ_{μ} =2.2x10⁻⁶sec <u>very long</u>

Anomalous Magnetic Moment Contributions



Clockwise:

Julian Schwinger, Polykarp Kusch, Paul Dirac, Norman Ramsey and Edward Purcell

Courtesy AIP Emilio Segrè Visual Archives (full credits overleaf)











Mount Auburn Cemetery



3.) <u>More Recent Developments</u> *i.)* Current Status of a_e=(g_e-2)/2

a_e(exp)=0.00115965218073(28)

g_e(**exp**)=**2.00231930436146(56**) *13 significant figures!*

(Hanneke, Fogwell, Gabrielse: PRL 2008)

factor of 15 improvement over Univ. Wash. Result!

 $a_e(SM) = \alpha/2\pi - 0.328478444003(\alpha/\pi)^2$

+1.1812340168 $(\alpha/\pi)^3$

 $-1.9144(35)(\alpha/\pi)^4 + 0.0(4.6)(\alpha/\pi)^5...$

+1.68x10⁻¹²(had) +0.03x10⁻¹²(EW)

$\alpha^{-1}(a_e) = 137.035999084(51)$ Best Determination

 α^{-1} =137.035999450(620) Rydberg 2nd best a_e(Ryd)=0.00115965217760(520) Theory & Exp. Agree!

"New Physics" Constraint

 $|a_e(\text{new physics})| = |a_e^{exp}-a_e^{Ryd}| < 10^{-11}$ $a_e(\text{heavy new physics}) = C(m_e/\Lambda)^2 \quad C \le O(1)$ $\Lambda > 160 \text{GeV or } \Lambda > 8 \text{GeV for } C = \alpha/\pi$ <u>Not Very Constraining</u>

Electroweak C $\approx \alpha/\pi$, $\Lambda = m_W = 80.4 \text{GeV} \rightarrow 3 \times 10^{-14}$

Better for light New Physics eg Dark Photon (Light Vector Boson < 200MeV, couples through γ-γ_d Mixing: α_d<10⁻⁶α)

ii) Hydrogen Lamb Shift Update?

Depends on proton structure (size) r_p (radius) *How large is the proton (rms) radius?* About a Fermi (fm) =10⁻¹³cm $< r_p^2 > = \lim_{Q2 \rightarrow 0} -6 dF(Q^2)/dQ^2$ em form factor

CODATA: $r_p \approx 0.8768(69) fm$ (ep atom) hydrogen spectrum(2008)(Main sensitivity - Lamb Shift)Depends on Rydberg Constant $R_{\infty} = 1.0973731568527(73) \times 10^7 m^{-1}$ known to 13 significant figures!

 $R_{\infty} \cong \alpha^2 m_e c/2h$ "One of the Two most accurately measured fundamental physical constants" <u>What is better known</u>?

3.ii) Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment

<u>**1957</u>** Garwin, Lederman & Weinrich study $\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu$, $\mu \rightarrow e \nu \nu$ found parity violation & measured $g_{\mu}=2.00\pm0.10$ Parity Violation Decay \rightarrow Self Analyzing Polarimeter led to Three Classic CERN Exps. ending in 1977 "The Last g_{μ} -2 Experiment"</u>

Until Experimental E821 at BNL (2004 Final)

•
$$a_{\mu}^{exp} \equiv (g_{\mu}-2)/2 = 116592089(54)_{stat}(33)_{sys} \times 10^{-12}$$

=<u>116592089(63)x10</u>-11 Factor of 14 improvement over CERN results

(Proposed Future Factor 4 Improvement at FNAL)

D. Hertzog, B.L. Roberts...

BNL Muon *g***-2 Experiment**



a, is proportional to the difference between the spin precession and the rotation rate



$$\Delta \omega = \omega_a = \left(\frac{g-2}{2}\right) \frac{eB}{mc}$$



 $N(t) = N_0 e^{-t/\tau} \left[1 + A\cos(\omega_a t + \phi)\right]$

Standard Model Prediction

$$a_{\mu}^{SM} = a_{\mu}^{QED} + a_{\mu}^{EW} + a_{\mu}^{Hadronic}$$

QED Contributions:

• $a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}}=0.5(\alpha/\pi)+0.765857410(27)(\alpha/\pi)^{2}+$ 24.05050964(43)(α/π)³+ <u>130.8055(80)</u>(α/π)⁴+ 663(20)(α/π)⁵+... (5 loop Estimate)

 α^{-1} =137.035999084(54) From a_e

a_u^{QED}=<u>116584718.1(2)x10</u>⁻¹¹ Very Precise!



Figure 2: One-loop electroweak radiative corrections to a_{μ} .





Electroweak Loop Effects

- a_µ^{EW}(1 loop)=<u>194.8x10</u>-11 goal of E821
- 2 loop EW corrections are large <u>-21%</u>
- $a_{\mu}^{EW}(2 \text{ loop}) = -40.7(1.0)(1.8) \times 10^{-11}$
- 3 loop EW leading logs very small O(10⁻¹²)
- a_u^{EW}=<u>154(2)x10</u>⁻¹¹ Non Controversial

Hadronic Contributions

Vacuum polarization via dispersion relation

e⁺e⁻ \rightarrow hadrons or $\tau \rightarrow$ hadrons+ ν_{τ} (isospin)



Figure 1: Representative diagrams contributing to a_{μ}^{SM} . From left to right: first order QED (Schwinger term), lowest-order weak, lowestorder hadronic.



a_µSM=<u>116591802(49)</u>x10⁻¹¹

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{3 \ loop} = a_{\mu}^{\ Had}(V.P.)^{NLO} + a_{\mu}^{\ Had}(LBL) \\ a_{\mu}^{\ Had}(V.P.)^{NLO} = -98(1)x10^{-11} \\ a_{\mu}^{\ Had}(LBL) = 105(26)x10^{-11} \ (Consensus?) \\ Prades, \ de \ Rafael, \ Vainshtein \\ a_{\mu}^{\ Had} = 6930(40)(7)(26)x10^{-11} \approx 46xa_{\mu}^{\ EW} \end{array}$

From e⁺e⁻ \rightarrow hadrons data + dispersion relation $a_{\mu}^{Had}(V.P.)^{LO} = \underline{6923(40)(7)} \times 10^{-11}$ (Hoecker update 2010) **Comparison of Experiment and Theory**

(Most Recent)

• $\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = 287(63)(49) \times 10^{-11} (3.6 \sigma!)$

This is a very large deviation! Remember, the EW contribution is only 154x10⁻¹¹

New Physics Nearly 2x Electroweak?

Why don't we see it in other measurements?

<u>3.2 "New Physics" Effects</u> _SUSY 1 loop a_μ Corrections (Most Likely Scenario)



- SUSY Loops are like EW, but depend on:
- 2 spin 1/2 χ (charginos)
- 4 spin 1/2 χ^0 (neutralinos) including dark matter!
- spin 0 sneutrinos and sleptons with mixing

• Enhancement $\tan\beta = \langle \phi_2 \rangle / \langle \phi_1 \rangle \sim 3-40!$

Interpretations

 $\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = 287(80) \times 10^{-11} (3.6\sigma!)$

Generic 1 loop SUSY Conribution: a_{μ}^{SUSY} = (sgnµ)130x10⁻¹¹(100GeV/m_{susy})²tanβ tanβ≈3-40, m_{susy}≈100-500GeV

Other Explanations: *Hadronic e+e⁻ Data? HLBL(3loop)?*

Multi-Higgs Models

Extra Dimensions<2TeV

* <u>Dark Photons</u> ~10-200MeV, α'=10⁻⁸

Light Higgs Like Scalar <10MeV?

"The deviation in a_{μ} could be to <u>Supersymmetry</u> what the anomalous precession of the perihelion of Mercury was for <u>General Relativity</u>"

J. Marburger

Former U.S. Presidential Science Advisor (Former BNL Director)

If SUSY is responsible for g_{μ} -2 <u>Happy Days</u> <u>Implications</u>: sgn μ >0 (dark matter searches easier) **SUSY at LHC very likely** edms, μ ->e γ , ... Good Bets

Low Mass New Physics & g-2

Dark Photon m_A of g-2 interpretation easy to find at JLAB or Mainz (Bremsstrahlung) e+X→e+X+V_d (V_d→ e⁺e⁻)

Would Revolutionize Physics Contact with Dark Matter!

Very Light Higgs ≤ 10MeV could account for discrepancy <u>Who Ordered That?</u>

4.) Muonic Hydrogen Lamb Shift

In an effort to precisely determine r_p New PSI µp atomic Lamb shift experiment $\Delta E(2P_{3/2}-2S_{1/2})=209.9779(49)-5.2262r_{p}^{2}+0.0347r_{p}^{3} \text{ meV}$ R. Pohl, A. Antognini et al. Nature July 2010 Very Elegant! Stop μ^{-} in Hydrogen, About 1% populate 2S (1 μ sec) Excite resonance with laser to $2P \rightarrow 1S$ μp atomic Lamb Shift <u>very</u> sensitive to r_p $(m_{\mu}/m_{e})^{3} = 8 \times 10^{6}$ enhancement Proton Finite Size \approx -2% 20ppm experiment 12years in the making (1998-2010) $\Delta E(2P_{3/2}-2S_{1/2})^{exp} = 206.2949 \pm 0.0032 meV$

r_p=<u>0.84184(67)fm</u> (μp atom)

10x More Precise & 5 sigma below ep value!

 $r_p \approx 0.8768(69) fm$ (ep atom) <u>Confirmation from ep scattering</u> $r_p \approx 0.879(8) fm$ (Recent Mainz) $r_p \approx 0.875(10) fm$ (Recent JLAB) <u>Current Electron Average: $r_p = 0.8772(46) fm$ </u> <u>8 sigma below µp atom!</u> Atomic ep Theory? <u>Rydberg Constant(</u>R_∞) (Off by 5σ?) R_∞ known to 13 significant figures! =1.0973731568527(73)x10⁷m⁻¹ "One of the Two most accurately measured fundamental physical constants".

> Could R_∞ really be wrong? also What about ep scattering? Wrong! Perhaps the most likely solution

<u>µp atomic theory or experiment wrong?</u>

Proton Polarizability? QED Corrections ($\gamma\gamma$)? μ p Experiment? (seems solid) Follow up Experimental & Theoretical Work appear to <u>confirm</u> original results! **Can all three** r_p **determinations be correct?** 2 out of 3 correct?...

5. New Physics Scenarios

<u>New Physics Effect?</u> (seems unlikely, but...) Interesting/Provocative Too big to be <u>short-distance</u> phenomenon eg SUSY, Heavy Z'... <u>More likely light new vector or scalar boson</u> (1-100MeV weak coupling $\alpha'=10^{-6}\alpha$) Long Distance Physics! 6.) New "Light" Vector or Scalar Boson? Light Vector Boson with coupling e' $e'^2/4\pi = \alpha' < <\alpha = 1/137$

New Vector Boson Interaction Shifts Atomic Spectrum in a way that mimics a proton charge radius (Based on calculation by A. Czarnecki) $\Delta r_p/r_p \sim -2\alpha'/\alpha^3(1+m_V/\alpha m_\mu)^2$ Experiment $\rightarrow \Delta r_p/r_p \sim -4\%$ example $\alpha'=2.5 \times 10^{-6} \alpha$, $m_V \leq 1 MeV$ works (Heavier m_V requires larger coupling)

Can it be the "Dark Photon"?

Light gauge boson from Dark Matter Sector that mixes with the photon (small coupling)

"New Physics" & The g_u-2 Discrepancy $a_u = (g_u - 2)/2$ $\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = 287(63)(49) \times 10^{-11} (3.6\sigma!)$ What about light vector boson with $m_v < m_{\mu}$? $\Delta a_{\mu} \sim \alpha'/2\pi$ like Schwinger term $=2.9 \times 10^{-9} \rightarrow \alpha' \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6} \alpha$ Dark Photon = natural solution to g_{μ} -2 Discrepancy So, a light vector boson with mass O(1MeV) and $\alpha' \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6} \alpha$ coupling for μp and $\mu \mu$ solves both proton size puzzle & g_{μ} -2 Can it be the Dark Photon?

<u>No</u>! O(1MeV) dark photon would also reduce r_p in ep atom (Observation of Czarnecki & Pospelov) and should have led to g_e -2 discrepancy $\Delta a_e = |a_e^{exp} - a_e^{SM}| < 10^{-11}!$

Possible Solution: violate e-µ **Universality**

Egs Gauge B- $3L_{\mu}$ or B- $3/2(L_{\mu}+L_{\tau})...$ (Lee & Ma) Anomaly Free, couples to baryons, <u>not electrons</u>

So, a light $m_V \sim MeV \& \alpha' \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6} \alpha$ alleviates both r_p and a_μ problems if it doesn't couple (directly) to electrons!

What about neutrino physics? ($v_{\mu} vs v_{e}$)

From Bjorken, Essig, Schuster and Toro Dark Photon (2009)



FIG. 1: Left: Existing constraints on an A'. Shown are constraints from electron and muon anomalous magnetic moment measurements, a_e and a_{μ} , the BaBar search for $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$, three beam dump experiments, E137, E141, and E774, and supernova cooling (SN). These constraints are discussed further in Section III. Bight: Existing constraints are shown in

<u>Neutrino Oscillations in Matter (v_{μ} vs v_{e})</u>

New Vector Interaction Different for $v_{\mu} \& v_{e}$ Eg, if we gauge B-3L_{μ} with α '~2.5x10⁻⁶ α ?

Implies new matter effect on the v_{μ} index of refraction Of O(10⁴G_FN_B(1MeV/m_V)²) <u>Very Large</u>! m_{V} =1MeV Ruled Out! Quenches Oscillations! <u>By a factor > 10,000!!</u>

Very hard to simultaneously solve r_p , a_μ and ν_μ matter osc Neutrino Matter Effects are a great probe of long distance physics Oscillation Interferometry

Batell, McKeen & Pospelov: Gauge only μ_R (not neutrinos or e_R) (creative but has other issues)

<u>A Really Light Higgs Scalar O(1-10MeV)?</u>

Normally 1 loop Higgs (>114GeV) Contribution to g_{μ} -2 is negligible **But what if a Higgs is really light?** Kinoshita & WJM review long Ago $\Rightarrow \Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} \approx +3x10^{-9}!$ Could also explain r_{p} differences! Something to think about

Runs into problems with neutron-Nuclei scattering

Barger, Chiang, Keung & Marfatia Tucker-Smith & Yavin *Light Higgs Phenomenology*

7. Conclusion & Outlook

3 r_p determinations: ep atom, ep scattering, μp atom something likely wrong but which one(s)? Rydberg Constant Vulnerable *What if it shifts by 5 sigma? but ep remains a problem?*

Then $m_v \sim MeV \& \alpha' \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6} \alpha$ solves both $r_p \& a_\mu$ discrepencies. Other constraints? a_e ? ...

Precision QED remains interesting & timely

Stay Tuned For Future Developments