



2253-11

#### Workshop on Synergies between Field Theory and Exact Computational Methods in Strongly Correlated Quantum Matter

24 - 29 July 2011

General, realistic, and interesting models of correlated quantum systems

L. Balents Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics University of California Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA U.S.A.

### General, realistic, and interesting models of correlated quantum systems Leon Balents, KITP, UCSB

Synergies between Field Theory and Exact Computational Methods in Strongly Correlated Quantum Matter, Trieste, July 2011

### Collaborators



Lucile Savary UCSB/ENS Lyon



SungBin Lee UCSB



Shigeki Onoda RIKEN



Gang Chen U. Colorado





Kate Ross Bruce Gaulin McMaster





### Theory institute

# Models!

 Def for this talk: "model" = a Hamiltonian significantly simpler than realistic electrons +atoms

# Models!

- Models are wonderful:
  - Glorious history: Ising model, Bethe chain, O(n) model...
  - Enable our primitive classical brains to understand something
  - Less degrees of freedom means more chance of successful simulation
  - Not shackled by the constraints of the real world

# Just playing around







# How to choose?

- Some criteria
  - universal
  - realistic
  - interesting



# How to choose?

- Some criteria
  - universal
  - realistic
  - interesting
- Better: addresses a significant scientific problem

# Problems

- QCPs in itinerant fermi systems
  - Learning to simulate these is an important goal for numerics
  - c.f. F.Assaad's talk this afternoon

# Problems

- QCPs in itinerant fermi systems
- Quantum spin liquids (QSLs)
- Mott insulators with strong spin-orbit coupling

# Quantum Spin Liquid

- A system of interacting local moments with a non-magnetic ground state breaking no symmetries
- A ground state exhibiting an emergent gauge structure that supports exotic excitations with fractional quantum numbers and/or non-local emergent statistical interactions

# Theoretical phenomenology

• Resonating valence bonds: singlet pairs



- "long range entanglement"
- Effective field theories of many such states can be constructed from slave particle methods, and constitute a large family of lattice gauge theories



- The number of distinct Quantum Spin Liquid (QSL) phases is huge
  - e.g. X.G.Wen has classified *hundreds* of different QSL states all with the same symmetry on the square lattice (and this is *not* a complete list!)
  - In principle we should have lots of states to compare with experiment
  - most of these states are "understood" at least as far as their qualitative low temperature thermodynamics

# d>I QSL materials



κ-(BEDTTTF)<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub> EtMe<sub>3</sub>Sb[Pd(dmit)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>











herbertsmithite

Na<sub>4</sub>Ir<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>

volborthite

vesignieite



 $Ba_2 YMoO_6$ 



# QSL Models

- Proof of principle examples
  - dimers (Moessner/Sondhi, ...)
  - XXZ (BFG, Melko et al, ...)
  - bosons (Motrunich/Senthil, ...)

# QSL Models

- More realistic
  - Heisenberg antiferromagnet
    - popular theories for kagome lattice: predicts gapped Z<sub>2</sub> or gapless Dirac "algebraic spin liquid" state
  - Hubbard/ring exchange models
    - popular theory associates spinon Fermi surface state with semi-itinerant regime near the Mott transition on the triangular lattice





# Status

- None of the theoretical QSLs is a compelling match to experiment, e.g:
  - little connection to intermediate to high energy physics of experiment
  - problems with aspects of low energy response
  - recent experiments on strong insulator Ba<sub>3</sub>CuSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> suggest behavior previously linked to itinerancy may not be

# Status

- Role for numerics+field theory:
  - Relevant models of QSLs and/or QSL candidate materials
  - Quantitative comparisons to experiment to sharpen the questions

# d>I QSL materials



 $\kappa$ -(BEDTTTF)<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub> EtMe<sub>3</sub>Sb[Pd(dmit)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>



Ba<sub>3</sub>CuSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>







herbertsmithite

volborthite

vesignieite



Do we really understand the models and materials?

# Model Issues

- Unknowns:
  - spatial anisotropy
  - 3d coupling
  - Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya
  - itinerancy
  - disorder
- Dialog between theory and experiment is necessary to sharpen the comparison

# Connecting to experiment

- Apart from obviously trying to search for and study QSL ground states, one can try to make other very useful comparisons
  - Magnetization process
  - Neutron scattering (here we are mostly lacking experimental data)

# Triangular



Rich intermediate field features put strong constraints on anisotropy, DM

# Kagome





Commonly to two kagomes:

• Plateau or a vicinal slope at ~ $0.4M_s$  above  $M_s/3$ 

• Small plateau fields

Probably not due to spatial anisotropy but ...

Intrinsic for the S-1/2 KAFM?

#### Z. Hiroi

### H<70T

# Problems

- QCPs in itinerant fermi systems
- Quantum spin liquids (QSLs)
- Mott insulators with strong spin-orbit coupling













# Strong SOC

- Strong SOC may sometimes encourage quantum spin liquid behavior by
  - inducing strong multipolar interactions that enhance quantum fluctuations
  - creating specific Ising-like couplings that are extremely frustrated
- Even more novel spin-orbit coupled states are possible in the intermediate correlation regime, near the Mott transition



# d>I QSL materials



κ-(BEDTTTF)<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub> EtMe<sub>3</sub>Sb[Pd(dmit)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>











herbertsmithite

volborthite









# Rock salt double perovskites



fcc lattice



Material	B'	Θςw
Ba <sub>2</sub> YMoO <sub>6</sub>	Mo <sup>5+</sup> (4d <sup>1</sup> )	-90K to -219K
La <sub>2</sub> LiMoO <sub>6</sub>	Mo <sup>5+</sup> (4d <sup>1</sup> )	-45K
Sr2MgReO6	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-426K
Sr <sub>2</sub> CaReO <sub>6</sub>	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-443K
Ba <sub>2</sub> CaReO <sub>6</sub>	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-40K
Ba <sub>2</sub> LiOsO <sub>6</sub>	Os <sup>7+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-40K
Ba2NaOsO6	Os <sup>7+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-10K to -32K

 $A_2BB'O_6$ 

Cussen et al (2006) de Vries et al (2010) Aharen et al (2010) Wiebe et al (2003) Wiebe et al (2002) famamura et al (2006) Stitzer et al (2002) Erickson et al (2007)

# Rock salt double perovskites

 $A_2BB'O_6$ 



fcc lattice



Material	B'	Θс₩
Ba <sub>2</sub> YMoO <sub>6</sub>	Mo <sup>5+</sup> (4d <sup>1</sup> )	-90K to -219K
La <sub>2</sub> LiMoO <sub>6</sub>	Mo <sup>5+</sup> (4d <sup>1</sup> )	-45K
Sr2MgReO6	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-426K
$Sr_2CaReO_6$	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-443K
Ba <sub>2</sub> CaReO <sub>6</sub>	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-40K
Ba <sub>2</sub> LiOsO <sub>6</sub>	Os <sup>7+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-40K
Ba2NaOsO6	Os <sup>7+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-10K to -32K

λ~I00meV

λ~400meV

# Rock salt double perovskites

A<sub>2</sub>BB'O<sub>6</sub>



fcc lattice



Material	B'	Θcw
Ba <sub>2</sub> YMoO <sub>6</sub>	Mo <sup>5</sup> ⁺ (4d <sup>1</sup> )	-90K to -219K
La2LiMoO6	Mo <sup>5+</sup> (4d <sup>1</sup> )	-45K
Sr2MgReO6	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-426K
Sr <sub>2</sub> CaReO <sub>6</sub>	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-443K
Ba <sub>2</sub> CaReO <sub>6</sub>	Re <sup>6+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-40K
Ba <sub>2</sub> LiOsO <sub>6</sub>	Os <sup>7+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-40K
Ba2NaOsO6	Os <sup>7+</sup> (5d <sup>1</sup> )	-10K to -32K

no order down to 2K

λ~400meV

# Single ion physics

ΥZ

t<sub>2g</sub> orbitals

= effective I=1 orbital angular momentum



xz xy

$$P_{t_{2g}}\boldsymbol{L}_{\ell=2}P_{t_{2g}} = -\boldsymbol{L}_{\ell=1}$$



# Quantum fluctuations

• Usually s>1 spins are expected to be rather classical - why?

$$\boldsymbol{j}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{j} = j_{i}^{z} j_{j}^{z} + \frac{1}{2} (j_{i}^{+} j_{j}^{-} + j_{i}^{-} j_{j}^{+})$$

• Exchange induces only  $j^z=\pm 1$  transitions

$$\mathbf{m} = -\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{m})$$
Spin wavefu  
peaked a  
classical

nction is round state

# Quantum fluctuations

- Higher order exchange c.f. G. Chen et al, PRB 80,174440 (2010).  $H \sim j_i^+ j_j^- + (j_i^+)^2 (j_j^-)^2 + (j_i^+)^3 (j_j^-)^3 + \cdots$
- Exchange induces  $\Delta j^z = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$  transitions







# Experiments

- Ba<sub>2</sub>YMoO<sub>6</sub> appears the best candidate for a QSL in these materials, but the situation is unclear at present
- Very recent experiments [JP Carlo et al, arXiv:1105.3457] claim to have observed a singlet-triplet gap of 29meV suggesting some sort of singlet "RVB" ground state

# Rare earth pyrochlores



thing

# Local Physics



- 4f electrons are well localized: textbook example of Hund's rules
  - Strong SOC: local J eigenstates split by crystal fields
  - Result: typically the ground state is a doublet
- So there is typically an effective S=1/2 description - with natural local quantization axis

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \text{B. Curnoe, 2008}\\ & \text{S. Onoda, 2010} \end{array}$$

$$H = & J_{zz} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z & \text{classical NN spin ice} \\ & -J_{\pm} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+) \\ & + & J_{z\pm} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} [S_i^z \left(\zeta_{ij} S_j^+ + \zeta_{ij}^* S_j^-\right) + i \leftrightarrow j] & \text{+ quantum fluctuations} \\ & + & J_{\pm\pm} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (\gamma_{ij} S_i^+ S_j^+ + \gamma_{ij}^* S_i^- S_j^-) \\ & = \text{``quantum spin ice''} \\ & + & \text{dipolar} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \text{B. Curnoe, 2008}\\ & \text{S. Onoda, 2010} \end{array}$$

$$H = & J_{zz} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z & \text{classical NN spin ice} \\ & -J_{\pm} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+) \\ & + & J_{z\pm} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} [S_i^z (\zeta_{ij} S_j^+ + \zeta_{ij}^* S_j^-) + i \leftrightarrow j] & \text{+ quantum} \\ & + & J_{\pm\pm} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (\gamma_{ij} S_i^+ S_j^+ + \gamma_{ij}^* S_i^- S_j^-) \\ & = \text{``quantum spin ice''} \end{aligned}$$





 $Yb_2Ti_2O_7$ 

### pyrochlore lattice

### K.A. Ross et al (2009)



- Spin waves appear absent in low field, but emerge for B>0.5T
  - a low field spin liquid state?

# Fractionalization

- Generally, a neutron excites *two* monopoles/spinons in the QSL
  - This results in two-particle continuum scattering, rather than sharp magnons or triplons



c.f. diffuse scattering in Yb<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>?



# Spin interactions

 Complete phenomenological Hamiltonian extracted from INS with B=5T





K. Ross, L. Savary, B. Gaulin, and LB

### Fluctuations

• Comparison with mean field theory fails badly at low field







#### Hermele et al, 2004

# Excitations

- Where spin ice realizes "emergent magnetostatics", the QSL is "emergent compact quantum electrodynamics"
  - coherent propagating monopoles = "spinons"
  - dual (electric) monopoles
  - artificial photon





m

# Phase Diagram (gauge MFT)





# Phase Diagram



# Phase Diagram



- Interesting to place other pyrochlores on this phase diagram
- And to check it by numerics!

# Intermediate Correlation

• Many 5d transition metal compounds are close to Mott transitions, where U~t~ $\lambda$ 

Ln2Ir2O7 Sr2IrO4 Na2IrO3



# From TBI to MI

- How does a topological insulator evolve into a Mott insulator with increasing correlations?
  - Might a QSL still be favored for intermediate correlations?
  - If so, it could share some of the character of the topological insulator
- One such state is a "topological Mott insulator" [Pesin + Balents, (2010)]

# **Topological Mott** Insulator



 $c_{ia}^{\dagger} \sim b_i^{\dagger} f_{ia}$  neutral fermionic "spinon" inherits band topology



gapless surface states are neutral: an insulating surface with metal-like spin transport



