



2253-17

Workshop on Synergies between Field Theory and Exact Computational Methods in Strongly Correlated Quantum Matter

24 - 29 July 2011

"Bose-metal" type phases in models with ring exchanges

O. Motrunich California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA U.S.A.

"Bose-metal" type phases in models with ring exchanges

Olexei Motrunich (Caltech)

Collaborators: Matthew Fisher, Donna Sheng, Ryan Mishmash, Matt Block, Ribhu Kaul, Tiamhock Tay

Outline

- 1) "Bose-metals" and "Spin Bose-metals"
 - Motivation and slave particle construction; parton-gauge theory
- 2) Candidate models with ring exchanges
- 3) Frustrated hard-core boson J-K model on the square lattice and 'd-wave Bose-metal"
- 4) Ring-only case and connection with "Exciton Bose Liquid"
 - Solvable gauge theory with flat Fermi surfaces of partons
 - Lessons (e.g., failure of the Gutzwiller wave function)
- 5) Realization of Exciton Bose Liquid in a $K_1 K_2$ hard-core boson model
- 6) Conclusions

Introduction and Motivation

Bose-metal:

 Quantum phase of bosons that is *neither* superfluid nor insulating; gapless, conducting (but not condensed),
 "metallic"phase of bosons

Spin Bose-metal: (next talk by Donna Sheng)

- Spin system <-> hard-core bosons, Bose-metal of these
- Any gapless spin liquid phase can be viewed as a B-metal

Motivation:

- Spin Bose-metals -- gapless spin liquid materials
- Generic Bose-metals -- new phases of bosons
- Building blocks towards understanding itinerant non-Fermi liquids of electrons (talk by Matthew Fisher)

A route to Bose-metals: "parton" approach

Simplest parton construction for bosons at generic density:

(OIM and M.P.A. Fisher 07; M.Levin and T.Senthil 08)

$$b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) = d_1^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})d_2^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})$$

Constraint:

$$d_1^{\dagger}d_1 = d_2^{\dagger}d_2 = b^{\dagger}b$$

Mean field and Gutzwiller-projected wave function: treat d_1 and d_2 independently, then 'glue'

 $\Psi_{\text{boson}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \Psi_{d1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \times \Psi_{d2}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)$ $= det_1[\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N] \times det_2[\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N]$

U(1) Bose-metal

Determinantal structure of the Gutzwiller-projected spinon Fermi sea (Spin Bose-Metal) wavefunction

Spins -> hard-core bosons and "parton" construction:



 $\Psi_{\text{boson}}(\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N) = \frac{det_1}{[\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N]} \times \frac{det_2}{[\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N]}$



Candidate phase: 'd-wave Bose-Liquid''(DBL)



Boson wavefunction $\Psi_{bos} = det_1 \times det_2$ - respects lattice symm.

Examples of parton Fermi surfaces







D-wave Bose-Liquid (DBL)



Extremal DLBL

"d-wave" refers to the nodal structure in the wavefunction in real space:



Trial wave function study

$$\hat{H} = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_i^{\dagger} b_j + K \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} + h.c.)$$

"Variational Phase diagram"



Beyond mean field: parton-gauge theory U(1) gauge theory Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{split} H_{\mathrm{U}(1)} &= h \sum_{\mathbf{r},\mu} e_{\mathbf{r}\mu}^2 - \kappa \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \cos(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{a})_{\mathbf{r}} \\ &- \sum_{\mathbf{r},\mu} \left[t_{1\mu} e^{i a_{\mathbf{r}\mu}} d_{1\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} d_{1,\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mu}} + \mathrm{H.c.} \right] \\ &- \sum_{\mathbf{r},\mu} \left[t_{2\mu} e^{-i a_{\mathbf{r}\mu}} d_{2\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} d_{2,\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mu}} + \mathrm{H.c.} \right] , \end{split}$$
$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e})_{\mathbf{r}} &= d_{1\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} d_{1\mathbf{r}} - d_{2\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} d_{2\mathbf{r}} \end{split}$$

Strong coupling $h >> \kappa, t_{1,2}$ -- recover constraint $n(\mathbf{r}) = n_1(\mathbf{r}) = n_2(\mathbf{r})$ and generate hopping + ring Hamiltonian for $b(\mathbf{r}) = d_1(\mathbf{r})d_2(\mathbf{r})$ -> $H_{U(1)}$ and H_{J-K} contain qualitatively same physics

Analytical / numerical challenges

Gauge theory: difficult to treat; *is the U(1) Bose-metal a stable 2d phase?*

Direct study in 2d: very difficult because of the sign problem; *is the DBL realized in the frustrated J-K model?* (the Bose-metals are likely strongly entangled)

One approach: fadders to rescue" (Donna's and Matthew's talks)

- 2-leg descendant phase DBL[2,1] (D. Sheng et. al. '08)
- 4-leg descendant phase DBL[4,2] (R. Mishmash, M. Block, D. Sheng, et. al. '11)





Rest of this talk: ring-only model and connection with Exciton Bose Liquid"



- The parton-gauge theory for the extremal DLBL can be solved by bosonization and leads to EBL-like theory
- EBL can be realized in hard-core boson models

Rest of the talk: unfrustrated ring model



$$b(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{r})b(\mathbf{r})$$

- can change the sign of K_1 on all 1x1 plackets

$$\hat{H} = -K_1 \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} + h.c.)$$

Parton-gauge approach to unfrustrated H_{ring}

Generic unfrustrated ring Hamiltonian (non-negative K_m):

$$H_{\text{ring}} = -\sum_{\mathbf{r},m,n} [K_{mn} b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} \ b_{\mathbf{r}+m\hat{x}} \ b_{\mathbf{r}+m\hat{x}+n\hat{y}}^{\dagger} \ b_{\mathbf{r}+n\hat{y}} + \text{H.c.}],$$

Slave particle approach using bosonic partons: $b(\mathbf{r})=b_1(\mathbf{r})b_2(\mathbf{r})$ Constraint: $n(\mathbf{r})=n_1(\mathbf{r})=n_2(\mathbf{r})$

Mean field: (OIM and M.P.A. Fisher, '07) **b**₁ move only in the x-dir **b**₂ move only in the y-dir





Beyond mean field: parton-gauge theory U(1) gauge theory Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{split} H_{\mathrm{U}(1)} &= h \sum_{\mathbf{r},\mu} e_{\mathbf{r}\mu}^2 - \kappa \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \cos(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{a})_{\mathbf{r}} \\ &- t \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[e^{ia_{\mathbf{r}x}} b_{1\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{1,\mathbf{r}+\hat{x}} + \mathrm{H.c.} \right] \\ &- t \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[e^{-ia_{\mathbf{r}y}} b_{2\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{2,\mathbf{r}+\hat{y}} + \mathrm{H.c.} \right] , \\ (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e})_{\mathbf{r}} &= b_{1\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{1\mathbf{r}} - b_{2\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{2\mathbf{r}} \end{split}$$

Strong coupling limit h>> κ ,t: recover constraint $n(\mathbf{r})=n_1(\mathbf{r})=n_2(\mathbf{r})$ and generate ring Hamiltonian for $b(\mathbf{r})=b_1(\mathbf{r})b_2(\mathbf{r})$ -> $H_{U(1)}$ and H_{ring} contain qualitatively same physics Beyond mean field: bosonized continuum theory

$$\mathcal{L}[\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2, a_x, a_y] = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\kappa}{2} (\nabla_x a_y - \nabla_y a_x)^2 + \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{J}{2} (\nabla_x \varphi_1 - a_x)^2 + \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla_x \theta_1}{\pi} \right)^2 + \frac{i}{\pi} \partial_\tau \varphi_1 \nabla_x \theta_1 \right] + \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{J}{2} (\nabla_y \varphi_2 + a_y)^2 + \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla_y \theta_2}{\pi} \right)^2 + \frac{i}{\pi} \partial_\tau \varphi_2 \nabla_y \theta_2 \right] . + \text{ constraint } n_1 = \frac{\nabla_x \theta_1}{\pi} = n_2 = \frac{\nabla_y \theta_2}{\pi} = n = \frac{\nabla_x^2 \vartheta}{\pi}$$

Solve $\theta_1 = \nabla_y \vartheta$, $\theta_2 = \nabla_x \vartheta$ and integrate out fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EBL}}[\vartheta] = \sum \left[\frac{u}{\pi^2} (\nabla_{xy}^2 \vartheta)^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 J} (\partial_\tau \nabla \vartheta)^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \kappa} (\partial_\tau \vartheta)^2 \right]$$

(less important)

-Dual EBL theory of Paramekanti et al!

- Partons are strongly coupled; the ultimate description is the EBL $\omega_{
m EBL}({f k})\sim |k_xk_y|$

- NOT a sliding or cross-sliding Luttinger liquid, e.g. non-FL specific heat C ~ T log(1/T)

Exciton Bose Liquid (EBL) precis $\hat{H}_{ring} = -K \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} + h.c.)$ $\mathbf{K} = -K \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} + h.c.)$ $\mathbf{K} = -K \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} + h.c.)$ $\mathbf{K} = -K \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} + h.c.)$

Exciton Bose Liquid (EBL) theory (Paramekanti, Balents, and Fisher, '02)

$$\hat{H}_{\text{rotor}} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (n_{\mathbf{r}} - \bar{n})^2 - K \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \cos(\phi_{\mathbf{r}} - \phi_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} + \phi_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} - \phi_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}})$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{"spinwaves"}} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (\delta n_{\mathbf{r}})^2 + \frac{K}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (\varphi_{\mathbf{r}} - \varphi_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} + \varphi_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} - \varphi_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}})^2$$
$$= \frac{U}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\delta n_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + \frac{K}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |4\sin(k_x/2)\sin(k_y/2)|^2 |\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}|^2$$

EBL theory: Bose Surfaces

$$\hat{H}_{\text{"spinwaves"}} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\delta n_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + \frac{K}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |4\sin(k_x/2)\sin(k_y/2)|^2 |\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}|^2$$
$$\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{KU} |4\sin(k_x/2)\sin(k_y/2)|$$

-- vanishes on lines ($k_x = 0, k_y$) and ($k_x, k_y = 0$) -- Bose surfaces!



S. Sachdev, 'Scratching Bose Surface''(Nature News and Views, '02) <u>Stability of EBL</u> - Does the EBL spin wave theory neglecting compactness of angles (= discreteness of boson numbers) correspond to *a stable phase*?

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{spinwave}}[\varphi] = \sum \begin{bmatrix} \frac{K}{2} (\nabla_{xy}^2 \varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2U} (\partial_\tau \varphi)^2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{array}{l} \text{nonlocal topo.} \\ \text{defects in } \varphi \end{bmatrix}$$

Paramekanti et al. - YES! - by studying dual formulation

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{dual}}[\vartheta] &= \sum \left[\frac{U}{2\pi^2} (\nabla_{xy}^2 \vartheta)^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 K} (\partial_\tau \vartheta)^2 \right] + \text{local cosines in } \vartheta \\ \delta n &= \frac{\nabla_{xy}^2 \vartheta}{\pi} \end{split}$$

EBL is stable for sufficiently large K/U: K/U > 9/64 = 0.140625 at $\rho = 1/2$ (Paramekanti, Balents, and Fisher '02) K/U > 1/16 = 0.0625 at generic $\rho < 1/2$ (Xu and Moore '05, Xu and Fisher '07)

Some technical remarks about parton-gauge approach and EBL theory

1) Compactness of the parton phase variables -> cosines in the dual θ variables, e.g.

 $\begin{aligned} -\mathfrak{u}_j \cos[2\theta_1(x,y) + 2\theta_1(x,y+j) + 4\pi\bar{n}x - 2\pi\bar{n}] & -\mathrm{unklapp} \\ -\mathfrak{h}_j \cos[2\theta_1(x,y) - 2\theta_1(x,y+j)] & -\mathrm{non-unklapp} \end{aligned}$

-- can become relevant and destabilize the EBL fixed point

2) Compactness of the gauge field variables -> cosines in the dual υ variable

 $-\mathfrak{v}_q \cos[q(2\vartheta + 2\pi\bar{n}XY)]$

(Paramekanti, Balents, and Fisher '02)

-- rendered absent for incommensurate particles density and irrelevant at the EBL fixed point

-- when the EBL fixed point is unstable, these terms are ultimately important to determine the resulting phases

Theory for the parton-Gutzwiller wavefunction

$$\mathcal{L}[\varphi_{1},\theta_{1},\varphi_{2},\theta_{2},\swarrow,\swarrow] = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\pi}{2} (\nabla_{x} \varphi_{1} - \nabla_{y} \varphi_{2})^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{J}{2} (\nabla_{x} \varphi_{1} - \swarrow)^{2} + \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla_{x} \theta_{1}}{\pi} \right)^{2} + \frac{i}{\pi} \partial_{\tau} \varphi_{1} \nabla_{x} \theta_{1} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{J}{2} (\nabla_{y} \varphi_{2} + \swarrow)^{2} + \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla_{y} \theta_{2}}{\pi} \right)^{2} + \frac{i}{\pi} \partial_{\tau} \varphi_{2} \nabla_{y} \theta_{2} \right].$$

$$+ \text{ constraint } \quad n_{1} = \frac{\nabla_{x} \theta_{1}}{\pi} = n_{2} = \frac{\nabla_{y} \theta_{2}}{\pi}$$

Solve $\theta_1 = \nabla_y \vartheta$, $\theta_2 = \nabla_x \vartheta$ and integrate out fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Gutzw}}[\vartheta] = \sum \left[\frac{u}{\pi^2} (\nabla_{xy}^2 \vartheta)^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 J} (\partial_\tau \nabla \vartheta)^2 \right]$$

 $\omega(\mathbf{k}) \sim |k_x k_y|/|\mathbf{k}|$ - qualitatively different from the long-wavelength EBL theory! - similar to cross-sliding Luttinger liquid, e.g., specific heat C ~ T

Failure of the Gutzwiller wavefunction Dispersion and specific heat:

 $\omega_{\text{EBL}}(\mathbf{k}) \sim |k_x k_y| \qquad \qquad \omega_{\text{Gutzw}}(\mathbf{k}) \sim |k_x k_y| / |\mathbf{k}|$ $C_{\text{EBL}}(T) \sim T \log(1/T) \qquad \qquad C_{\text{Gutzw}}(T) \sim T$

Density structure factor: $S(\mathbf{k}) = \langle n_{\mathbf{k}} n_{-\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ $S(k_x \to 0, k_y) = \alpha(k_y) |k_x|$ $\alpha_{\text{EBL}}(k_y) \sim |k_y|$ $\alpha_{\text{Gutzw}}(k_y) \sim \text{const} > 0$ Exciton propagator: $E_m^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) = b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})b(\mathbf{r} + m\hat{\mathbf{y}})$ $\langle E_m^{\dagger}(0, 0)E_m(x, 0) \rangle \sim |x|^{-\eta(m)}$ $\eta_{\text{EBL}}(m) \sim \log(m)$ $\eta_{\text{Gutzw}}(m) \sim \text{const}$

The Gutzwiller wavefunction fails to provide qualitative improvement over the mean field! (Tay and OIM, '11)

Realizing EBL in a hard-core boson model Simplest model - no EBL

$$\hat{H}_{\text{ring}} = -K \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}} + h.c.)$$

A. Sandvik et al '02; Melko et al '04; Rousseau et al '04, '05

At half-filling, $\rho=1/2$: found to give CDW



Pure ring model has CDW order by kinetic stabilization": **CDW is a very 'hoppable"state**

Away from half-filling: quickly phase-separates

Next-simplest ring-only model: K₁-K₂ model

$$P_{\mathbf{r}}^{mn} = b_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} \ b_{\mathbf{r}+m\mathbf{\hat{x}}} \ b_{\mathbf{r}+m\mathbf{\hat{x}}+n\mathbf{\hat{y}}}^{\dagger} \ b_{\mathbf{r}+n\mathbf{\hat{y}}} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\hat{H} = -K_1 \sum_{\mathbf{r}} P_{\mathbf{r}}^{11} - K_2 \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left(P_{\mathbf{r}}^{12} + P_{\mathbf{r}}^{21} \right)$$



K₁-K₂ model: competing ring exchanges!

Tiamhock Tay and OIM, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205107 (2011)



CDW liked by K_1 : all 1x1 are hoppable, but disliked by K_2 : 2x1 and 1x2 are not hoppable

<u>Initial idea:</u> suppress CDW and stabilize EBL (also, more extended rings can suppress phase separation tendencies). <u>Surprise:</u> indeed, the CDW is suppressed very quickly, but before EBL, first find a different phase –Valence Bond Solid!



GFMC study of $K_1 - K_2$ model for 12x12 system

Tiamhock Tay and OIM, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205107 (2011)



Many questions about the phase diagram and comparisons with the EBL theory are still open (box correlators; EBL stiffness; stability)
Challenging phase for QMC because of the gaplessness
Hard to understand the columnar VBS out of the EBL theory

Summary

- Introduced slave-particle construction of Bose-Metals and partongauge theory description
- Described 2d square lattice J-K model as a candidate for realizing dwave Bose-metal
- Special Bose-metal in ring-only case -> Exciton Bose Liquid
- Some lessons for the parton-gauge theories:
 - Simpler non-gauge theory description is possible
 - Gutzwiller wavefunction does not capture spatial gauge fluctuations
- EBL can be stable in hard-core boson models with extended ring terms
- In the J-K model, the hopping effectively generates further ring terms, so may be helping the Bose-metal phase

