



2258-2

#### Conference on Cold Materials, Hot Nuclei, and Black Holes: Applied Gauge/Gravity Duality

15 - 26 August 2011

Fluid-gravity duality at a cutoff surface

Cynthia Keeler University of Harvard & University of Michigan USA

# Fluid-Gravity Duality at a Cutoff Surface

1006.1902, 1101.2451; with I. Bredberg, V. Lysov, and A. Strominger

# History of Fluid/Gravity Duality: Membrane Paradigm

- Began with prescient thesis of Damour in 1978
- Consider fluctuations of a black hole horizon; these act like a viscous fluid
- Fluid viscosity is computed to be  $\eta = rac{1}{16\pi G}$
- Dividing by entropy density  $s = \frac{1}{4G}$  gives  $\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$

• Always considers fluctuations at the black hole horizon  $r = r_h$  itself; produces Damour-Navier-Stokes equation

# History of Fluid/Gravity Duality: AdS/CFT Method

- Policastro, Son, and Starinets (2001) considered the hydrodynamics of SYM via AdS/CFT
- Again produces  $\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$
- Performed at AdS spatial infinity  $r=\infty$
- Result requires string theory, SUSY gauge theory, and AdS/CFT

• Initially  $\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$  appeared to be a bound on the viscosity to entropy ratio; however higher derivative corrections actually break this bound (e.g. Kats, Petrov, Buchel, Myers, Sinha, Cremonini, Brigante, Liu, Shenker, Yaida, Cai, Nie, Ohta, Sun, Banerjee, Dutta, Paulos, Escobedo, Smolkin, Dasgupta, Mia, Gale, Jeon ...)

### A "Wilsonian" Approach

We want to relate solutions of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation

$$\partial^i v_i = 0, \qquad \partial_\tau v_i - \overline{\eta} \partial^2 v_i + \partial_i P + v^j \partial_j v_i = 0$$

to those of the Einstein equation:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 0_{\pm}$$

We fix a cutoff surface at \$r=r\_c\$, and consider experiments done there.

- induced metric at \$r=r\_c\$ is Ricci flat
- waves are infalling at \$r=r\_h\$
- extrinsic curvature at \$r=r\_c\$ becomes fluid stress tensor



# The Hydrodynamic Limit

Consider a solution of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation  $(v_i, P)$ .

Now, rescale:

$$v_i^{\epsilon}(x^i,\tau) = \epsilon v_i(\epsilon x^i, \epsilon^2 \tau),$$
$$P_i^{\epsilon}(x^i,\tau) = \epsilon^2 P(\epsilon x^i, \epsilon^2 \tau).$$

These new quantities solve

$$\partial_{\tau} v_i^{\epsilon} - \widehat{\eta} \partial^2 v_i^{\epsilon} + \partial_i P^{\epsilon} + v^{\epsilon j} \partial_j v_i^{\epsilon} = 0.$$

which is again just the N-S equation.

To produce the hydrodynamic limit, we take  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This procedure will remove any corrections to N-S, as well as inducing incompressibility.

### The Nonlinear Metric in the Hydrodynamic Limit

We consider the metric

$$ds_{p+2}^2 = -rd\tau^2 + 2d\tau dr + dx_i dx^i$$
  

$$-2\left(1 - \frac{r}{r_c}\right)v_i dx^i d\tau - 2\frac{v_i}{r_c}dx^i dr$$
  

$$+\left(1 - \frac{r}{r_c}\right)\left[(v^2 + 2P)d\tau^2 + \frac{v_i v_j}{r_c}dx^i dx^j\right] + \left(\frac{v^2}{r_c} + \frac{2P}{r_c}\right)d\tau dr$$
  

$$-\frac{(r^2 - r_c^2)}{r_c}\partial^2 v_i dx^i d\tau + \dots$$

with  $v_i \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ ,  $P \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ ,  $\partial_i \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ ,  $\partial_\tau \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ .

Induced metric at cutoff is flat, and there are no singularities at r=0, so this satisfies our boundary conditions.

Does this solve the Einstein vacuum equations?

### Satisfying the Einstein Constraints

The metric above satisfies the constraint equations through  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$  iff

$$\partial^i v_i = 0$$

The constraint equation at  $\epsilon^3$  becomes

$$\partial_{\tau} v_i - \overline{\eta} \partial^2 v_i + \partial_i P + v^j \partial_j v_i = 0$$

with the specific value  $\overline{\eta} = r_{c}$  for the viscosity.

We must also show that the solution can be evolved consistently towards the horizon. Direct computation shows

$$G_{ra}, G_{ab}, G_{rr} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$$

and these components are nonsingular for finite r.

### Towards a Near-Horizon Limit: Rescaling in $\lambda$

- hydrodynamic limit is related to a near-horizon limit
- Begin by rescaling coordinates:

$$x^{i} = \frac{r_{c}\hat{x}^{i}}{\epsilon}, \quad \tau = \frac{r_{c}\hat{\tau}}{\epsilon^{2}}, \quad r = r_{c}\hat{r}$$

- Additionally rescale metric overall:  $d\hat{s}_{p+2}^2 = \frac{\epsilon^2}{r_c^2} ds_{p+2}^2$ .
- Define  $\lambda \equiv \frac{\epsilon^2}{r_c}$

Now we obtain:

$$d\hat{s}_{p+2}^{2} = -\frac{\hat{r}}{\lambda}d\hat{\tau}^{2} \\ + \left[2d\hat{\tau}d\hat{r} + d\hat{x}_{i}d\hat{x}^{i} - 2(1-\hat{r})\hat{v}_{i}d\hat{x}^{i}d\hat{\tau} + (1-\hat{r})(\hat{v}^{2} + 2\hat{P})d\hat{\tau}^{2}\right] \\ + \lambda\left[(1-\hat{r})\hat{v}_{i}\hat{v}_{j}d\hat{x}^{i}d\hat{x}^{j} - 2\hat{v}_{i}d\hat{x}^{i}d\hat{r} + (\hat{v}^{2} + 2\hat{P})d\hat{\tau}d\hat{r} + (1-\hat{r}^{2})\hat{\partial}^{2}\hat{v}_{i}d\hat{x}^{i}d\hat{\tau}\right] + \dots$$

Only  $\lambda$  is left!

### The Near-Horizon Expansion

- Consider Rindler wedge with boundary at r=1 (rescaled as  $r = \tilde{r}_c \hat{r}, \tau = \frac{\hat{\tau}}{\tilde{r}_c}$ ):  $ds_{p+2}^2 = -\frac{\hat{r}}{\tilde{r}_c} d\hat{\tau}^2 + 2d\hat{\tau} d\hat{r} + dx_i dx^i.$
- For small v, we found the linearized solution in 1006.1902
- Extending to a nonlinear generalization we find:

$$\begin{split} d\hat{s}_{p+2}^2 &= -\frac{\hat{r}}{\tilde{r}_c} d\hat{\tau}^2 \\ &+ \left[ 2d\hat{\tau} d\hat{r} + dx_i dx^i - 2(1-\hat{r})v_i dx^i d\hat{\tau} + (1-\hat{r})(v^2 + 2\hat{P})d\hat{\tau}^2 \right] \\ &+ \tilde{r}_c \left[ (1-\hat{r})v_i v_j dx^i dx^j - 2v_i dx^i d\hat{r} + (v^2 + 2\hat{P})d\hat{\tau} d\hat{r} + (1-\hat{r}^2)\partial^2 v_i dx^i d\hat{\tau} - 2(1-\hat{r})\hat{q}_i(\hat{\tau},\hat{r},x) dx^i d\hat{\tau} \right] \end{split}$$

#### plus higher order corrections.

-

Relabel  $v \to \hat{v}, x^i \to \hat{x}^i$  and  $\tilde{r}_c \to \lambda$  and we recover the  $\lambda$ -dependent metric!

# Highlights of Cutoff Approach

- does not require AdS (or any other asymptotics)
- in long wavelength limit, works at any radius  $r_{c^{+}}$
- Equivalently one can take a near horizon limit  $r_c \rightarrow 0$
- physical quantities such as diffusion constant have a local definition on  $r_{c^+}$
- spacetime is algebraically special

# Petrov Type

• Spacetimes are algebraically special, or of higher Petrov type, when principal null vectors coincide. This corresponds to certain null projections of Weyl tensor vanishing, e.g. for Petrov type II: there exists a real null vector  $k^{\mu}$  which satisfies

$$W_{\mu\nu\rho[\sigma}k_{\lambda]}k^{\nu}k^{\rho} = 0.$$

• In 1101.2451, we showed that 4d fluid-dual spacetimes are Petrov type II through 14th order.

• Lysov, Strominger 1104.5502 examined the imposition of Petrov type I as a condition on the spacetime instead of infalling conditions at a horizon

## Schwarzschild and K=constant cutoff boundary conditions

• To produce fluid solutions in a true Schwarzschild geometry, Bredberg+Strominger (1106.3084) considered a new set of boundary conditions (also see appendix of 1104.5502).

• set induced metric to be only conformally flat:

$$ds_{p+1}^2 = e^{2\rho} \eta_{ab} dx^a dx^b = e^{2\rho} (-(dx^0)^2 + dx_i dx^i)$$

• instead fix extrinsic curvature:

$$K = e^{-2\rho} \eta^{ab} K_{ab} = \frac{1}{2\lambda}$$

continue imposing infalling conditions at horizon

And you find the remaining degrees of freedom satisfy Navier-Stokes on a sphere!

# Linearized Results

 linearized limit (with uniqueness) done in 1006.1902; nonlinear embedding in 1101.2451

- Radial evolution of  $S = \beta E + \beta \mathcal{P} V_p - \beta \mu Q$  is just a component of Einstein equation

• Diffusion constant must decrease with increasing radius assuming null energy condition:

$$\partial_{r_c} \bar{D}_c \le 0$$

where we define the diffusion constant by

$$i\omega_c = \bar{D}_c k_c^2.$$

• Forcing solutions...

# Forcing the fluid

### Consider the metric

$$-rd\tau^{2} + 2d\tau dr + dx_{i}dx^{i}$$

$$-\left[2(1 - r/r_{c})v_{i}dx^{i}d\tau + (1 - r/r_{c})\left(\partial_{j}v_{i} + \partial_{i}v_{j}\right)dx^{i}dx^{j} - 2\left(r - \frac{r^{2}}{2r_{c}} - r_{c}/2\right)\partial^{2}v_{i}dx^{i}d\tau\right]$$

$$-\delta(\tau - \tau_{*})\left[\left(4(1 - r/r_{c})F_{i} + \frac{2}{r_{c}}\alpha_{i}\right)dx^{i}d\tau - \frac{2}{r_{c}}\beta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}\right] + \dots\right]$$

where  $\beta_{ij}$  and  $\alpha_i$  are functions of only x and satisfy

$$\partial^j \partial_j \alpha_i = F_i, \qquad \beta_{ij} = \partial_i \alpha_j + \partial_j \alpha_i.$$

### We find

$$\partial_{\tau}v^{i} - \tilde{\eta}\partial^{2}v^{i} = F^{i}(x)\delta(\tau - \tau_{*}).$$

so the velocity field is zero for  $\tau < \tau_*$ and jumps to  $F_i(x^i)$  at  $\tau = \tau_*$ .



# Conclusions and etc.

• We can embed any solution of Navier-Stokes as data on a flat hypersurface in a solution of Einstein equations

• The solutions of Einstein equations combined with the boundary conditions we impose correspond one-to-one with solutions of incompressible Navier-Stokes

• Our near-horizon limit provides a precise mathematical sense in which horizons are incompressible fluids

• This approach is asymptotics-agnostic

Future possibilities:

- Uniqueness, existence of both GR and NS solutions
- Understanding gravity geometry of specific solutions (e.g. vortex)
- Forcing at the nonlinear level
- Relationship between NS scalings and spacetime radius scalings