Sliding prevention in super-strong self-collapsed nanotube cables (and graphene nanoscrolls)

Nicola M. Pugno Laboratory of Bio-inspired Nanomechanics "Giuseppe Maria Pugno", Department of Structural Engineering and Geotechnics, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy





Self-collapsed nanotubes in a bundle



Experimental evidence: Motta et al., Advanced Materials, 2007



Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 58 (2010) 1397-1410



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jmps

The design of self-collapsed super-strong nanotube bundles Nicola Maria Pugno^{a,b,c,*}

Graphene nanoscrolls



Graphene, a promising nanomaterial for future electronics, can be rolled up into "nanoscrolls", shown here in cross section in yellow. Simulations reported in the journal Small show these scrolls can be controlled using a tiny voltage to direct the flow of water or even drugs across cell membranes.

Buckling pressure: theory

$$p_C = \frac{3N^{\alpha}D}{R^3}$$

Buckling pressure of long cylindrical shells



Pressure around a cylindrical cavity in a "liquid-like" materal: interaction between the nanotubes

$$p_C = \frac{3N^{\alpha}D}{R^3} - \frac{\gamma}{R}$$

Buckling pressure of nanotubes in a bundle

Buckling pressure: theory vs MD



Theory vs MD (MD by Elliot et al., Physical Review Letters, 2004)

Self-collapse: theory

$$p_c = 0$$

Self-collapse condition

$$R \ge R_C^{(N)} = \sqrt{\frac{3N^{\alpha}D}{\gamma}} = \sqrt{6R_0^{(N)}}$$

$$D = 0.11 \text{nN} \cdot \text{nm}$$
$$\gamma = 0.18 \text{ N/m}$$
$$\alpha \approx 2$$

Self-collapse radius

$$2R_C^{(1)} \approx 2.7$$
nm
 $2R_C^{(2)} \approx 5.4$ nm
 $2R_C^{(3)} \approx 8.1$ nm

Self-collapse: theory vs experiments

Nanotube	Number N	Diameter of the	Collapsed (Y/N)	
number	of walls	internal wall [nm]	Exp. & Theo.	
1	1	4.6	Y	
2	1	4.7	Y	
3	1	4.8	Y	
4	1	5.2	Y	
5	1	5.7	Y	
6	2	4.2	Ν	
7	2	4.6	Ν	
8	2	4.7	Ν	
9	2	6.2	Y	
10	2	6.5	Y	
11	2	6.8	Y	
12	2	6.8	Y	
13	2	7.9	Y	
14	2	8.3	Y	
15	2	8.3	Y	
16	2	8.4	Y	
17	3	14.0	Y	



Sliding failure

$$\mathrm{d}\Phi - F\mathrm{d}u - 2\gamma \left(P_C + P_{vdW}\right)\mathrm{d}z = 0$$

Fracture Mechanics

$$\sigma_c = 2\cos\beta \sqrt{E\gamma \frac{P}{S}}$$

$$\sigma_{C}^{(theo,N)} = 2\sqrt{\frac{E\gamma}{Nt}}$$

$$\sigma_{C}^{(\max)} = \sigma_{C}^{(theo,1)} = 48.5 \text{GPa}$$

$$\sigma_{C}^{(theo,2)} = 34.3 \text{GPa}$$

$$\sigma_{C}^{(theo,3)} = 28.0 \text{GPa}$$

Predicted bundle strength

Maximum strength

Strength increment due to the self-collapse

$$\frac{\sigma_{C}^{(0)}}{\sigma_{C}^{(0)}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi R + P_{vdW}}{2\pi R \left(1 - \frac{1}{R} \sqrt{\frac{N^{\alpha}D}{2\gamma}}\right) + P_{vdW}}}$$

Strength self-collapsed/strength not self-collapsed

$$R \ge R_C^{(N)} = \sqrt{\frac{3N^\alpha D}{\gamma}}$$

Self-collapsed radius



Maximum ratio

Strength increment up to 30%

Other related calculations



Dog-bone configurations



Peapods: fullerens in a nanotube

Critical buckling pressure vs fullerene (linear fractional) content

Graphene nanoscrolls



Work performed in collaboration with the H. Gao' group (LAMMPS MD simulations)

Self-rolling of graphene nanoribbons due to the competition between surface (vdW), initially prevailing, and elastic (bending), finally prevailing, energies: formation of nanoscrolls (SEE MOVIE)

Geometry

$$r = r_0 + \frac{t}{2\pi}\vartheta$$

Geometry

$$B \approx \int_0^{2\pi N} \left(r_0 + \frac{t}{2\pi} \vartheta \right) \mathrm{d}\vartheta = 2\pi r_0 N + \pi t N^2$$

_ength

$$B = \frac{\pi}{t} (R^2 - r_0^2)$$

Constant length: one degree of freedom, e.g. the core radius

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}r_0} = -\frac{N}{R},$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}r_0} = 1 + \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}r_0}t = 1 - \frac{Nt}{R} = \frac{r_0}{R}.$$

Elastic bending energy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W}{\mathrm{d}A}(r) = \frac{D}{2}\frac{1}{r^2}$$

Bending energy per unit area

$$W = \frac{\pi DL}{t} \ln\left(\frac{R}{r_0}\right)$$

Stored bending energy

$$\mathrm{d}W = \frac{\pi DL}{t} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{R} - \frac{\mathrm{d}r_0}{r_0} \right)$$

Change in bending energy

Energy minimization

$$dW = -\frac{\pi DL}{t} \frac{R^2 - r_0^2}{R^2} \frac{dr_0}{r_0}$$

Bending energy

$$d\Gamma = 2\pi\gamma L(dr_0 + dR) = 2\pi\gamma L\left(1 + \frac{r_0}{R}\right)dr_0$$

Surface energy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{tot}}{\mathrm{d}r_0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}W}{\mathrm{d}r_0} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}r_0}$$

Total energy

Equilibrium can be calculated imposing dE=0

Equilibrium core radius

$$\frac{2\gamma t}{D} = \frac{1}{r_0} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(Bt/\pi) + r_0^2}}$$

Equilibrium

	<i>r</i> ₀ (nm)		<i>R</i> (nm)	
Theo/MD comparison	MD	Theo.	MD	Theo.
Zigzag ^{(v)a}	0.32	0.37	1.50	1.65
Armchair ^(v)	0.25	0.36	1.42	1.64
Chiral ^(v)	0.23	0.33	1.56	1.61
Zigzag ^(w)	0.60	0.59	1.59	1.7
Armchair ^(w)	0.61	0.56	1.60	1.68
Chiral ^(w)	0.68	0.79	1.67	1.73
Zigzag ^{(v, halfvdW)b}	0.67	0.63	1.76	1.73

^a(v) means in vacuum and (w) means in water, ^b50% reduction in van der Waals interaction.

Close agreement with MD simulations

Theory vs MD



No best fit

J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 2010, Vol. 7, No. 3

Nano-channels



ellow. Simulations reported in the journal Small show these scrolls can be controlled using a tiny voltage to vater or even drugs across cell membranes.

$$\gamma_{\text{dipole}} = \frac{1}{2\pi L} \frac{d\Phi_{\text{dipole}}}{d(r_0 + R)}$$

 $\gamma_{eff} = \gamma_{vdW} + \gamma_{dipole}$

small 2010, 6, No. 6, 739-744

By applying an electrical field we can tune the effective surface energy and the core radius and thus we can control the fluid (here water) flow

Energy storage: modeling



Int J Fract DOI 10.1007/s10704-010-9545-y

Published online: 12 October 2010

Nano-oscillators: modeling



Lagrange Equation and breathing motion

$$\frac{\pi DL}{h} \left(1 - \frac{r_0^2}{Bh/\pi + r_0^2} \right) \frac{1}{r_0} - 2\pi\gamma L \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{\sqrt{Bh/\pi + r_0^2}} \right) + 2\pi r_0 Lp - \frac{4\pi^2 M}{h^2} (r_0 \dot{r}_0^2 + r_0^2 \ddot{r}_0) - C\dot{r}_0 = 0$$

Natural frequency of the system can be deduced

$$\omega_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi D}{4\rho B^{3}h^{2}}} \left[\frac{\alpha^{3}(\alpha+3)}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} + 2\frac{\gamma}{D}\sqrt{\frac{Bh^{3}}{\pi}} \frac{\alpha^{2}\sqrt{\alpha}}{(1+\alpha)^{3/2}} - 2\frac{Bh^{2}p}{\pi D}\alpha \right]$$

Nano-oscillators: Theory vs MD





APPLIED PHYSICS LETTERS 95, 163113 (2009)

We can open the nanoscroll under resonance! Smart nanovectors.

Nano-motors: the first tentative



But expansion prevails over rolling: we fixed the core radius inserting a CNT...

Nano-motors: modeling



Nanoscroll crystals

Theory and MD (still to be submitted, similar to the previously discussed one for nanotube bundle/crystals)



Gigantic electro-striction



Thanks

I thank:

the European Research Council (ERC Starting Grant 2011 on "Bio-inspired hierarchical super nanomaterials) for support;

the conference organizers for the invitation;

and you for your attention!

My refs: http://staff.polito.it/nicola.pugno/ http://www2.polito.it/ricerca/bionanomech/ nicola.pugno@polito.it

Spider silk and bio-inspired super-tough fibers



PHYSICAL REVIEW E 82, 056103 (2010)

Hierarchical simulations for the design of supertough nanofibers inspired by spider silk

Federico Bosia,^{1,*} Markus J. Buehler,^{2,3,†} and Nicola M. Pugno^{2,3,4,5,‡}

Km-long crack front in geckos



Experiments on several insects, spiders and lizards (from S. N. Gorb, M. Varenberg, N. M. Pugno, Soft Matters, 2010) Peeling line proportional to mass supports our model