



Climate Impacts Modelling for Developing countri Water, Agriculture and Health

05 - 16 September, 2 0 1 1



## Application and Use of Phenology Modeling for Integrated Pest Management

H. Tonnang, M. Sporleder, P. Carhuapoma, J.C. Gonzales, H. Juarez, R. Simon, J. Kroschel, CIP-Agroecology/IPM Group and Research Informatics Unit, Lima, Peru



#### **Outline**

#### Introduction

- Expected impacts of CC to pests
- Observed and modeled effects of CC to pests
- Temperature-based phenology modeling

#### Methodology

Data collection and data analysis using ILCYM software

#### Results

- Model parameterization and validation
- Risk mapping worldwide and for the Andean region under different climate change scenarios

#### Conclusions



## Impacts of CC on Pests

An increase in extreme climate events, changes in moisture conditions, temperature rise, elevated  $CO_2$  concentrations, are expected to magnify pest pressure on agricultural systems through:

- Range expansion of existing pests and invasion by new pests.
- Accelerated pest development leading to more pest cycles per season.
- Disruption of the temporal and geographical synchronization of pests and beneficial insects that increase risk of pest outbreaks.
- Promotion of minor pests to primary pests brought about by reduction in host tolerance and changes in landscape characteristics and land-use practices.
- Increase damage potential from invasive alien species.
- Susceptibility to pests increases in drought stressed plants.

#### **Observed and modeled effects of CC on insect pests**

Pest	Climate Dimension	Cropping System/Region	Reference
INSECTS			
Brown locust (Locustana pardalina)*	Increased outbreaks during ENSO events.	Crop/rangeland, S- Africa	Todd et al. 2002
Leaf miner (Caloptilia sp.)	Range expansion projected.	Coffee, Brazil	Magrin et al. 2007
Southern pink bollworm (Pectinophora gossypiella)	Increased winter tempera- tures predicted to increase range and damage potential.	Cotton, SW-US	Gutierrez et al. 2006
Southern pine beetle (Dendroctonus frontalis)	Increased winter/spring tem- peratures predicted to in- crease range and damage potential.	Forests, S-US	Gan 2004
White fly ( <i>Bemisia tabaci,</i> and <i>B. afer</i> )*	Increased outbreaks during ENSO events.	Tuber crops, Andean region	Shapiro et al. 2007
Potato tuber moth (Phthorimaea operculella)	Temperature increase of 2℃– 3℃ expands distribution by 400–800 km to the north and accelerates damage intensity.	Potato, globally	Sporleder et al. 2007

(Padgham 2009)

## Pest infestation in potato/sweetpotato in the Cañete Valley of Peru before, during and after the El Niño year in 1997.

1 = absent; 2 = slight; 3 = medium; 4 = severe; 5 = extreme infestation. - = no information

Name	Scientific name	Degree	e of infes	station
Potato		1996	1997	1998
Leafminer fly	Liriomyza huidobrensis	4	2	4
Bud midge	Prodiplosis longifila	2	5	3
Broad mite	Polyphagotarsonemus latus	3	4	3
Potato tuber moth	Phthorimaea operculella	2	3	2
Soybean Looper	Pseudoplusia includens	1	3	1
Sweetpotato				
White fly	Bemisia tabaci	2	4	5
Leafhopper	<i>Empoasca</i> spp.	2	3	4
Aphids	Myzus persicae	2	3	3
Red spider mite	Paraponychus incanus	2	3	2
Sweet potato weevil	Euscepes postfasciatus	3	4	4
White grubs	<i>Bothynus</i> spp. / <i>Anomala</i> spp.	3	4	4

(Cisneros and Mujica 1999)

Yields (in t or quintal for cotton) in different crops, Cañete Valley, Peru, before, during and after the El Niño year in 1997 and related yield losses (%) due to increased pest severity

Crop	1996	1997	1998	Yield loss (1996-1998)
Potato	27 (18-35)	12 (1.5-21)	15 (5-20)	55
Sweetpotato	28 (10-40)	16 (2-40)	15 (2-20)	54
Cotton	50 (45-110)	25 (1-60)	35 (10-70)	50
Maize	-	6.5 (5-8)	3.5 (2-4)	46
Citrus	-	60	24 (14-30)	60
Asparagus	8	7	2	70

(Cisneros and Mujica 1999)



## **Our approach**

To support farmers and national programs to cope with CC and alternating pest problems by:

- Understanding the effects of temperature increase on key pests and related beneficial insects in agro-ecosystems by using phenology modeling.
- Developing risk maps for major pests for current and future scenarios according to temperature indicating major future pest hot spots.
- Providing tools for pest risk assessments and adaptation planning.
- Identifying IPM strategies to enhance resilience of vulnerable agrosystems to CC.
- Developing human scientific capacity to work in pest risks assessments and adaptive pest management strategies to cope with new emerging pest problems.
- Increasing awareness of the impact of CC on pests to improve national pest management and quarantine programs.



A model is a tool. It should not be considered as the ultimate objective in ecological studies.

No model is perfect but it can be very useful.

#### **Temperature-based insect phenology modeling**

Analytical tools for predicting, evaluating and understanding the dynamics of insect populations in agroececosystems.

- Phytosanitary pest risk assessments / Climate change
- Integrated Pest Management
  - Classical biocontrol: identification of potential release sites for parasitoids
  - Simulation of field performance of biopesticides and application frequencies

## Insect Life Cycle Modeling (ILCYM)

Software package for developing temperature-based insect phenology models with applications for regional and global pest risk assessments and mapping.

- Collecting life cycle data under constant and fluctuating temperature regimes
- Analyzing the data using ILCYM
  - Fitting functions for describing temperaturedriven development processes under constant temperatures, i.e. development rate, mortality and reproduction ("Model Builder")
  - Validating the model using life table data established under fluctuating temperature
- Generate risks maps using ILCYM in an GIS
   environment
  - Establishment index
  - Generation index
  - Activity index







#### **Use of ILCYM**

- ✓ ILCYM offers advanced modeling techniques for insect populations and tools for analyzing models; users do not need to be experts in the field.
- ✓ ILCYM guides the user interactively through the steps developing a phenology/population model and facilitates spatial pest risk mapping.
- $\checkmark$  User do not need to learn programming languages.
- ✓ However, ILCYM restricts users to certain model designs and might not provide solutions for every problem.



#### **Data collection**





- Oviposition
- Survival time (male and female)



#### Constant temperatures



- Development time
- Mortality



#### Life table data (complete)

La start

📕 Tabla de vid	a (completo) - N	otepad 🔤		L				×		
<u>File E</u> dit F <u>o</u> rmat	⊻iew <u>H</u> elp									
E E E E E E L L L L L L L L	E I E dead I dead I dead I dead I dead I dead I		E E E L L L L	E E E L L L L	E E E L L L L	E E E L L L L	··· ·		Each o	column
	dead i dead i dead i			L L L					indiv	idual
L L P L P P P P P P P P P P dead P dead 13	dead dead dead dead dead dead dead dead	L P P P dead dead dead	dead dead dead dead dead dead dead dead	dead dead dead dead dead dead dead dead	P P P 15 26 17 12	LPPPPMMM		=		
dead 37 dead 18 dead 11 dead 9 dead 6 dead 2 dead dead dead dead	dead dead dead dead dead dead dead dead	dead dead dead dead dead dead dead dead	dead dead dead dead dead dead dead dead	dead dead dead dead dead dead dead dead	9 4 1 1 0 dead	M M M M M dead		<b>~</b>	Each represer evaluation (day	line nt one interval s)

#### Life table data (complete)

🗾 Ta	bla de vida	(incompleto	) - Notepa	d				
<u>F</u> ile <u></u>	<u>E</u> dit F <u>o</u> rmat	⊻iew <u>H</u> elp						
E E E E E L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	E E E E L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	E E E dead dead dead dead dead dead dead	E E E L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	E E E L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	E E E L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	E E E L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	E E E L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	
<								2

Instead of "numbers" for oviposited eggs or "M" for males you indicate "A" for adult or "F" and "M" for "female" and "male"



#### **Model Implementation**



and and a

#### **Development Time**

Developme	ent Time							
Selected Pro	niect: <b>pht</b>	horimaea o	perculella	pro ject				
00100100110	Jeen P	~			-			
Life Stages:	۲	egg 🔾 larva	6 1	🔾 pupa	Of	female	O male	
								Done
Binary mode	ls: O	Logit 💿	Probit	OCLoglog				
						10		
ESTIMATIC	ON OF PA	RAMETERS						
Family: b	oinomial					2012/08/20		
Link func	ction: p	robit			-	1 <sup>00%</sup> 7	- 10.0	/¥ ¥₩₽≈₽□□□ 📌 🟴 📍
		Estimate S	td. Erro	r z value	Pr(>	90% -	16.1	
Temperatu	are10.6	-59.828	0.77	3 -77.375	16		20.3	
Temperatu	ire15	-46.558	0.60	3 -77,161	16	80% -	23	
Temperatu	ire16.1	-41.234	0.53	4 -77,270	16	ò	24	
Temperatu	ire20.3	-34.161	0.44	3 -77.109	16	ਭ 70% –	26.7	
Temperatu	ire23	-29.502	0.38	9 -75.926	16	b l	-*- 31	
Temperatu	are24	-27.149	0.35	6 -76.213	16	± ±60% −		
Temperatu	re26.1	-24.478	0.31	1 -78.603	16	Ē		
Temperatu	ire26.7	-25.640	0.35	1 -73.010	16	F 50% -		<u>i inizi i i i i i</u>
Temperatu	ire31	-19.390	0.24	2 -80.063	16	ě		
Slope		16.838	0.21	7 77.539	16	8 40% -		
						late		
						1 5 30% -		
SELECTION	V CRITEF	AIA				00000		
	Deviand	e AIC	: MSC	R_Squared	Adj_I	00% -		
probit	812.473	974.366	5 -0.404	0.996		20.00		
logit	617.096	5 778.988	-0.387	0.997		10%		
cloglog 1	1544.456	53 1706.348	-0,471	0.984		10%		
						00/	<u>ж</u>	시 씨내님만 소나 소나 소나 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 하는 것이 않는 것이 하는 것이 않아? 않아 않아? 않아 않아 않아? 않아 않아 않아 않아? 않아 않아? 않아 않아 않아 않아? 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아? 않아 않아 않아 않아? 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아. 않아 않아. 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아. 않아 않아 않아. 않아 않아. 않아.
						076	· · · ·	
ESTIMATEL							00 05 1	0 15 20 25 30 35 40 45
Tempera	ature Lo	g_median I	.og_lower	Log_upper	De		0.0. 0.0 ()	10 10 20 20 00 00 40 40
1	10.6	3.553	3.515	3.591	34.5			In development time(Ln-days)
<	.100		1					

#### Few Sharpe & DeMichelle Development rate Functions in ILCYM

1 Land

$r(T) = \frac{P' * \frac{T}{T_0'} * e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_A}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T_0'} \cdot \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]}}{1 + e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_L}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T_L} \cdot \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]} + e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_H}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T_H} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]}}$ $T_0' = \frac{\Delta H_L - \Delta H_H}{R * \log\left(-\frac{\Delta H_L}{\Delta H_H}\right) + \frac{\Delta H_L}{T} - \frac{\Delta H_H}{T_L}}$ $P' = a + b * T_0'$	Sharpe & DeMichele 1: This function is a modified version of the original Sharpe & DeMichele (1977) suggested by Ikemoto (2005). The change was made on the basis that insect species of the same family share an almost similar intrinsic optimum temperature $(T'_o)$ which is linked to other parameters as show in the expression on the right. This function is used as default option in ILCYM and allows the fitting of 5 parameters.	<ul> <li><i>r(T)</i> developmental rate at temperature <i>T</i> (%),</li> <li><i>R</i> universal gas constant (1.987 cal degree-1 mol-1),</li> <li><i>RHO25</i> developmental rate at 25°C</li> </ul>
$r(T) = \frac{P' * \frac{T}{T_0} * e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_A}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_0} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]}}{1 + e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_L}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_L} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]} + e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_R}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_H} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]}}$ $P' = a + b * T_0$	Sharpe & DeMichele 2: The function has 6 parameters. The value $p$ (here $p'$ ) is calculated by $a + b T_0$ , where $a$ and $b$ are the parameters of the linear regression between development rates (observed data) and temperature (°K) by considering only data points that are in the linear range of development. $p'$ represents the development rate at $T_0$	(298.16%) assuming no enzyme inactivation, - $\Delta HA$ enthalpy of activation of the reaction that is catalyzed by the enzymes (cal mol-1); - $\Delta HL, H$ change in enthalpy associated with low ( <i>L</i> ) and hot ( <i>H</i> )
$r(T) = \frac{P * \frac{T}{T_0} * e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_A}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_0} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]}}{1 + e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_L}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_L} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]} + e^{\left[\frac{\Delta H_H}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_H} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right]}}$	Sharpe & DeMichele 3: This is the Schoolfield et al. (1981), version of Sharpe & DeMichele (1977), where 7 parameters are considered; i.e. the value for the temperature in which no inactivation occurs (298.16°K; i.e. 25°C) is estimated in the model as an additional parameter ( $T_0$ ). It is a flexible function taking into account that the base temperature or intrinsic optimum temperature is not necessarily 25°C for all insect species.	temperatures (cal mol-1); - <i>TL</i> and <i>TH</i> temperatures (%) at which the enzyme is half active at low and hot temperatures, respectively

#### **Development Rate**



and and

#### **Mortality**

🗌 Mortality			
Selected Proje	<sup>ct:</sup> phthorimaea operculella project		
Life Stages:	⊙egg Olarva Opupa		
Functions :	Model 1 Model 2 Model 3 Model	lel 4 🗌 Model 5 🗌 Model 6 🗌 Model 7 🛛 🔿 All	
	Model 8 Model 9 Model 10	O Deselect All	
Functions :	Model 1	Done	
		100	
	$m(T) = aT^2 + bT + c$		
		80 -	
Parameters			
a 0.0010	(≜) b -0.018 (♠) c 0.359 (♠)	(b) (d)	
ALCONT.		40	
Is Owls			
0.0 0.00		20 -	
✓ Limits			
	(and ) ( Canad		-
	Apply Cancel	0 6 12 18 24	30
		temperature (Å*C)	

#### **Total oviposition**

Total Oviposit	ion								
Selected Projec	* phthorimae	a opercule	lla project						
Functions :	Model 1	Model 2	🗌 Model 3	🔲 Model 4	Model 5 Model 6	6 🗌 Model 7	O All		
	Model 8	Model 9	Model 10				🗿 Desele	ect All	
Functions :	Model 1	×							Done
Parameters a -1.076 Weights () Is () wis Limits	т(1	$T) = aT^2 + b$ $\boxed{2}$ $\boxed{2}$ $C$	0T+c -277.284 €		200 (),() 150 (),() anneaduration 100 50 0	1	14 fecundity / fe	21 male	28 35

and and

#### **Relative oviposition**

Relative ovip	oosition frequer	су									
5elected Proje	et: phthorima	ea opercul	ella project								
unctions :	🗌 Model 1	🗹 Model 2	Model 3	🗖 Model 4	🗌 Model	5 🗌 Mode	16		I		
								⊙ De	select All		
Functions :	Model 2	~								Done	1
			1514			100 J		0.2	(ACTOCOLO	<u></u>	<u> </u>
	$O(E) = \int_0^{\infty}$	$\lambda \exp(-\lambda E)$	$\frac{\Lambda E}{\Gamma(k)}$ )dE					8440		0 0	
						80 -	9	A	0		
							1	6			
Parameters	-	115			n ratio	60 -	1.			• 11	
a <u>1.0</u>	b 2.0				positio		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		-	■ 15 ▲ 16	
					õ	40 -	A		-	- <u>0</u> -20	
Weights							HAA .			· 26.2	
⊙ls ⊖wls						20 -				30	
Z Limita							A				
CIMITS	-		~			0-1-15					
	Appl		ancel			0.0	0.3	0.6	0.9	1.2	1.5
							Normalize	d female ade (	davs / median	survival time)	



#### **Phenology Model validation**

The phenology model is stochastically simulated and compared to life table obtained from fluctuating temperature



#### Life table parameters

Net reproduction rate:  $R_0$  (Q/Q)

Mean generation time: T (days)

Intrinsic rate of increase: r<sub>m</sub>

Finite rate of increase:  $\lambda = e^{r_m}$ 

Doubling time:  $Dt = Ln(2)/r_m$ 

#### **Foundation Insect Population Modeling**

PAGE 20

22 25 25

26

#### THE INTRINSIC RATE OF NATURAL INCREASE OF AN INSECT POPULATION

By L. C. BIRCH\*, Zoology Department, University of Sydney

#### CONTENTS

		PAGE	F	ACE
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	15	4. The stable age distribution	20
2.	BIOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE INTRINSIC RATE OF NATURAL INCREASE	16	5. The instantaneous birth-rate and death-rate	21
3.	CALCULATION OF THE INTRINSIC RATE OF		6. The effect of temperature on $r'$ .	21
	NATURAL INCREASE	17	7. DISCUSSION	22
	(a) Experimental data required (b) The net reproduction rate	17 18	8. Summary	25
	(c) The mean length of a generation	18	9. Acknowledgements	25
	(d) The calculation of $r'$ .	19	References	26

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The intrinsic rate of increase is a basic parameter which an ecologist may wish to establish for an insect population. We define it as the rate of increase per head under specified physical conditions, in an unlimited environment where the effects of increasing density do not need to be considered. The growth of such a population is by definition exponential. Many authors, including Malthus and Darwin, have been concerned with this and related concepts, but there has been no general agreement in recent times on definitions. Chapman (1931) referred to it as 'biotic potential', and although he does state in one place that biotic potential should in some way combine fecundity rate, sex ratio and survival rate, he never precisely defined this expression. Stanley (1946) discussed a somewhat similar concept which he called the 'environmental index'. This gives a measure of the relative suitability of different environments, but it does not give the actual rate of increase of the insect under these different conditions. An index for the possible rate of increase under different physical conditions would at the same time provide a measure of the relative suitability of different environments. Birch (1945 c) attempted to provide this in an index combining the total number of eggs laid, the survival rate of immature stages, the rate of development and the sex ratio. This was done when the author was unaware of the relevance of cognate studies in human demography. A sounder approach to insect populations based on demographic procedures is now

suggested in this paper. The development of this branch of population mathematics is principally due to A. J. Lotka. From the point of view of the biologist, convenient summaries of his fundamental contributions to this subject will be found in Lotka (1925, Chapter 9; 1939 and 1945). A numerical example of the application of Lotka's methods in the case of a human population will be found in Dublin & Lotka (1925). The parameter which Lotka has developed for human populations, and which he has variously called the 'true' or 'inherent' or 'intrinsic' rate of natural increase, has obvious application to populations of animals besides the human species. The first determination of the intrinsic rate of increase of an animal other than man was made by Leslie & Ranson (1040). They calculated the 'true rate of natural increase' of the vole, Microtus agrestis, from agespecific rates of fecundity and mortality determined under laboratory conditions. With the use of matrices Leslie has extended these methods and, as an example, calculated the true rate of natural increase of the brown rat, Rattus norvegicus (Leslie, 1945). The author is much indebted to Mr Leslie for having drawn his attention to the possible application of actuarial procedures to insect populations. He has been completely dependent upon him for the methods of calculation used in this paper.

Before proceeding to discuss the reasons for the particular terminology adopted in this paper, it is necessary first to consider the true nature of the parameter with which we are concerned.

#### CAPACITY FOR INCREASE: A USEFUL POPULATION STATISTIC

#### By R. LAUGHLIN\*

School of Agriculture, The University, Newcastle upon Tyne

#### INTRODUCTION

In any study of the biology of an animal, one of the first questions is: How fast can it multiply? The object of this paper is to propose that, for most species, there is a simple statistic to answer this question. The statistic is derived from the survival rates and reproductive performance of a cohort of females.

$$r_c = \frac{\log_e R_0}{T_c} \tag{1}$$

 $(R_0 = net reproductive rate = number of times a population will multiply per gener$ ation,  $T_c =$  cohort generation time = mean age of mothers in cohort at birth of female offspring. Thus antiloger gives the number of times a population multiplies itself per time unit and  $r_c$  itself is the exponent of an exponential curve, see equation (4)). It is proposed that  $r_c$  be called the Capacity for Increase.

 $r_c$  is not a new statistic. Equation (1) is given in slightly different form  $(r_m \simeq \log_e R_0/T)$ by Andrewartha & Birch (1954, p. 41) as the formula for the rough calculation of  $r_m$ (innate capacity for increase in numbers). They go on to point out that this approximate version of  $r_m$  is accurate enough for most purposes when  $R_0$  is low but that it is frequently desirable to calculate  $r_m$  accurately. They leave the impression that the approximate value of  $r_m(r_c)$  is of little value. I believe, on the other hand, that  $r_c$  is a useful term in its own right and justifies its own name and symbol.

It is not only an approximation for  $r_m$ . It gives the actual rate of increase of an animal with no overlapping of generations and the actual rate of increase of a continuous breeding animal in the first few generations of increase in a new population arising from a cohort of animals all the same age (as, for example, when an empty piece of territory is colonized by immigrants). Moreover,  $r_c$  is always a useful and comparative summary of the survival and fecundity data for a species.

As a symbol,  $r_m$  has not been much used since it was introduced in 1954. And rewartha & Birch coined it to distinguish between the actual rate of increase observed in the field (r) and the innate capacity for increase  $(r_m$ —calculated from experimental data and relating to a population with a stable age distribution). This seems to be a useful distinction and their usage will be followed here. Indeed, it seems surprising that two symbols were not used before 1954 since authors writing about the concept of the rate of increase of a population have all emphasized that there is an intrinsic rate (characteristic of the animal) and an observed rate; the two need not be the same even if environmental conditions are constant for a considerable length of time.

There is no need to give the theoretical and mathematical background to  $r_m$  and  $r_c$  in this paper. Good explanations are to be found in Lotka (1945) and Andrewartha & Birch (1954, Section 3.1). Marshall (1962) gives a useful summary of the equations

#### **Simulated life table parameters**

imulation	LTP s	imulated	consta	int tem	peratur	es	
New Da	ta	Insects	Numbe	r : [10	0 Da	iys :	365
Temperat	ures		Repet	titions			
10,15,20,2	25,30		5,5,5	,5,5		Est	imate
) Existing	Data						
Browse :						Plot	Points
Temper		Po	8	GPP	т	0	lamk
1	10	0.	00514	0998	2	.02	10110
2	10	ο.	00811	2156	3	.18	2
3	10	ο.	00568	7766	2	.17	2
4	10	ο.	00704	4144	2	. 69	2
5	10	ο.	00937	4238	3	.83	2
<b>د</b> [		0	02004	1010	1	0	>
					(	Save	e data.
Aodels		_		-			
Cubic			,	rm	Cubic		
Quadratic				Ro	Cubic		
Logarithmic				GRR	Cubic		
exponentia	22		Ĺ	GL	Cubic		
				1	Cubic		
				Dt	Cubic		



LTP are simulated stochastically or deterministically at constant or fluctuating temperature

#### **ILCYM Geographical Simulation** approximated LTP

i) Generation length in days  $(T) = (1/d_1) + (1/d_2) + \dots + (1/d_K) + (1/s_F) \times TR_{50\%}$ 

where  $d_k$  is the median development rate for the immature life stages, k,  $s_F$  is the median senescence time for female adults and  $TR_{50\%}$  is the normalized age of females until median oviposition.

ii) Net reproduction rate  $(R_0) = f \times IS \times FR$ 

where *f* is the fecundity per female, *IS* is the immature survival rate (=  $\prod 1 - m_k$ ), and *FR* is the female rate in the progeny.

iii) Intrinsic rate of increase  $(r_m) = \ln(R_0) / T$ 

iv) Finite rate of increase  $(\lambda) = \exp(r_{\rm m})$ 

v) Doubling time  $(Dt) = \ln(2) / r_{\rm m}$ 

# Risk indices

#### **1** Establishment risk index (Survival risk index):

GI =

 $EI = (1-x_{Egg}) \times (1-x_{Larva}) \times (1-x_{Pupa})$ 

x is percentage of days a specific life-stage does not survive

#### **2** Generation Index:

$$\frac{\sum 365/7}{365}$$

the average number of generations within one year

#### **3** Activity Index:

AI = Log  $\prod$  finite rate of population increase ( $\lambda$ ) this index not only highlights the risk of establishment but also the spread potential

#### **Temperature inclusion in the model**

$$T_i = \frac{(Max - Min)}{2} \times \cos\left(\frac{\pi \times (i+0.5)}{48}\right) + \frac{(Max - Min)}{2}$$



Present scenario: Interpolated temperature data obtained from WorldClim available at <u>http://www.worldclim.org/</u>. documented in Hijmans et al. (2005).

2050 scenario: Downscaled SRES-A1B scenario Ramirez and Jarvis (2010)

## **Geographic simulation in ILCYM**





#### **Establishment risk index 2000**



#### **Establishment risk index 2050**





#### **Generation index 2000**





#### **Generation index 2050**





#### **Generation index change**





#### Global risks of potato tuber moth in potato: 2000 - 2050







#### Risk of potato tuber moth in Peruvian highlands

Total potato production area in Peru in 2007: 267,000 ha.

Potato tuber moth is reported from the coast and Inter-Andean valleys.

□ It is estimated that today 120,398 ha of potato are affected by the potato tuber moth (45% of the total potato area).



Absolute generation index change due to effect of climate change: 2000 - 2050

> □ It is estimated that due to CC, the potato tuber moth will affect potato at higher elevations and will increase the total area of infestation from 120,398 ha to 179,178 ha (67% of the total potato area).



# Effect of climate change scenarios on the number of generations at different locations

(point by point validation)





200 300 Julian day

Julian day







## **Conclusions and challenges**

- Phenology modeling and GIS risk mapping might be an appropriate framework for assessing insect related effects of CC, but:
  - Uncertainties in climate change predictions.
  - Worldclim data provide monthly aggregated temperature data; interpolation of weather data.
  - Regional meteorological data could have higher accuracy.
  - Other factors: e.g., precipitation.
  - Interaction of pests and natural enemies

## **CIP's Modeling Group**



Marc Sporleder



Jürgen Kroschel The team is composed by: -specialists in Agroecology/IPM

- insect modeling and statistics, and
- application of GIS.



Rheinhard Simon



Henri Tonnang



Henry Juarez



Juan Carlos Gonzalo



Pablo Carhuapoma