



**The Abdus Salam
International Centre for Theoretical Physics**



2264-10

Workshop on Infrared Modifications of Gravity

26 - 30 September 2011

Bigravity as a tool in massive gravity

L. Pilo
*University of L'Aquila
Italy*

Bigravity: a Tool for Massive Gravity

L. Pilo¹

¹Department of Physics
University of L'Aquila

Modified Gravity Workshop, ICTP September 2011

Berezhiani-Comelli-Nesti-LP **PRL 99**, 131101 (2007)

Berezhiani-Comelli-Nesti-LP **JHEP 0807** 130 (2008)

Comelli-Nesti-LP **PRD83** 084042 (2011)

Comelli-Nesti-LP **arXiv** 1105.3010



Outline

- 1 The Stuckelberg Trick and Massive GR
- 2 Exact Solutions, why ?
- 3 Energy in GR
- 4 Energy in Massive Gravity
- 5 Conclusions



The Stuckelberg Trick in Massive GR: Bigravity I

Step 1: Recasting the mass term

- $g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu} + h^{\mu\alpha} h_{\alpha}^{\nu} + \dots \Rightarrow g^{\mu\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu}$
represents a mass term

- To recover diff (gauge) invariance replace $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ by a dynamical (Stuckelberg) extra metric field $\tilde{q}_{\mu\nu}$

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow q_{\mu\nu}$$

- Dynamical metric field in fictitious spacetime $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ pulled back in our spacetime \mathcal{M}

$$q_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \zeta^A}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial \zeta^B}{\partial x^\nu} \tilde{g}_{AB} \quad \zeta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$$

New tensor from the two metric $X_{\nu}^{\mu} = g^{\mu\alpha} q_{\alpha\nu}$

- Typical mass terms are made out $\tau_n = \text{Tr}(X^n)$

$$a(\tau_1 - 4)^2 + b(\tau_2 - 2\tau_1 + 4) = (a h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} + b h^2) + \dots$$



The Stuckelberg Trick in Massive GR: Bigravity II

Step 2: Stuckelberg Dynamics

- The extra metrics is turned into a dynamical field

$$S_{MGR} = \int d^4x \left[\sqrt{g} M_{pl}^2 R(g) + \kappa \tilde{M}_{pl}^2 \sqrt{\tilde{g}} R(\tilde{g}) - 4(\tilde{g}g)^{1/4} V(X) \right]$$

- Matter couples only to $g_{\mu\nu}$
- Huge gauge symmetry: $\text{Diff}_1 \times \text{Diff}_2$

with $\zeta \rightarrow f_2^{-1} \cdot \zeta \cdot f_1$, g and \tilde{g} tensors

- Unitary gauge point wise identification of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ with \mathcal{M}

$$\zeta = \text{Id} \Rightarrow q_{\mu\nu} \equiv \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$$

$\text{Diff}_1 \times \text{Diff}_2$ broken down to $\text{Diff}_{\text{diagonal}}$

- When $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ gets nondynamical and flat: $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e_{\mu}^a e_{\nu}^b \tilde{\eta}_{ab}$

$$e^a = d\phi^a \text{ and } \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi^a \partial_{\nu}\phi^b \tilde{\eta}_{ab}$$

- In the bigravity unitary gauge and $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ the stuckelberg fields are ϕ^a



The Stuckelberg Trick in Massive GR: Bigravity III

- In the bigravity unitary gauge and $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, the stuckelberg fields are ϕ^a
- Powerful formalism to treat in unified way both the Lorentz preserving and Lorentz breaking cases

$X|_{bkg} = \text{Diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$ Lorentz preserving (LI) background

$X|_{bkg} = \text{Diag}(a, b, b, b)$ Lorentz breaking (LB) background
only rotational symmetry is present

- For any V the LI background is always present
- Modified Einstein equations (Bigravity Unitary gauge)

$$M_{pl}^2 E_{\nu}^{\mu} + [Det(X)]^{1/4} [V \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} - 4(V' X)_{\nu}^{\mu}] = T_{\nu}^{\mu}$$

$$\kappa M_{pl}^2 \tilde{E}_{\nu}^{\mu} + [Det(X)]^{-1/4} [V \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} + 4(V' X)_{\nu}^{\mu}] = 0$$



Exact Solutions, why ?

- In massive gravity perturbation theory can be tricky
- Check in a nonperturbative way the presence/absence of vDVZ discontinuity
- The spherically symmetric case in GR is the perfect benchmark



The Schwarzschild solution in a nutshell

- Adapted coordinates (t, r, θ, φ) , the geometry is static (timelike Killing vector hypersurface orthogonal)

$$ds^2 = -J(r) dt^2 + K(r) dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2)$$

- Einstein equations give

$$J = K^{-1} = 1 - \frac{2MG}{r} \quad M_{pl}^2 = 16\pi G$$

M integration constant to be determined the Kepler 3rd law or by ADM energy: total energy of the system.

- Leading PN effects measured by $J = 1 + 2\phi$ and $K = 1 - 2\psi$
GR gives $\psi = \phi = GM/r$



Modifying Schwarzschild I

Berezhiani-Comelli-Nesti-LP JHEP 0807 130 (2008)

- Spherically symmetric ansatz

$$ds^2 = -J(r) dt^2 + K(r) dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

$$\tilde{d}s^2 = -C(r) dt^2 + A(r) dr^2 + 2D(r) dt dr + B(r) d\Omega^2$$

- Einstein equations

$$M_{pl}^2 E_{\nu}^{\mu} + [Det(X)]^{1/4} [V \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} - 4(V' X)_{\nu}^{\mu}] = 0$$

$$\kappa M_{pl}^2 \tilde{E}_{\nu}^{\mu} + [Det(X)]^{-1/4} [V \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} + 4(V' X)_{\nu}^{\mu}] = 0$$

Finding all solutions is very hard. Consider solutions with $D \neq 0$

Potential independent analysis: $g_{\mu\nu}$ is diagonal $\Rightarrow E_{\nu}^{\mu}$ diagonal

$\Rightarrow (V' X)_{\nu}^{\mu}$ diagonal $\Rightarrow \tilde{E}_{\nu}^{\mu}$ diagonal $\Rightarrow \tilde{E}_1^1 = \tilde{E}_2^2 \Rightarrow K = J^{-1}$

- First result potential independent: $\psi = \phi$, leading PN physics same as in GR. Solar system tests are OK !



Modifying Schwarzschild II

Class of exact solvable potentials

If $\{\lambda_i, i = 0, \dots, 3\}$ are the eigenvalues of X , the potentials

$$V_n = \sum_{i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_n} \lambda_{i_1}^{-1} \lambda_{i_2}^{-1} \dots \lambda_{i_n}^{-1} = \frac{e_{4-n}(X)}{e_4(X)}$$

lead to analytically solvable equations

Examples

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{6|\tilde{g}|} (\epsilon \epsilon \tilde{g} \tilde{g} \tilde{g} g) = \tau_{-1} = (6\text{Det}(X))^{-1} (\tau_1^3 - 3\tau_2\tau_1 + 2\tau_3)$$

$$V_2 = \frac{1}{2|\tilde{g}|} (\epsilon \epsilon \tilde{g} \tilde{g} g g) = (\tau_{-1}^2 - \tau_{-2}) = \text{Det}(X)^{-1} (\tau_1^2 - \tau_2)$$

$$V_3 = \frac{1}{|\tilde{g}|} (\epsilon \epsilon \tilde{g} g g g) = (\tau_{-1}^3 - 3\tau_{-2}\tau_{-1} + 2\tau_{-3}) = 6^{-1} \text{Det}(X)^{-1} \tau_1$$



Solution I

$$J = \left(1 - \frac{2Gm_1}{r}\right) + 2GSr^\gamma, \quad KJ = 1$$

$$C = c^2\omega^2 \left(1 - \frac{2Gm_2}{\kappa r}\right) - \frac{2G}{c\omega^2\kappa}Sr^\gamma, \quad D^2 + AC = c^2\omega^4$$

$$B = \omega^2 r^2, \quad A = \dots$$

- Integration constants: m_1 , m_2 and S . Determined by the parameters in V : c , ω
- When $\gamma < 2$, for $r \rightarrow \infty$
 $g \rightarrow \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $g \rightarrow \omega^2 \text{diag}(-c^2, 1, 1, 1)$
Lorentz Breaking asymptotics for $c \neq 1$
- When $S \neq 0$ nontrivial modification but still flat at infinity when $\gamma < 2$. When $-1 < \gamma < 2$ the large r behaviour is modified !
- In general the solution can be AdS or dS at infinity ($\gamma < 2$)
not shown ...



Solution II

How m_1 , m_2 and S can be determined for a body ?

Match the interior and exterior solution for the body

Result: From the matching condition we have

$$m_1 = M(1 + \alpha_1 m_g^2 R^2), \quad m_2 = -\alpha_1 m_g^2 R^2 M / c \kappa^2$$

$$S = m_g^2 M R^{1-\gamma} \alpha_2$$

Body of radius R and “bare” mass $M = 4\pi\rho R^3/3$

m_g graviton mass scale, α_1 and α_2 numerical factors

- For low density object the deviation depends on size and not on the mass. Long hairs
- **Sun:** $m_g^2 R^2 \sim 10^{-10}$ with $m_g \lesssim (10^{-20} \text{ eV}) \sim (100\text{AU})^{-1}$
- Deviations are important when $m_g^{-1} \sim R$
- For large objects $R \gtrsim 10^5 R_\odot$ (red giants, large gas clouds, galaxies...) the effect may be of important
- α can be negative and negative the interaction energy could cause large fluids to anti-gravitate



Potential falling slower than $1/r$?

Suppose we have a solution of Einstein equations with a static potential ϕ ,

$$g_{tt} = -1 - 2\phi$$

that, at large distances, falls off slower than $1/r$

- The total energy of the system would be infinite. According to Newton, the source's total mass is \sim flux of $\nabla\phi$

$$E = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_{S_2} d^2x \vec{\nabla}\phi \cdot \vec{n}$$

Finite E only if $\phi \sim 1/r$

- No such a solution in perturbative GR: Green function goes as $1/r$
- Modified gravity is needed
- Why do we need a non-Newtonian potential ?



To be physical the solution must have finite total energy
Energy in GR is tricky

- Equivalence principle forbids localization of gravitational energy
Hypothetical EMT of gravity: $T_{\text{GR}}(x_0) \sim \mathcal{F}(\partial g)|_{x_0}$. But at each x_0
 $g(x_0) \equiv \eta$ and $\partial g(x_0) = 0 \Rightarrow T_{\text{GR}}(x_0) = 0$
- Energy cannot be taken apart but must be considered as whole
Locally there is no gravity !
- Energy in GR is the conserved charge associated with an arbitrary translation in time, diff generated by a timelike vector
- Equivalently, given a solution, its ADM energy is the value of the Hamiltonian
Needed: a splitting of spacetime in space + time



Energy I

- In adapted coordinates (t, x^i) , ADM energy measured by an observer with a clock ticking t

$$H_{tot} = \int_{t=\text{const}} d^3x \left[\mathcal{H} N + \mathcal{H}_i N^i \right] + \int_{S^2, r \rightarrow \infty} d^2x \mathcal{B}$$

$$\text{on } \underline{\underline{\text{shell}}} \int_{S^2, r \rightarrow \infty} d^2x \mathcal{B}$$

S^2 is 2-sphere bounding space ($t = \text{const}$) at infinity

- The value of \mathcal{B} and then the total energy depends on the detailed asymptotics of $g_{\mu\nu}$
- For asymptotically flat spacetime, $h_{ij} \sim \delta_{ij}/r$ at large r , and using asymptotics Cartesian coordinates x^i

$$H_{\text{tot, on shell}} = \int_{S^2, r \rightarrow \infty} d^2x \sqrt{\sigma} \left(\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial x^j} - \delta^{mn} \frac{\partial h_{mn}}{\partial x^i} \right) n^i$$



Energy II

- ADM limitations: derivatives of h_{ij} (extrinsic curvature) must fall-off at least as $1/r^2$ to be well defined
- Coordinates must be Cartesian at Infinity No good for our solution ! Large distances: $D \sim 1/\sqrt{r}$ (for $\gamma < -1$). Too slow
- Analogous to the Schwarzschild solution written in Painlevé coordinates: $dt = dT - f' dr$

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -J dt^2 + J^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \\ &= -J dT^2 + 2f' J dTdr + dr^2 + 2f' J dTdr + r^2 d\Omega^2 \\ f'^2 &= J^{-2} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

ADM energy is zero in Painleve coordinates !! In reality is not defined in Painleve coordinates. Extrinsic curvature does not have the right fall-off

- We need a more general tool: Gravitational energy as a Noether charge



Energy as a Noether Charge

- Consider the Noether charge associated to timelike translations: $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \xi^\mu$, with $\xi^2 < 0$
- Choose a set boundary condition for dynamical variables, adjust boundary terms in the action so that the charge is a scalar (coordinate independent). NB a reference metric is needed. We use flat space
- Fixing the induced metric on the the 2-surface $t = \text{const}$, $r = \bar{r}$ with \bar{r} large, we get the Nester expression for the energy

$$E = \frac{1}{32\pi G} \int_{S_t} d^2z \epsilon_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} \left(\xi^\tau \Pi^{\beta\lambda} \Delta \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\lambda\tau}^{\mu\nu\gamma} + \bar{\nabla}_\beta \xi^\alpha \Delta \Pi^{\beta\lambda} \delta_{\alpha\lambda}^{\mu\nu} \right) \frac{\partial x^\rho}{dz^1} \frac{\partial x^\sigma}{dz^2},$$

- For Schwarzschild , $E = M$, even in Painleve coordinates. Actually does not depend on coordinates ! Ideal tool fo us



Computation of the energy

- Boundary terms come only from the kinetic parts
the potential has no role here
- Contribution of $R(g)$ $E = M - S\bar{r}^{\gamma+1}$
- Contribution of $R(\tilde{g})$ $\tilde{E} = \tilde{M}c^2 + S\bar{r}^{\gamma+1}$.
- Total energy, finite even when $\bar{r} \rightarrow \infty$!

$$E_{tot} = E + \tilde{E} = M + \tilde{M}c^2$$

- Beware ! Consider a the frozen \tilde{g} theory, equivalent to $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$.
The solution for g is similar, but there is no \tilde{E} contribution. Energy is infinite !
- No decoupling effects of “heavy modes” of \tilde{g} , needed to account for all energy budget
- Effective field theories are tricky in gravity when energy is concerned, heavy modes warp spacetime and sometime cannot be neglected



Conclusions and Outlook

- A **non-standard Newton potential** calls for modified gravity.
- **Bigravity** is a great tool for studying massive deformation of GR
- **No dDVZ discontinuity** in a large class of bigravity solutions
- Spherically symmetric solution featuring:
 - 1 First nontrivial large distance modification of gravity
 - 2 Finite total energy
- Outlook
 - What happens when the ghost free potential is used ?
 - Cosmological impact of massive deformation

