



2326-1

School on Strongly Coupled Physics Beyond the Standard Model

16 - 24 January 2012

Introduction to Collider Physics

M. Perelstein Cornell University U.S.A.

Strada Costiera II, 34151 Trieste, Italy - Tel.+39 040 2240 III; Fax +39 040 224 163 - sci_info@ictp.it

Introduction to Collider Physics I. Introduction Collidere Experiment: eg LHC P (1) 12 (1) 12 (1) 22 (1) 24 "Lab trame" $\vec{P}_1 + \vec{P}_2 = 0$ $S = (p_1 + P_2)^2 = 4E_2^2$ Js = 2E, = "c-of-M. energy" (e.g. 2 Toll @ the Tenchon 7 Text = 19 Text @ LHC) After collision: detect et Mt & g/q. a, T[±]1?) il within -> hadrons -> jets {c-tag: ct=400.300pm "acceptance" 8 viq: naste + stable, el charged or colored BSM particles 1 CT2 10 M 3 T2 3+10-8 Sec cf "natural" lifetime Trat ~ 1 < 1 - 10-27 sec for BSM do not delect) + stable, el neutral uncolored BSM puticles Tox: WIMP dark matter candidates! Measure E: p: i=1. Nvis - visible external putricles in some cases, need to use E2-p2 = M2. "Event record": E = { {PID; p!"}, {PID; p!], }

-1 -

En-mon. conservation: $P_1^{\mu} + P_2^{\mu} = \sum p_1^{\mu}$ Proton remnant execupes unobserved > useless for m= 3,0 But useful for transverse properties: $\Sigma \vec{p} = 0$ If this sun is non-zero for visible particles, define $"M_{issNy} \vec{p}_T" = \vec{p}_T = - \vec{\Sigma} \vec{p}_T^T$ "Missing transverse energy" = #= 18-1. This is the total mom of invisible particles, and is the only information available about them The goal is to correct & to "Endomental teory" of 2 -> 2 is algorithmic (at least if pert theory applies): L > Feynman rules > Smatrix > db dn = n dspi 1 "phase space" -> dN = Lint db -> Et: a realization of this distribution dll dll typically generated numerically with a "integrated luminosity" "Monte-Carlo generator") of the of collisions reported by acc operators Compare Et with measured E => theory OK or ruled out ! (roughly, N2 test) - 2 -

This is all pretty staightforward, but not completely trivial: - p is composite, q/g = hadrons - some non-pert, physics enters - Pert trans has JR divergences If deviation from the SM is discovered, we will also reed to construct E-> 2 ("the inverse problen"). There is no algorithmic solution. Of course, one can just try many is will get a good fit, but need some intuition to know what to try! As usual, intuition comes from collecting and internalizing a set of examples We will try to do it in these lectures. 11 Hello World: 2 production at the LHC (or Testation) 1) Parton model 9,9 49 X1P1 22 PI Y-observed proton remnants "parter distribution patrilles functions-pdfs" $\mathcal{E}(p(P_1) + p(P_2) \rightarrow Y + X) = \int dx_1 \int dx_2 \sum_{i,j} f_{j,j}(x_1) f_{j,j}(x_2)$ Inclusive -Sun over all possible X v 6/f2 (x, Pa) + f2(x2P2) -> Y roughly . P. = M., titz = V, V, d, d, d, g (some refinements one needed - laker!) -2

"Porton trane"
$$X_1 \hat{P}_1 + K_1 \hat{P}_2 = 0$$

Porton c-of m arange $\hat{E}_{con} = \hat{E} = J(x_1 \hat{P}_1 + x_1 \hat{P}_2)^2 = J(x_1 \hat{P}_1 \hat{P}_2 - J(x_1 \hat{S}_1))^2 = J(x_1 \hat{P}_1 \hat{P}_2 - J(x_1 \hat{S}_1))^2 = J(x_1 \hat{P}_1 \hat{P}_2) = J(x_$

-4-

$$\frac{4}{3} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{16} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{16} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{16} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{16} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}$$

Plug in numbers > Blpp>21 = 3 nb, Vin alone LO Adrial Brio = 8.2 nb [slide] not bad! LHC 14: $B(p\bar{p} \rightarrow 2) \approx 60 \text{ pb}$ ($u\bar{u}$ alme, $u\bar{o}$) $B_{\mu\nu\sigma} = 60 \text{ pb}$ (ude!) Sea quarks dominate: $\chi \approx \overline{J}4r10^5 \approx 6r10^{-3} - in the "sea" range.$ [data-slide] HW: Do ;t for LHC-7 Note: cross section grows with JS, due to growth of pdf's at low X. Replace is with heavy i' (some complices): $\frac{\partial \left[pp \rightarrow 2' X\right]}{\partial \left[pp \rightarrow 2' X\right]} = \frac{8}{3} \pi^2 \frac{\Gamma_{2'}}{M_{2'}} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \left[\int \frac{dx}{X} f_{\nu}(x) f_{\nu}\left(\frac{M_{2'}}{Sx}\right) + \dots\right]}{M_{2'}^2 \sqrt{S}}$ $E^{n}(W^{\tau_{1}}/t)$ Very roughly, $F_{\rm M} \approx 0.09 \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\rm S}}{\Gamma_{\rm M}}\right)^3$ (M₂₁~0.1-1 TeV) Generally Pai ~ Mai > B ~ Mai. -6-

IV. Radiative Corrections and Infrared Divergences Maively, subleading orders in pert. theory are only important if you need presision. In reality though, certain aspects of beyond-LO physics must be inderstood even to develop qualitative intuition about collider processes. The reason is IR divergences. let's start with a QED example: ete-spitmi LO: MI2 xd2). (Sond) the dd ("loop core.") NLO Sult. 12 2 23 ("real emission") let's pours on real emission, one diagram. $= \overline{U}_{B} \chi^{M} \frac{p_{A} - p_{T}}{(p_{A} - p_{X})^{2}} (e_{X}) U_{A} \cdot \varepsilon_{J}(p_{A}) \cdot A_{M}$ Pa Px $P_{A} = (E, O, O, E)$ $P_{0} = (2E, \vec{p}_{\perp}, \sqrt{(2E)^{2} - \vec{p}_{\perp}^{2}})$ $(p_{A}-p_{X})^{2}=-2p_{A}\cdot p_{X}=-2zE^{2}(1-(1-p_{1}^{2}))$ Map when 200 "soft smplerity" or IPISO " Collinear singularity" -1-

Physically, soft + collinear photons are unobservable: - Small-O y's escape through holes - Small-E y's drowned in "poise" Define Q: P1>Q - observe 2-3 p1<Q - observe 2-3 Suppose $(p_A - p_X)^2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow p_A - p_X \approx \Sigma \tilde{U}_s (p_A - p_X) \tilde{U}_s (p_A - p_X)$ $\frac{|\rho_{\Lambda}-\rho_{0}\rangle^{2}}{\simeq} = \frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{2}$ the a M= - 2 Eletis(PA-PO) & VA ES(PO) · MIPA-PO, PO-PI, P2) e > ex mp., ind of the rest - "factoritation" $db_{233} = \frac{1\hat{s}}{2s} d\Pi_{\chi} \left(\frac{1}{p_1^2}\right)^2 \sum_{s} \left[\mathcal{M}_{12} \cdot e_0 \right]^2 \cdot \left[\mathcal{M}_{233} \left[\hat{s} = (1-2)s \right] \right]^2 d\Pi_{\chi} d\Pi_{\chi} d\Pi_{\chi} \frac{1}{2\hat{s}}$ $\frac{4e^{2}p_{1}^{2}}{2(1-2)} = \frac{1+(1-2)^{2}}{2} - PRS ep. 576-578$ $d\Pi_{\chi} = \frac{d^3 P_X}{l_{12\pi}l^3} \frac{1}{2E_X} = \frac{P_1 dP_1}{8\pi^2} \frac{d2}{2}$ $\delta_{2 \rightarrow 3} \approx \int \frac{d^2}{2} \int \frac{p_1 dp_1}{p_1} \cdot (1 - 2) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{p_1^2}\right) \cdot \frac{4e^2 p_1^2}{2(1 - 2)} \cdot \left[\frac{1 + (1 - 2)^4}{2}\right] \cdot \delta_{2 \rightarrow 2}(\hat{\varsigma})$ \Rightarrow $\frac{1}{2}$ 2 log divagenes! -7:

 $= \frac{1}{100} \frac{10}{100} \cdot \frac{10}{100} \cdot \frac{10}{100} \cdot \frac{100}{100} \cdot \frac{100$ "Sudalion double log" $\frac{d}{d}_{2\rightarrow2} \approx \int \frac{d^2}{2} \int \frac{dP_1}{2} \left(\dots \right) \frac{d}{d}_{2\rightarrow2} \left(\hat{s} \right) = \int d^2 \frac{d}{2} \left[\frac{\left[+ \left(1 - 2 \right)^2 \right]}{2\pi} \right] \frac{d}{2} \frac{Q}{Me}$ O⇒Me, if you're coreful! × 62-22 (S=S(1-2)). This looks like pater model! I been is really egg been, with traction of X's depending on Q. Of course, Q is implyical, so the total rate is idependent of it: $\frac{d}{d\theta} \left(b_{2 + 2} + b_{2 + 3} \right) = 0.$ Sare thing happens in QCD: e.g. g. g. PI, SQ ⇒ correction to p.d.f.'s (Alteretli-Parisi eq's) ⇒ f(x,Q) Pi, g>Q ⇒ extra jet If final state Y with Inv. mass M(Y) is produced then $\delta [Y + jet, p_7 > Q] = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \log_2 \frac{M(Y)}{Q} \cdot \delta(Y \text{ with no jet}) - \text{lots of} |_{0u-p_T} jets!$ indusive: $\mathcal{B}(Y) + \mathcal{B}(Y + jet) = \mathcal{B}_{10}(Y)(t + C \frac{d}{11})$ (no legs!)

-3-

$$\frac{L_{q}}{Suff (Cultures, Divergences}$$

$$\frac{L_{q}}{Suff (Cultures, Divergences}$$

$$\frac{L_{q}}{V} = \frac{P_{T,q} < Q - pdf curredian}{P_{T,q} > Q - pp + y + jek}$$

$$\frac{L_{q}}{V} = \frac{P_{T,q} > Q - pp + y + jek}{Q^{2}}$$

$$\frac{L_{q}}{V} = \frac{L_{q}}{V} = \frac{L_{q}}{V} + \frac{L_{q}}{V} = \frac{L_{q}}{Q^{2}}$$

$$\frac{L_{q}}{V} = \frac{L_{q}}{V} + \frac{L_{q}}{V} + \frac{L_{q}}{Q^{2}}$$

$$\frac{L_{q}}{V} = \frac{L_{q}}{V} + \frac{L_{q}}$$

Choice of Q: minimize log's in public x-section > Q=Minu(Y) Estimate Q dependence: vary up/down by a factor of 2. Final State Radiation ete > pt pi y et _ et sing. · Ex→O (unobs.) D→O (unt olf by Mp) $\mathcal{B}(2\rightarrow3, E_{1} > E_{min}) \approx \mathcal{B}(2\rightarrow2) \cdot \mathcal{L} \log \frac{E_{H}}{II} \log \frac{E_{H}}{E_{min}} \log \frac{E_{H}}{M_{m}}$ "Sidalwar double-log" 9 100 $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}q$ Same stry, but collinear sig. is e - cut oft in a different way: "cone" -> jet Jet 9 and g "merged" for small 0 DR= (100)2+ 100)2 < DRmin - 1 jet >DRmin - 2 jets n-jet rates depend strongly on the details of jet-finding algorithm, very hard to predict; inclusive rates are much sater ("IR-safe observable") Multiple splittings likely -> PYTHIA HERWIG, SHERPA "Showering codes". -5-

V. Higgs Search Example 1) Complings: Th= M+ << 1 except t When -- h ~gu 2) Processes: 1h: http://www. all "gluon fusion" h+W/2: 9 7 "Bjorken process W/2 <u>0</u>(1) or "associated production" h+qq "Vedor Boson Fusion" h Eslides: X-sections] Stable? No! Docays: --- if My > 2 my = 160 bev 3) Alp It Wr < 5 Wr
</p> competers with 3-body with for 130-160 Gold Subdeminent modes: hace, have to + J-loop induced: h-> gg, h-> XX - 17 Eslide: Bris]

(assume My < 130 GeV) 4). Badigrounds: h-bb, cc, gg completely swamped Ex-sections Sis Bg slide] happy or hatt - small Bris but best S/Bg! [slides: hopy search from CMS] Nole: bump huntary: "shalder-subtractions" technique > reduced need for MC systematics -> statistics! -18 -