



2326-2

School on Strongly Coupled Physics Beyond the Standard Model

16 - 24 January 2012

Introduction to Collider Physics (SLIDES)

M. Perelstein Cornell University U.S.A.

Introduction to Collider Physics

Maxim Perelstein, Cornell University ICTP Winter School, Trieste, Italy 01/16-01/18, 2012



Cornell University Laboratory for Elementary-Particle Physics

Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)



Figure 1: MSTW 2008 NLO PDFs at $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $Q^2 = 10^4 \text{ GeV}^2$.

Martin, Stirling, Thorne, Watt, 0901.0002

$$\begin{split} xu_v(x,Q_0^2) &= A_u \, x^{\eta_1}(1-x)^{\eta_2}(1+\epsilon_u \sqrt{x}+\gamma_u \, x), \\ xd_v(x,Q_0^2) &= A_d \, x^{\eta_3}(1-x)^{\eta_4}(1+\epsilon_d \sqrt{x}+\gamma_d \, x), \\ xS(x,Q_0^2) &= A_S \, x^{\delta_S}(1-x)^{\eta_S}(1+\epsilon_S \sqrt{x}+\gamma_S \, x), \\ x\Delta(x,Q_0^2) &= A_\Delta \, x^{\eta_\Delta}(1-x)^{\eta_S+2}(1+\gamma_\Delta \, x+\delta_\Delta \, x^2), \\ xg(x,Q_0^2) &= A_g \, x^{\delta_g}(1-x)^{\eta_g}(1+\epsilon_g \, \sqrt{x}+\gamma_g \, x) + A_{g'} \, x^{\delta_{g'}}(1-x)^{\eta_{g'}}, \\ x(s+\bar{s})(x,Q_0^2) &= A_+ \, x^{\delta_S} \, (1-x)^{\eta_+}(1+\epsilon_S \, \sqrt{x}+\gamma_S \, x), \\ x(s-\bar{s})(x,Q_0^2) &= A_- \, x^{\delta_-}(1-x)^{\eta_-}(1-x/x_0), \end{split}$$

Process	Subprocess	Partons	x range	
$\ell^{\pm}\left\{p,n\right\} \to \ell^{\pm} X$	$\gamma^* q \to q$	q, ar q, g	$x \gtrsim 0.01$	
$\ell^{\pm} n/p \to \ell^{\pm} X$	$\gamma^* d/u \to d/u$	d/u	$x \gtrsim 0.01$	
$pp \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$	$u\bar{u}, d\bar{d} \rightarrow \gamma^*$	$ar{q}$	$0.015 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.35$	
$pn/pp \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X$	$(u\bar{d})/(u\bar{u}) \rightarrow \gamma^*$	\bar{d}/\bar{u}	$0.015 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.35$	
$\nu(\bar{\nu}) N \rightarrow \mu^{-}(\mu^{+}) X$	$W^*q \rightarrow q'$	q, ar q	$0.01 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.5$	
$\nu N \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ X$	$W^*s \to c$	s	$0.01 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.2$	
$\bar{\nu} N \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$	$W^*\bar{s} \to \bar{c}$	\bar{s}	$0.01 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.2$	
$e^{\pm} p \rightarrow e^{\pm} X$	$\gamma^* q \to q$	$g,q,ar{q}$	$0.0001 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.1$	
$e^+ p \to \bar{\nu} X$	$W^+\left\{d,s\right\} \to \left\{u,c\right\}$	d, s	$x \gtrsim 0.01$	
$e^{\pm}p \rightarrow e^{\pm} c \bar{c} X$	$\gamma^* c \to c, \gamma^* g \to c \bar{c}$	c, g	$0.0001 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.01$	
$e^{\pm}p \rightarrow \text{jet} + X$	$\gamma^*g \to q\bar{q}$	g	$0.01 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.1$	
$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \text{jet} + X$	$gg, qg, qq \rightarrow 2j$	g,q	$0.01 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.5$	
$p\bar{p} \to (W^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm}\nu) X$	$ud \to W, \bar{u}\bar{d} \to W$	u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d}	$x \gtrsim 0.05$	
$p\bar{p} \rightarrow (Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) X$	$uu, dd \rightarrow Z$	d	$x \gtrsim 0.05$	

|--|

Parameter	LO		NLO		NNLO	
$\alpha_S(Q_0^2)$	0.68183		0.49128		0.45077	
$\alpha_S(M_Z^2)$	0.13939		0.12018		0.11707	
A_u	1.4335		0.25871		0.22250	
η_1	0.45232	$+0.022 \\ -0.018$	0.29065	+0.019 -0.013	0.27871	+0.018 -0.014
η_2	3.0409	+0.079 -0.067	3.2432	+0.062 -0.039	3.3627	+0.061 -0.044
ϵ_u	-2.3737	+0.54 -0.48	4.0603	$^{+1.6}_{-2.3}$	4.4343	+2.4 -2.7
γ_u	8.9924	0.10	30.687	2.0	38.599	2
A_d	5.0903		12.288		17.938	
η_3	0.71978	+0.057 -0.082	0.96809	$^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	1.0839	$^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$
$\eta_4 - \eta_2$	2.0835	+0.32 -0.45	2.7003	+0.50 -0.52	2.7865	+0.50 -0.44
ϵ_d	-4.3654	+0.28 -0.22	-3.8911	+0.31 -0.29	-3.6387	+0.27 -0.28
γ_d	7.4730	0.22	6.0542	0.20	5.2577	0.20
A_S	0.59964	+0.036 -0.030	0.31620	+0.030 -0.021	0.64942	+0.047 -0.041
δ_S	-0.16276		-0.21515		-0.11912	
η_S	8.8801	+0.33 -0.33	9.2726	+0.23 -0.33	9.4189	+0.25 -0.33
ϵ_S	-2.9012	+0.33 -0.37	-2.6022	+0.71 -0.96	-2.6287	+0.49 -0.51
γ_S	16.865		30.785		18.065	
$\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \Delta(x,Q_0^2)$	0.091031	+0.012 -0.009	0.087673	+0.013 -0.011	0.078167	+0.012 -0.0091
A_{Δ}	8.9413		8.1084		16.244	
η_{Δ}	1.8760	+0.24 -0.30	1.8691	+0.23 -0.32	2.0741	+0.18 -0.35
γ_{Δ}	8.4703	$+2.0^{\circ}$ -0.3	13.609	+1.1 -0.6	6.7640	+0.77 -0.41
δ_{Δ}	-36.507		-59.289		-36.090	
A_g	0.0012216		1.0805		3.4055	
δ_g	-0.83657	$^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$	-0.42848	$+0.066 \\ -0.057$	-0.12178	$^{+0.23}_{-0.16}$
η_g	2.3882	$^{+0.51}_{-0.50}$	3.0225	+0.43 -0.36	2.9278	$^{+0.68}_{-0.41}$
ϵ_{g}	-38.997	$^{+36}_{-35}$	-2.2922		-2.3210	
γ_g	1445.5	$^{+880}_{-750}$	3.4894		1.9233	
$A_{g'}$			-1.1168		-1.6189	
$\delta_{g'}$			-0.42776	+0.053 -0.047	-0.23999	$^{+0.14}_{-0.10}$
$\eta_{g'}$			32.869	$+6.5 \\ -5.9$	24.792	$^{+6.5}_{-5.2}$
A_+	0.10302	$^{+0.029}_{-0.017}$	0.047915	$+0.0095 \\ -0.0076$	0.10455	$+0.019 \\ -0.016$
η_+	13.242	$^{+2.9}_{-1.4}$	9.7466	$^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	9.8689	$^{+1.0}_{-0.6}$
A_{-}	-0.011523	$^{+0.009}_{-0.018}$	-0.011629	$+0.009 \\ -0.023$	-0.0093692	$^{+0.006}_{-0.024}$
η_{-}	10.285	$^{+16}_{-6}$	11.261	$^{+22}_{-6}$	9.5783	$+26 \\ -5$
x_0	0.017414	-	0.016050	-	0.018556	-
r_1	-0.39484		-0.57631		-0.80834	
r_2	-1.0719		0.81878		1.2669	
r_3	-0.28973		-0.083208		0.15098	

Parton kinematics





DGLAP evolution: at higher Q2, parton densities shift towards low x

Plot: S.-O. Moch, KITP talk, 2008











Electroweak Boson Production

Parton kinematics





DGLAP evolution: at higher Q2, parton densities shift towards low x

Plot: S.-O. Moch, KITP talk, 2008



Inclusive Cross Sections







CMS-EWK-10-005, arXiv:1107.4789

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResults



Wednesday, January 18, 2012









http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/ewk/2008/wmass/



Figure 5: The CMS rapidity distribution of an on-shell Z boson at Run II of the Tevatron. The LO, NLO, and NNLO results have been included. The bands indicate the variation of the renormalization and factorization scales in the range $M_Z/2 \le \mu \le 2M_Z$.

Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, Petriello, hep-ph/0312266



FIG. 5: Analysis result. The outer error bars show the total error, combining statistical and systematic errors, while the inner error bars indicate the statistical error alone. The solid line shows the NNLO prediction based on the MRST 2001 PDFs.

D0, Winter 2005 Conferences

Soft/Collinear Jets





New Physics Searches

Planning a New Physics Search - I

- I have a theory predicting new particle(s) X.What do I do?
- Identify couplings of X (no need to get all 2's right yet!)
- Identify X production processes, roughly order by strength
 - Minimize # of particles (eps. massive) in final state
 - Remember QCD > Electroweak
- Derive Feynman rules needed for the strongest signal process (this time, get your 2's right!)
- Compute signal cross section, and expected # of events in your exp. (need luminosity values)
- If # of events < 10, give up.

Planning a New Physics Search - 2

- Is X stable and charged under EM or QCD? (Yes special case, see later. No continue.)
- Is X stable and uncharged under EM/QCD? X is MET. Check that there are observable "tag" particles in your signal event. (Can use jets/gammas).
- If X unstable: Enumerate X decay channels and compute their branching ratios. Include cascade decays. List possible final states in terms of "detector objects": SM leptons, jets, missing pT ("MET").
- For each final state, list all SM processes that can produce it.
- For each final state, compute signal and SM rates (sigma*Br), imposing loose acceptance cuts if necessary (e.g. min jet pT)



Planning a New Physics Search - 3

- 3 conditions for success
 - Events: $N_{\text{sig}} = \sigma_{\text{sig}} \times L_{\text{int}} \times \text{Br} \times \text{Eff} \ge \text{a few} \qquad \propto L_{\text{int}}$

 $\propto L_{\rm int}^{1/2}$

 $\propto L_{\rm int}^0$

- Statistics: $N_{\rm sig}/\sqrt{N_{\rm SM}} \ge {\rm a~few}$
- Systematics: $N_{
 m sig}/N_{
 m SM} \ge ({
 m a few}) imes \sigma_{
 m sys}$
- σ_{sys} includes uncalculated higher-order corrections, pdf errors, and ideally some estimate of detector systematics (talk to your exp colleagues!)
- If the 3 conditions are NOT met, impose selection cuts: exploit differences in kinematic distributions between signal and SM events
- Iterate until the 3 conditions are met
- BINGO!

Example: Higgs Search



Higgs production cross section - LHC







H > 2 photons Search





CMS, HIG-11-021

H > 2 photons Search



ATLAS, Dec 13 2011 CERN talk



ATLAS, Dec 13 2011 CERN talk

$H > ZZ^* \rightarrow 4$ leptons Search



In the region m_H < 141 GeV (not already excluded at 95% C.L.) 3 events are observed: two 2e2µ events (m=123.6 GeV, m=124.3 GeV) and one 4µ event (m=124.6 GeV)

ATLAS, Dec 13 2011 CERN talk

H > WW* > 2I+2nu Search



CMS, HIG-11-014

"Cut Flow Evolution Charts"





Combining All Channels



ATLAS, Dec 13 2011 CERN talk

Combining All Channels





We cannot exclude the presence of the SM Higgs boson below 127GeV because of a modest excess of events in the region between 115 and 127GeV.

The excess at low mass is produced by a broad excess driven by the low resolution channels (H2TT, H2WW, H2BB, center), modulated by the localized excesses seen by the high resolution channels (H2GG and H2ZZ, right).

G. Tonelli, CERN/INFN/UNIPI

HIGGS_CERN_SEMINAR

December 13 2011 40

Examples of BSM Signatures Relevant for This School

Warped (RS) Extra Dimension

Original model had the SM on the TeV brane, solves the hierarchy problem





RS with Bulk Matter

• It was subsequently realized that models with SM gauge fields and fermions in the "bulk" are more interesting:



- natural solution to fermion mass hierarchy problem
- natural suppression of flavor-changing neutral currents
- possibility of gauge coupling unification, as in the MSSM

figure credits: G. Perez, G. Servant

50

RS with Bulk Matter: Pheno

- Good: all SM states now have KK modes!
- Bad: the KKs do not couple to light quarks and leptons much...
- Worse: PEW constraints force KK masses > 3 TeV or so
- KK gluon is probably the easiest target at the LHC



Agashe et. al., hep-ph/0612015; Lillie et.al., hep-ph/0701166

Final state: A pair of highly-boosted tops ("top jets"?)

See Gilad Perez's lectures next week!

Higgsless Phenomenology

- Best place to search for all higgsless models is W/Z scattering
- Unitarity must be restored, typically resonances appear
- 5D Higgsless model predicts narrow, light (sub-TeV) resonances



Gold-Plated Channel: 2j+3l+Et_miss



Monte Carlo Tools for BSM

- Monte Carlo predictions from models are essential for theory/ experiment connection
- Old model: MC developers implement models in general-purpose generators, users use these tools (slow!)
- New model (over the last ~3-4 years):
 - users implement models in parton-level matrix element generators (e.g. Madgraph), output Les Houches Accordcompatible files
 - LHA files are passed on to the rest of the simulation chain (same as SM, except if long-lived BSM states)

MC4BSM-2012

MONTE CARLO TOOLS FOR PHYSICS BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL

> MARCH 22-24, 2012 CORNELL UNIVERSITY ITHACA, NEW YORK USA

WWW.LEPP.CORNELL.EDU/EVENTS/MC4BSM/



- 2 Evening tutorials on MadGraph, Pythia, Herwig
- All grad students (and others) are welcome!
- Registration is free