



2332-31

School on Synchrotron and FEL Based Methods and their Multi-Disciplinary Applications

19 - 30 March 2012

Basic concepts for LEEM and XPEEM and applications

Andrea Locatelli Sincrotrone Trieste, Italy

Strada Costiera 11, 34151 Trieste, Italy - Tel.+39 040 2240 111; Fax +39 040 224 163 - sci_info@ictp.it



3/27/2012

Why do we need photomission electron microscopy?



- To combine SPECTROSCOPY and MICROSCOPY to characterise the structural, chemical and magnetic properties of surfaces, interfaces and thin films
- Applications in diverse fields such as surface science, catalysis, material science, magnetism but also geology, soil sciences, biology and medicine.



Applications examples



Surface science

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5088

Concentration maps, chemical stare, electronic strucutre of surfaces and interfaces



Ultrafast processes



Nano Lett., Vol. 5, No. 6, 2005

Surface plasmons

Magnetism



DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.247201

Biology



PRL **98**, 268102 (2007) Biominerals: nacre



Photoemission electron microscopy: spectroscopic modes





4 XAS, XANES, XMCD, XMLD: Secondary electrons SE



Principal spectroscopies implemented by PEEM



XAS (XANES, XMLD, XMCD)

Elemental sensitivity; work function sensitivity.

Sensitivity to emitter (site location, valence state, bond orientation, nearestneighbour)

Magnetic sensitivity

Buried layer and interfaces accessible

When the photon energy matches a core level energy, a resonance in the secondary emission intensity is observed, originating from electronic transitions from core levels into unoccupied valence states via excitation processes occurring during the filling of the core holes. Such resonances are unique fingerprints that enable us to get precious information about the emitter chemical state, site location and valence state (x-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy). Due to the very low energy of the secondary electrons (less than 10 eV) and the increase of the inelastic mean free path of electrons at very low energy, XAS and XANES are used to probe buried interfaces or films up to a depth of ~10nm.



Principal spectroscopies implemented by PEEM



XPS and UPS

- Elemental and chemical sensitivity, surface core level shifts.
- Valence band: LOCAL electronic structure (micro-ARPES);
- Sensitivity to local structure (micro-XPD).
- High surface sensitivity
- Energy filter needed
- in XPEEM

The PEEM detects electrons emitted from atomic core levels with kinetic energy $E_{kin} = hv - E_{bin} - \phi$, where E_{bin} is the core level binding energy, hv the photon energy and ϕ the work function. Typically hv is kept fixed, with energies in the range provided by the beamline (50-1000 eV). The energy filter is used to select the kinetic energy E_{kin} of photoelectrons, which allows measuring the binding energies of emitting atoms or accessing the surface electronic structure, including surface states and resonances. The intensity of the photoemission signal is proportional to the number of emitters in the topmost layers within their energydependent escape depth, and thus provides straightforward and quantitative information about the surface chemical composition.



X- ray microscopy: surface sensitivity



Inelastic mean free path ("universal curve") determines sampling depth



XAS, XANES, XMCD, XMLD can probe thin films and buried interfaces to max. depth of max 10 nm

> Sensitivity to the topmost surface layers, especially at K. E. 50-150 eV

Reviews on spectromicroscopy



- [1.1] Gunther S, Kaulich B, Gregoratti L, Kiskinova M 2002 Prog. Surf. Sci. 70 187–260.
- [1.2] Bauer E and Schmidt T, 2003 "Multi-Method High Resolution Surface Analysis with Slow Electrons" in: High Resolution Imaging and Spectroscopy of Materials, Eds. Ernst F. and Ruehle M. (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 2003) 363-390.
- [1.3] Bauer E 2001 *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **114-116** 976-987.
- [1.4] Bauer E 2001 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13** 11391-11405.



3/27/2012

Method characteristics



X-ray photo emission electron microscopy (XPEEM)



- Direct imaging, parallel detection
- Dynamic processes ok!
- Lateral resolution is determined by electron optics (10-50 nm); with aberration correction: few nm will be possible.
- Requires smooth sample morphology.
- Combination with LEEM/LEED
- Spectroscopic PEEM! Intermediate spectroscopic ability(200 meV).
- Diffraction imaging possible.
- Sensitive in plane magnetisation!
- ♦ Vacuum better than $1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mbar

The cathode lens

- 1. In emission microscopy θ (emission angle) is large. Electron lenses can accept only small θ because of large chromatic and spherical aberrations
- Solution of problem: accelerate electrons to high energy before lens → Immersion objective lens or cathode lens

$$n \sin\theta = \text{const}$$

$$n \sim \sqrt{E}$$

$$\theta \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\sin \alpha / \sin \alpha_0 = \sqrt{E_0 / E}$$
Example for E = 20000 eV:
$$E_0 \qquad 2 \text{ eV} \qquad 200 \text{ eV}$$

$$\alpha \text{ for } \alpha_0 = 45^\circ \qquad 0.4^\circ \qquad 4.5^\circ$$





Basic PEEM instruments





Properties accessible in XPEEM



ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION & CHEMICAL STATE

C1s image of SWCN Pb on W110



Ι 1 μm

S. Suzuki et al, J. El. Spec Rel. Phenom. 357-360, 144 (2005)







MAGNETIC STATE using XMCD

Co nanodots on Si-Ge





Co - L_a edge

A. Mulders et al,Phys. Rev. B 71,214422 (2005).

1.6 **µ**m

M. Klaeui et al, Phys. Rev. B 68, 134426 (2003).

References on XPEEM



- [2.1] Tonner B P, Harp G R 1988 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 59 853.
- [2.2] Swiech W et al 1997 J. Electr. Spectr. Relat. Phenom. 84 171.
- [3.3] Kleineberg U et al 1999 J. Electr. Spectr. Relat. Phenom. 103 931.
- [4.4] Chmelik J et al 1983 *Optik* **83**, 155.
- [5.5] Cruise D R 1964 J. Appl. Phys. **35** 3080.



3/27/2012

Low energy electron microscopy



In a LEEM, a beam of high energy electrons (5-20 keV) is decelerated through the objective lens in front of the specimen surface, onto which it impinges normally with energy in the range 0 to few hundred eV. The beam energy is varied by changing the bias voltage between sample and electron emitter. The elastically backscattered electrons are reaccelerated through the objective lens, following the inverse pathway.





E. Bauer: *Low Energy Electron Microscopy*, Rep. Prog. Phys. 57 (1994) 895-938.

Spectroscopic Photoemission and low energy Electron Microscope



Spectroscopic imaging XPEEM / LEEM

microprobe-diffraction microprobe-spectroscopy ARPES / LEED XPS





contrast

aperture

aperture

field limiting

magnified image of the dispersive plane of the filter

Microprobe measurements are limited to surface areas of 2 microns in diameter!

Spectroscopic Photoemission and low energy Electron Microscope



Performance: <u>lateral resolution</u> in imaging: **10nm** (LEEM)

30 nm (XPEEM)

energy resolution: 0.3 eV (0.2 in microprobe spectroscopy)

Key feature: <u>multi-method</u> approach to the study of surfaces and interfaces, based on *imaging* and *diffraction* techniques.

low energy electrons (0-500 eV) ↔ structure sensitivity *soft X-rays* (50-1000 eV) ↔ chemical state, magnetic state electronic structure

Applications: characterization of materials at microscopic level magnetic imaging of microstrucutres

growth process

3/27/2012

Probe:



3/27/2012

Darkfield LEEM: imaging domains





Au($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)-R30° + Au(5×2) on Si(111) b c,d

LEEM also much brighter and better resolution \Rightarrow dynamical phenomena LEED much easier to interpret than PED \Rightarrow use for structure analysis

Video rate imaging using LEEM



very favourable backscattering cross section at low electron energy!



540 < T < 750 C





References



[3.2] LEEM basics;

E. Bauer; Surf. Rev. Lett. 5, 1275-1286 (1998). doi: <u>110.1142/S0218625X98001614</u>

[3.3] Trends in low energy electron microscopy; M S Altman Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 22,

084017 (2010).

doi: <u>10.1088/0953-8984/22/8/084017</u>

[3.4] LEEM and SPLEEM;

Ernst Bauer; in *Science of Microscopy*, pp. 606-656. edited by P. Hawkes and J. Spence, Kluwer/Springer Academic Publishers, 2007.



- [3.5] Bauer E, 1991 Ultramicroscopy **36** 52.
- [3.6] Bauer E, Koziol C, Lilienkamp G, Schmidt Th 1997 J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 84 201-209.
- [3.7] Schmidt Th, Heun S, Slesak J, Diaz J., Prince KC, Lilienkamp G, Bauer E ; *Surf. Rev. Lett.* 5 1287-1296 (1998).
- [3.8] Locatelli A, Abelle L, Mentes T O, Kiskinova M, Bauer E, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **38**, 1554-1557 (2006).



3/27/2012

Lateral resolution in PEEM: effect of aberrations **CROMATIC SPHERICAL** Ε+ΔΕ E Ε-ΔΕ electrons with larger distance slower (faster) electrons are more (less) deflected from axis are more deflected (stronger field!) $D_{CH} \approx \epsilon \alpha$ $D_{SP} \approx \rho \alpha^3$

 α = acceptance angle, small

DIFFRACTION BY THE APERTURE

 $d_D = 0.6 \lambda / r_A$

Lateral resolution performance

• SPEM: Fresnel zone plate

$$\delta_m = \sqrt{\delta_i^2 + \delta_\sigma^2 + \delta_c^2} = \sqrt{\left(1.22\,\Delta r/m\right)^2 + \left(\sigma\frac{q}{p}\right)^2 + \left(2r\frac{\Delta E}{E}\right)^2}$$

intrinsic **ZP** demagnified chromatic resolution aberration source (from Rayleigh criterion) monochromatic small small outermost zone source size beam e.g. $\Delta r = 100$ nm and typical beamline $\delta_i = 122 \text{ nm}$ $\delta_g = 30 \ \mu m^2 \times 8 \ mm/3 \ m = 80 \ nm$ $\delta_{c} = 100 \,\mu\text{m} \times 0.2 \,\text{eV} / 500 \,\text{eV} = 40 \,\text{nm}$

30nm possible at the state of the art

• PEEM:

 Objective lens and contrast aperture determine lateral resolution

Approximate resolution: $d = \sqrt{d_{SP}^2 + d_{CH}^2 + d_D^2}$



Aberration correction in light optics





Aberration correction in electron optics





Aberration correction by electron mirror





V.K. Zworykin et al, Electron Optics and the Electron Microscope, John Wiley, New York 1945

Improvement with mirror corrector



Simultaneous improvement in

Transmission and Resolution!!!





Resolution limit	without correction	with correction
Spherical aberr.	$\alpha^{3} +$	α^5
Chromatic aberr.	$\Delta E \alpha + \dots$	$\Delta E \alpha^2$
		$+ \Delta E^2 \alpha$
Diffraction	$1/\alpha$	$1/\alpha$

D. Preikszas, H. Rose, J. Electr. Micr. 1 (1997) 1 Th. Schmidt, D. Preikszas, H. Rose et al., Surf.Rev.Lett 9 (2002) 223

XPEEM-LEEM with aberration correction: SMART



Latest Results of the SMART microscope @BESSY





Courtesy of Th. Schmidt et al.; 5th Int. Conf. LEEM/PEEM, Himeji, 15.-19. Oct. 2006

Resolution limitation: space charge effects





A. Locatelli et al, Ultramicroscopy, 2011
References on aberration correction in XPEEM/LEEM



- [4.1] Wichtendahl R et al 1997 *J. Electr. Spectr. Relat. Phenom.* **84** 1249-1256.
- [4.2] Fink R et al 1998 *Surf. Rev. Lett.* **5** 231-250.
- [4.3] Schmidt Th et al 2002 *Surf. Rev. Lett.* **9** 223-232.
- [4.4] J Feng et al 2005 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **17** S1339-S1350.doi:10.1088/0953-8984/17/16/005
- [4.5] Wan W, Feng J, Padmore H A and Robin D S 2004 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys Res. A **519**, 222-229.
- [4.6] Wan W, Feng J and Padmore H A 2006 *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys Res. A* **564**, 537-543.



3/27/2012



Thickness dependent reactivity? Film oxidation

Previous work: QWR Spontaneous dissociation of O₂ O goes below surface 2 layers Mg oxidized Coalescence MgO islands

Bungaro et al, PRL 79, 4433 (1997) Goonewardene et al, Surf. Sci. 501, 102 (2002)

 Micro-XPS: Mg VB reveal oxidation extent and QWR



Mg epitaxial growth



growth is followed *in-situ* by LEEM



Mg/W(110) dep. 405 K, 0.1ML/min 11.1eV, 5 μm

Film thickness is measured by quantum interference contrast



4 - 7 ML Mg/W(110) 0.1-10.1eV/0.2eV, 5 μm

Quantitative characterization of film oxidation elettra 12 15 $1 \, \mu m$ Mg2p 13 12 hv = 112 eV12 13 7/8 7 ML 9 ML 10 9 clean 10 intensity (a.u.) 8 O₂exposure 11 15-14 12 13 12 9-10 6-8 12 11 8 7 13 7 10 9 10 7 13 10 8-9 -48 -54 **E-E_F (eV)** -52 -52 -50 -54 -50 -48 oxide component imaged by XPEEM I_{ox}/I_{tot} reveals chemistry!

Oxidation of Mg film and QWR





- strong variations in the oxidation extent are correleted to thickness and to the density of *bulk* states at E_F
- Control on film thickness enables modifying the molecule surface interaction
- Strong theoretical interest:
 Decay length of QWS into vacuum is critical: it reproduces peak of reactivity in experimental data.
 - N. Binggeli and M. Altarelli, PRL 96, 036805 (2005)

Applications of XPEEM & SPEM

CHEMICAL IMAGING Reorganisation processes driven by surface chemical reactions

Spatio-temporal concentration patterns in surface reactions



VOLUME 65, NUMBER 24

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

10 DECEMBER 1990

Spatiotemporal Concentration Patterns in a Surface Reaction: Propagating and Standing Waves, Rotating Spirals, and Turbulence

S. Jakubith, H. H. Rotermund, W. Engel, A. von Oertzen, and G. Ertl Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, D-1000 Berlin 33, Germany (Received 25 June 1990)



Belousov-Zabatinski reaction (solution of, acidified bromate, malonic acid, ceric salt)



Jakubith et al, PRL 65, 3013 (1990)

Reaction diffusion patterns: NO+H₂ /Rh(110)



3/27/2012

-20

Reaction diffusion patterns: NO+H₂ /Rh(110)





K / NO+H₂ /Rh(110)



- Mass transport by reaction fronts, K accumulation and depletion



L. Honget al. Phys. Rev. E 78, 055203 (2008).

Reactivity



• Au mass transport during water formation reaction on Rh(110)



Characterisation of nano-structured surfaces by XPEEM and LEEM methods

Au/TiO₂(110): controlling growth by substrate stoichiometry elettra Creation of ordered oxygen vacancies Au growth on $TiO_2(110)^{1/2}$ Mirror electron microscopy Field of view 30µm liation time & oxygen vacancy cor Work function contrast MEM 1x1**XPEEM Work Function** 1x2 @ Au 4f Irradiation at 720 K 0.3313 pA/μm² 0.25 ML vacancies 0.44Stochiometric Irradia m; o , «. 0.762200 1x1 1x2 2000 0.61Intensity (arb.units) 1000 - 10000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1800 μ-LEED 0.57/ μ-XPS structure ition wih 1000 Zheleva 800 (1x1)(1x2)eading 94 96 98 100 Kinetic energy (eV) 102 90 92 Condens. Matter 19, 082202 (2007); Phys. Rev. B 76, 155413 (2007). Collaboration with A. Kijena and T. Pabisiack (Wroclaw)

3/27/2012

Surface Oxygen on Ag : *e-beam "Lithography"*

Full oxidation of Ag using NO₂ does not occur:

 $NO_2 \rightarrow NO_{ad} + O_{ad}$

Instead: e-beam (60 eV) stimulated desorption of NO_{ad} works at RT!



Low T: NO_{ad} stays, prevents oxidation

High T: NO_{ad} desorbs,

but Ag₂O unstable.

LEED reveqas path towards Ag₂O under e-beam



S. Günther et al., Chem. Phys. Chem. 2010.

Surface Oxygen on Ag : photon-beam "Lithography"





MEM 28 μm x 350 μm; after 130 L NO₂;



Corrugation in suspended and supported exfoliated graphene: a LEEM, LEED and ARPES study

Exfoliated graphene: thickness determination by LEEM

С

5 µm

Supported

2 µm

Suspended



6

3

6

6

Thickness is revealed by modulations of the electron reflectivity at low electron energies. This is commonly understood as an inteference process, similar to that occurring in a Fabry-Perot interferometer. The number of recorded minima determine the film thickness.



LEED measurements reveal corrugation in graphene



hwhm (00) = $Z_g \eta^{-1} (k_\perp)^{1/\alpha}$

 α = roughness exponent w = interface width η = roughness parameter ξ = lateral correlation length

	SiO ₂ -supported		suspended	
iess (layers)	α	η	α	η
$1 \longrightarrow$	0.49 ± 0.04	77 ± 20	0.54 ± 0.02	84 ± 11
2	0.80 ± 0.04	64 ± 6	0.80 ± 0.05	144 ± 25
3	0.80 ± 0.06	81 ± 14	0.82 ± 0.06	131 ± 24
4	0.77 ± 0.07	104 ± 28		
6	0.80 ± 0.05	133 ± 25		
8	0.80 ± 0.05	157 ± 25		
TG	0.87 ± 0.07	327 ± 70		

- 1. (00) peak width shows a distinct behaviour on SiO₂-supported and suspended G.
- 2. Smoother morphology of multilayers with increasing thickness.

2.0

elettra

Microprobe ARPES





Micro-ARPES from Supported and Suspended graphene





measuring quasi-particle lifetime





By carryng out diffraction profile we could obtain the intrinsic ARPES line width in a fit. This has allowed us to separate corrugation from lifetime broadening effects, confirming that the electronic structure of suspended EG is that of ideal, undoped graphene. These measurements validate the picture that suspended graphene behaves as a marginal Fermi liquid, showing a quasiparticle lifetime that scales as $(E-E_F)^{-1}$, in accord with theory.

3/27/2012

6. Magnetic Imaging by PEEM

- Magnetic domains in thin films: understanding of magnetic state in correlation with structure and morphology
- FM/AFM interfaces; exchange bias; understanding of interfacial spin pinning; understanding of AFM spin structure
- Magnetisation dynamics

Magnetic imaging basics: XMCD



Circular Dichroism - Ferromagnets



X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) is the dependence of <u>x-ray absorption</u> on the relative orientation of the local magnetization and the polarization vector of the circularly polarized light

✓ Element sensitive technique

✓ Secondary imaging with PEEM determine large probing depth (10 nm), buried interfaces.

✓ sum rules allows measuring orbital and spin moments

MnAs/GaAs



Magnetic domain imaging

At resonance, the secondary electron yield is proportional to the dot product between the magnetization direction and the photon helicity vector, which is parallel or anti-parallel to the beam propagation direction according to the handedness of the circular polarization



Owing to the illumination geometry, we are sensitive to the *in plane* component of M

XMCD principles



• We **PROBE** 3d elements by exciting 2p into unfilled 3d states

Dominant channel: $2p \rightarrow 3d$

White line intensity of the L3 and L2 resonances with the number N of empty d states (holes). So 3d electrons determine the magnetic properties.

- The spin moment is given by the imbalance of spin-up and spin-down electrons (holes).
- By using circularly polarized radiation, the angular momentum of the photon can be transferred in part to the spin through the spin-orbit coupling. Photoelectrons with opposite spins are created in the cases of left and right handed polarization. Spin polarization is opposite also for $p_{3/2}$ (L₃) and $p_{1/2}$ (L2) levels.
- The spin-split valence shell is thus a detector for the spin of the excited photoelectron. The size of the dichroism effect scales like cosθ, where θ is the angle between the photon spin and the magnetization direction.
- Refs: IBM. J. Res. Develop. 42, 73 (1998) and J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 200, 470 (1999).

XMCD principles



Experimental Confirmation of the X-Ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism Sum Rules for Iron and Cobalt

PRL 75, 152; 1995

C. T. Chen,¹ Y. U. Idzerda,² H.-J. Lin,^{1,*} N. V. Smith,^{1,†} G. Meigs,¹ E. Chaban,¹ G. H. Ho,^{3,*} E. Pellegrin,¹ and F. Sette^{1,‡}

SUM RULES

$$m_{\rm orb} = -\frac{4 \int_{L_3+L_2} (\mu_+ - \mu_-) d\omega}{3 \int_{L_3+L_2} (\mu_+ + \mu_-) d\omega} (10 - n_{3d}), \quad (1)$$

$$m_{\rm spin} = -\frac{6 \int_{L_3} (\mu_+ - \mu_-) d\omega - 4 \int_{L_3+L_2} (\mu_+ - \mu_-) d\omega}{\int_{L_3+L_2} (\mu_+ + \mu_-) d\omega} \times (10 - n_{3d}) \left(1 + \frac{7\langle T_z \rangle}{2\langle S_z \rangle}\right)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

 $\langle T_z \rangle$ is the expectation value of the magnetic dipole operator

 $\langle S_z \rangle$ is equal to half of $m_{\rm spin}$

REFERENCES

B. T. Thole, P. Carra, F. Sette, and G. van der Laan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1943 (1992); P. Carra, B. T. Thole, M. Altarelli, and X.Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 694 (1993), J.Stöhr et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3748.



Nano-characterization by XMCD-PEEM (imaging)



MAGNETIC STATE using XMCD & XMLD

Co nanodots on Si-Ge



Co - L_a edge

A. Mulders et al,Phys. Rev. B 71,214422 (2005).

structures

patterned

pulse injection



M. Klaeui et al, PRL , PRB 2003 - 2010

domain wall motion induced by spin currents





Spin configturations in Heusler alloys



<u>Co₂FeAl_{0.4}Si_{0.6} rings</u>

(a) 15 nm

 $\underline{La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3}$

Multi-domain to flux-closure states (favored by the shape anisotropy) with decreasing element size, with a thickness-dependent crossover at the micrometer scale.



Magnetic Imaging – present capability





Magnetic imaging basics: XMLD



Linear Dichroism - Antiferromagnets

In the presence of spin order the spin-orbit coupling leads to preferential charge order relative to the spin direction, which is exploited to determine spin axis in antiferromagnetic systems.

✓ Element sensitive technique

✓ Secondary imaging with PEEM determine large probing depth (10 nm), buried interfaces.

✓ Applied in AFM systems (oxides such as NiO)

<u>Absorption intensity at resonance</u> $I(\vartheta, \theta, T) = a + b(3\cos^2\vartheta - 1)\langle Q_{ZZ} \rangle$

+
$$c(3\cos^2\theta - 1)\langle M^2\rangle_T$$
 + $d\sum_{i,j}\langle \hat{s}_i \cdot \hat{s}_j\rangle_T$

1st term: quadrupole moment, i.e.electronic charge (not magnetic!) 2nd term determines XMLD effect; Θ is the angle between E and magnetic axis A; XMLD max for E || A; M reflects long range magnetic order



Linear vertical and linear horizontal polarization of the photon beam are used

Applications of XMCD and XMLD



Co layer

Direct observation of the alignment of ferromagnetic spins by antiferromagnetic spins

F. Nolting*, A. Scholl*, J. Stöhr†, J. W. Seo\$, J. Fompeyrine\$, H. Siegwart\$, J.-P. Locquet\$, S. Anders*, J. Lüning†, E. E. Fullerton†, M. F. Toney†, M. R. Scheinfein $\|$ & H. A. Padmore*

Nature, 405 (2000), 767.

Figure 1 Images and local spectra from the antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic layers for 1.2-nm Co on LaFeO₃/SrTiO₃(001). **a**, Fe L-edge XMLD image; **b**, Co L-edge XMCD image. The contrast in the images arises from antiferromagnetic domains in LaFeO₃ (**a**) and ferromagnetic spins as indicated below the images. The spectra shown underneath were recorded in the indicated areas and illustrate the origin of the intensity contrast in the PEEM images. ferromagnet/antiferromagnet Co/LaFeO3

ferromagnet/antiferromagnet Co/LaFeO3 bilayer, demonstrating interface exchange coupling between the two materials LaFeO₃ layer **XMLD Fe L₃**



Photon Energy (eV)

Photon Energy (eV)

Antiferromagnetic domain imaging using AFM-LEEM

- NiO model system with well known bulk magnetic domain structure.
- 12 possible AFM domains ("T") in a bulk NiO single crystal



 Coherent exchange scattering: Palmberg et al: Phys. Rev.Lett. 21, 682 (1968).

Darkfield LEEM on half order spots



elettra

Antiferromagnetic domain imaging using AFM-LEEM



<u>Cleaved NiO</u>

NiO/Ag(100) in-situ growth



K. Menon et al, PRB 84, 132402 (2011), rapid comm.



MnAs/GaAs(100): epitaxial films

- Two phases coexist at RT
 - Hexagonal α phase (FM)
 - Orthorombic β phase (PM)



Very large misfit along [0001] direction \rightarrow coincidence lattice

7% misfit along [11-20] direction \rightarrow strain Strain relaxation expansion normal to the film



Limited probing depth of XMCD: domain strucutre of stripes elettra type (I) simulatior simulation type (III) simulation type (II) double 'S' state diamond state schematic diamond state schematic schematic (a) (c) (b)

<u>Experiment</u>: Straight walls; Head to head domains <u>Simulation</u>: Cross sectional cut: diamond state

180 nm MnAs

R. Engel-Herbert et al, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 305 (2006) 457



3/27/2012
Motivation of time resolved magnetic imaging



- Switching processes (magnetisation reversal) in magnetic elements (in spin valves, tunnel junction)
 - Nucleation, DW propagation or both?
 - Effect of surface topography, morphology crystalline structure etc.
 - Domain dynamics in Landau flux closure structures.
- response of vortices, domains, domain walls in Landau closure domains in the precessional regime
- Stroboscopic technique:
 - only reversible processes can be studied by pump probe experiments
 - Spatial and time resolution are still limited

Time resolved PEEM techniques for magnetic imaging

Stroboscopic experiments combine high lateral resolution of PEEM with high time resolution, taking advantage of pulsed nature of synchrotron radiation



elettra

Magnetic excitations in LFC structures

PRL 94, 217204 (2005)

Quantitative Analysis of Magnetic Excitations in Landau Flux-Closure Structures Using Synchrotron-Radiation Microscopy

J. Raabe,^{1,*} C. Quitmann,¹ C. H. Back,² F. Nolting,¹ S. Johnson,¹ and C. Buehler¹

The time dependent magnetization is described by the phenomenological Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{M} = -\gamma_0\vec{M}\times\vec{H}_{\rm eff} + \frac{\alpha}{M}\left(\vec{M}\times\frac{d}{dt}\vec{M}\right).$$

The first term describes the precession of the magnetization \vec{M} about the total effective field \vec{H}_{eff} . The second term describes the relaxation back into the equilibrium state using the dimensionless damping parameter α .



Quantitative measurement of:

- Vortex displacement (max 750 nm)
- Domain wall displacement and buldging
- Vortex velocity (~ 700 m/s)
- Quantitative time-dependent magnetisation
- Fourier analysis



Magnetic excitations in LFC structures

PRL 94, 217204 (2005)

Quantitative Analysis of Magnetic Excitations in Landau Flux-Closure Structures Using Synchrotron-Radiation Microscopy

J. Raabe,^{1,*} C. Quitmann,¹ C. H. Back,² F. Nolting,¹ S. Johnson,¹ and C. Buehler¹

The time dependent magnetization is described by the phenomenological Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{M} = -\gamma_0\vec{M}\times\vec{H}_{\rm eff} + \frac{\alpha}{M}\left(\vec{M}\times\frac{d}{dt}\vec{M}\right).$$

The first term describes the precession of the magnetization \vec{M} about the total effective field \vec{H}_{eff} . The second term describes the relaxation back into the equilibrium state using the dimensionless damping parameter α .

torque $\vec{T} = -\gamma_0 \vec{M} \times \vec{H}_{eff}$





References on time resolved XMCD-PEEM



- [8.1] Choe S-B, Acermann Y, Scholl A, Bauer A, Doran A, Stöhr J and Padmore H A 2004 Science **304** 420
- [8.2] Schneider C M, Kuksov A, Krasyuk A, Oelsner A, Neeb D, Nepijko S A, Schönhense G, Mönch I, Kaltofen R, Morais J, de Nadaï C and Brookes N B 2004 Appl. Phys.Lett. 85 2562
- [8.3] Schneider C M, Krasyuk A, Nepijko S A, Oelsner A and Schönhense G 2006 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **304** 6
- [8.4] Krasyuk A, Wegelin F, Nepijko S A, Elmers H J and Schönhense G 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 207201,
- [8.5] Raabe J, Quitmann C, Back C H, Nolting F, Johnson S, and Buehler C, 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** 217204.
- [8.6] Buess M, Raabe J, Perzlmaier K, Back C H and Quitmann C 2006 *Phys. Rev. B* **74** 100404
- [8.7] Kuch W, Vogel J, Camarero J, Fukumoto K, Pennec Y, Pizzini S, Bonfim M and Kirschner J 2004 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85** 440
- [8.8] Vogel J, Kuch W, Hertel R, Camarero J, Fukumoto K, Romanens F, Pizzini S, Bonfim M, Petroff F, Fontaine A and Kirschner J 2005 *Phys. Rev. B* **72** 220402
- [8.9] Fukumoto K, Kuch W, Vogel J, Romanens F, Pizzini S, Camarero J, Bonfim M and Kirschner J 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 097204
- [8.10] Pennec Y, Camarero J, Toussaint J C, Pizzini S, Bonfim M, Petroff F, Kuch W, Offi F, Fukumoto K, Nguyen Van Dau F and Vogel J 2004 *Phys. Rev. B* **69** 180402

Spectromicroscopy with the XPEEM microscope



– XPEEM

- » Chemical maps
- » Chemical state (core level shifts)
- » High versatility
- » Limitation: size (< 30 nm) and flux: aberration corrected?

– LEEM, micro-LEED

- » Structure
- » Study of dynamic processes

– XMCD and XMLD PEEM

- » Magnetic state in nanostructures and thin films
- » Element sensitivity
- » Thin films and buried interfaces
- » High lateral and time resolution