



2357-20

Innovations in Strongly Correlated Electronic Systems: School and Workshop

6 - 17 August 2012

Magnetism in Parent Compound for Fe-Chalcogenides

Natalia PERKINS

University of Wisconsin-Madison Physics Department 1150 University Ave. Madison WI 53706-1390 U.S.A.

#### Magnetism in Parent compound for Fe-Chalcogenides

#### Natalia Perkins

in collaboration with

Samuel Ducatman and Andrey Chubukov

University of Wisconsin-Madison





August 24, 2012

#### Fe-pnictides and chalcogenides



2D Fe-As layers with As above and below a square lattice formed by Fe  $Fe_{1+y}$ Te: the simplest structure composed of only the FeTe layers

## Metallic behavior of parent FeAs compounds



Fe-pnictides are doped metals

#### Two phase transitions in parent compounds of Fe-pnictides (1111 and 122)

Structural transition at high temperature: tetragonal to orthorhombic



Magnetic transition to  $(0,\pi)$  or  $(\pi,0)$ state at lower temperature in the unfolded BZ



Magnetic order in pnictides can be reasonably well understood within itinerant scenario - the locations of the FSs and electron-electron interactions select two possible momenta for the order  $-(0, \pi)$  and  $(\pi, 0)$  – in the Fe-only BZ.



nearly perfect nesting between electron and hole FSs stripe order: Q =( $\pi$ ,0) or Q=(0, $\pi$ )

ground state manifold  $O(3) \times Z_2$ 

Pre-emptive Ising (nematic) order:  $C_4 \rightarrow C_2$ 

Details in lectures of Rafael Fernandes last week

Upon doping, LRO is lost, but magnetic fluctuations evolve smoothly and remain peaked at or near  $(0, \pi)$  and  $(\pi, 0)$ .

The same magnetic order is selected in the strong coupling approach, in which magnetic properties are reasonably well described by J1 – J2 model (Goes back to Larkin, Coleman, Chandra (1990)).

#### FeTe may be more strongly correlated

 $\bigcirc$ 



Y.Mizuguchi and Y. Takano (2010)

### The single first-order magnetic and structural transition to the monoclinic P21/m space in FeTe at T=69 K



Li et. al., PRB (2009).

Magnetic order in FeTe has momenta  $\pm(\pi/2, \pm \pi/2)$ . However, this does not uniquely determine spin configuration as a generic  $\pm(\pi/2, \pm \pi/2)$  order is a superposition of two different Q-vectors:  $(\pi/2, -\pi/2)$  and  $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .

Liu et al., (2010); Mizuguchi and Takano(2010); Lipsocombe et al.(2011); I. Zaliznyak, *et al*,(2012)...

### Doped Fe(1+d)Te



For 0.12<d<0.15 - two distinct transitions. Magnetic transition is at a higher T than the structural one.

Roessler et. al., PRB (2011).

Two-step structural phase transition tetragonal-orthorhombic-monoclinic



Y.Mizuguchi et all 2012

#### Two possible collinear configurations for parent compound FeTe



#### **Orthogonal Double Stripe (ODS)**

Preserves C4 rotational symmetry, But breaks Z4 translational symmetry **Diagonal Double Stripe (DDS)** Breaks C4 rotational symmetry

# Minimal model and classical ground state

Heisenberg  $J_1$ -  $J_2$ -  $J_3$  Model

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + J_3 \sum_{\langle \langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$



F. Ma, *et.al* (2009) R. Yu, *et. al* (2011) P. Sindzingre,*et. al* (2010) J. Reuther, *et al*.(2011)

# Minimal model and classical ground state

Heisenberg  $J_1$ -  $J_2$ -  $J_3$  Model

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + J_3 \sum_{\langle \langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$

$$J_3 > J_2/2 > J_1$$

F. Ma et al, PRL (2009)

In this limit, the classical ground state is a spiral with the pitch vector  $\mathbf{Q} = (\pm q, \pm q)$ , where  $q = \arccos(\frac{-J_1}{2J_2+4J_3})$ . Classical energy of the spiral is  $E_{cl} = -(2J_3 + \frac{J_1^2}{2J_2+4J_3})NS^2$ 

Classical energy of the double stripes is  $E_{cl}=-2J_3NS^2$ 

#### Quantum fluctuations

- Classically both DDS and ODS are unstable with respect to the spiral state at any  $J_1 \neq 0$ .
- We study if quantum fluctuations within 1/S expansion can stabilize either ODS or DDS.
- We argue that quantum fluctuations lift accidental degeneracies and gap out some of the spinwave modes which in the classical limit become unstable at *J*<sub>1</sub> ≠ 0.



For  $J_3 > J_2/2$  quantum fluctuations select stripe configuration for each sublattice: the angle  $\gamma$  is locked at  $\gamma = 0$  or  $\gamma = \pi$ , and the angle  $\theta$  is locked at  $\theta = \phi$  or  $\theta = \pi + \phi$ . The collinear DDS and ODS states have different locking of  $\phi$  between the nearest-neighbor spins. DDS:  $\phi = 0$ ,  $\theta = \pi$  or  $\phi = \pi$ ,  $\theta = 0$ ODS:  $\phi = \theta = 0$  or  $\phi = \theta = \pi$ .

#### $J_1 = 0$ : Spin-wave excitations

The linear spin-wave spectrum is the same for all selected states.

$$H_{sw} = S(\Omega_{\alpha \mathbf{k}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} + \Omega_{\beta \mathbf{k}} \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k}})$$
  

$$\uparrow \text{Even sites} \qquad \uparrow \text{Odd sites}$$
  

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{k}} = S(A_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} - B_{\mathbf{k}}^{2})^{1/2}, \ A_{\mathbf{k}} = 4J_{3} + 2J_{2}\cos(k_{x} + k_{y}),$$
  

$$B_{\mathbf{k}} = 2J_{2}(\cos 2k_{x} + \cos 2k_{y}) + 2J_{2}\cos(k_{x} - k_{y}).$$



Nodes at  $\pm (\pi/2, \pm \pi/2)$ , but some of them are not symmetry-related and are lifted by quantum fluctuations.



The order has momentum  $\pm(\pi/2, -\pi/2)$  for both the ODS and the DDS states ( $\gamma=0$  or  $\gamma=\pi$ ). Thus, the nodes at  $\pm(\pi/2, \pi/2)$  must be lifted by quantum fluctuations.



The order has momentum  $\pm(\pi/2, \pi/2)$  for the ODS-state ( $\phi = \theta = 0$  or  $\phi = \theta = \pi$ ), and  $\pm(\pi/2, -\pi/2)$  for the DDS-state ( $\phi = 0, \theta = \pi$ or  $\phi = \pi, \theta = 0$ ). Quantum fluctuations then must lift the nodes at  $\pm(\pi/2, -\pi/2)$  for the ODS and at  $\pm(\pi/2, \pi/2)$  for the ODS. The spectrum renormalized by quantum fluctuations for DDS state.



The same is true for the ODS state: accidental nodes are lifted by quantum fluctuations and only true Goldstone modes remain.

#### $J_1 \neq 0$ . DDS states.

- A non-zero J<sub>1</sub> couples the two sublattices
- For DDS states the stripes on even and odd sites are directed parallel to each other, and the dispersions of α<sub>k</sub> and β<sub>k</sub> magnons are identical, including 1/S corrections. The two dispersions are then gapless at the same momenta ±(π/2, -π/2). Expanding these true Goldstone points at gives Ω<sup>α</sup> = Ω<sup>β</sup> ~ 4S<sub>2</sub> √(L(2)L + L))(˜k<sup>2</sup> + ˜k<sup>2</sup> 2α˜k ˜k )<sup>1</sup>/2

ves 
$$\Omega^{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} = \Omega^{\beta}_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \approx 4S\sqrt{J_3(2J_3+J_2)}(\tilde{k}_x^2 + \tilde{k}_y^2 - 2a\tilde{k}_x\tilde{k}_y)^{1/2}$$



Coupling between sublattices:

$$\delta H_{DDS} = \Delta^{DDS}_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} (\alpha^{\dagger}_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \beta_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} + \alpha_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \beta_{-\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} + h.c)$$

$$\Delta_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{DDS} = \frac{J_1 S}{2} \left(\frac{2J_3 + J_2}{J_3}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{k}_y - \tilde{k}_x}{(\tilde{k}_x^2 + \tilde{k}_y^2 - 2a\tilde{k}_x\tilde{k}_y)^{1/2}}$$

#### DDS state.



The coupling term  $\delta H_{DDS}$  remains finite when we approach to the Goldstone point. After diagonalizing one of the two solutions become negative:

$$E_{\tilde{k}}^2 \approx -2\Omega_{\tilde{k}}^{\alpha(\beta)} \Delta_{\tilde{k}}^{DDS}$$

The excitations become purely imaginary near Goldston modes. Fluctuations around a DDS state grow exponentially with time and make this state unstable.

#### $J_1 \neq 0$ . ODS states.

For ODS states the stripes on even and odd sites are directed perpendicular to each other, and the dispersions of α<sub>k</sub> and β<sub>k</sub> magnons are different. Near any of the points ±(π/2, -π/2) or ±(π/2, π/2), the zero in one branches (α<sub>k</sub> or β<sub>k</sub>) is lifted by quantum fluctuations. Again we expand near Goldstone points



The interactions term has the same form  $\delta H_{ODS} = \Delta^{ODS'} \tilde{\mathbf{k}} \left( \alpha_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} + \alpha_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \beta_{-\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} + h.c \right)$ but  $\Delta_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{ODS} = 2J_1 S^2 (2J_3 + J_2) \frac{\tilde{k}_y - \tilde{k}_x}{(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{\alpha} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{\beta})^{1/2}} = O\left(J_1 S^{3/2} |\tilde{k}|^{1/2}\right)$ 

#### ODS state.



The coupling term  $\delta H_{ODS}$  is linear in kwhen we approach to the Goldstone point. After diagonalizing, we get

$$\begin{split} E_{1,2}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \Big( (\Omega_{\tilde{k}}^{\alpha})^2 + (\Omega_{\tilde{k}}^{\beta})^2 \\ &\pm \sqrt{((\Omega_{\tilde{k}}^{\alpha})^2 - (\Omega_{\tilde{k}}^{\beta})^2)^2 + 16(\Delta_{\tilde{k}}^{ODS})^2 \Omega_{\tilde{k}}^{\alpha} . \Omega_{\tilde{k}}^{\beta}} \Big) \end{split}$$

One of the solutions is gapped to order 1/S, the other is linear in  $\tilde{k}$  with the stiffness which differs from its value at  $J_1 = 0$  by  $O(J_1S/J_3)$ .

The ODS states are stable as long as  $J_1S/J_3$  is small.

#### Final remarks (I)

#### • Experimental signatures of ODS state.

 $T = 10 \text{ K}, E_i = 40 \text{ meV}.$  Lattice Lorentzian Fit. Cluster Model Fit. o Intensity (norm. k (rlu) (g) Intensity (norm. cts.) 5.0 k (rlu) -1 (e) (h) 0.10 (0.00 Intensity (norm. cts.) 20.0(5 k (rlu)  $v^2 = 0.6$ -1 (c)  $v^2 = 0.6$ -1 1 -1 0 1 -1 0 0 1 h (rlu) h (rlu) h (rlu)

Neutron scattering experiments suggested the plaquette order. *I. Zaliznyak, et al (2011)* 



#### Final remarks(II)

 Absence of a pre-emptive spin-nematic transition plus monoclinic distortion below T<sub>N</sub> point to more symmetric ODS state. On contrary, the DDS state has O(3)·Z(2) symmetry like in the simple stripe phase of other Fe-pnictides. Thus, one would expect pre-emptive nematic transition.

### Final remarks(III)

The succession of different pressure and temperature-induced structural and magnetic phases indicates the presence of strong magneto-elastic coupling effects in Fe-chalcogenides.
 To be studied in the

future!



### Thank you