



2358-4

Joint ICTP-IAEA Workshop on Nuclear Structure Decay Data: Theory and Evaluation

6 - 17 August 2012

XUNDL

B. Singh McMaster University Canada

Compilation of current Experimental Nuclear Structure and Decay papers: XUNDL database

Balraj Singh

McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

IAEA-ICTP Nuclear Structure and Decay Data Workshop August 6-17, 2012



1. XUNDL database, including compilation of post AME-2003 mass measurement papers

2. Plan of practical work on A=211



Acknowledgments - About Us - Comments/Questions - Disclaimer

XUNDL: What? When and why? Who?

eXperimental Unevaluated Nuclear Data List

Compiled (in ENSDF format) Nuclear Structure data from reactions and radioactive decays from current papers in PR-C, PRL, NP-A, EPJ-A, PL-B, JP-G, and several others including some arXiv preprints. In general, information is provided from a single journal article, or from a set of follow-up articles by the same experimental group.

Topics: level schemes from reactions and decays, half-lives, level lifetimes, spins, rotational and quasi-rotational bands, B(E2), moments, nuclear radius, etc.

Started in December 1998 at McMaster University in response to recommendations of an advisory panel for nuclear data program set up by Office of Science, DOE in 1996, that high-spin data in ENSDF database were lagging far behind. Until 2004, compilations were for high-spin papers only. Since then all experimental structure papers are compiled.

XUNDL: What? When and why? Where?

- Bulk (~80%) of the compilations are done at McMaster; compilations received from other centers are reviewed at McMaster.
- Since April 2008, Dr. Filip Kondev at ANL: NP-A, PL-B, JP-G articles
- Since April 2009, Dr. John Kelley at TUNL: A=2-20
- Some other researchers have contributed also in last 2-3 years.
- Most papers compiled within a month or earlier of their appearance on journal web pages. Example: July 2012 papers in PRC, PRL and EPJ-A are already in the database.

XUNDL: Purpose and Scope

- Provides prompt internet access to nuclear structure data in current publications through on-line retrieval system at NNDC, BNL; and through RADWARE level-scheme database at ORNL.
- Complements ENSDF database, where data for some of the nuclides may be several years old and outdated.
- Serves as a repository of relevant data details which for one reason or another do not appear in publications. Dr. Schiffer's group from ANL, for example, sent data tables and other details for four of their papers in PRL/PRC to include only in XUNDL.

XUNDL: Purpose and Scope

- Not limited to regular journal publications only.
- At the request of researchers, unpublished data for completed studies or from preprints can also be included. Papers dealing only with analysis of experimental data can also be included.
- It is called "Unevaluated", yet each paper goes through a critical compilation with partial evaluation within the context of the paper, and problems identified and resolved. However, no comparisons are made with papers from other labs on the same topic.

XUNDL: Procedures

- Identify articles: scanning of journal web pages on a daily basis.
- Identify data-related problems or lack of detailed data in a paper. If so, consult author(s) for clarification or obtaining data details. Extensive communications with authors have taken place with about 600 of these since 1999. Several errata have appeared as a result of these.
- For large data tables, commercial codes Finereader/Adobe-9 Professional used to scan and create tabular text files from PDF files downloaded from journal web pages.
- TABULAR-TEXT-to-ENSDF conversion code, developed at McMaster used to generate draft ENSDF-formatted data sets.
- Manual editing of draft data sets to add comments and other items. Manual preparation of data sets when no large tables.
- Data sets run through FMTCHK, GTOL, Brlcc (if necessary); and for decay datasets also through LOGFT codes.

XUNDL: Procedures

- Level schemes, bands, and other numerical data in the compiled data sets run through LBNL's ISOTOPE-EXPLORER and/or BNL's PANDORA.
- Finally all data sets checked manually. Sent to NNDC on a weakly basis.
- Database management is at NNDC, BNL.
- Browse database by nuclide, mass number, index. Also by article through NSR database.
- However, no search capabilities as yet.
- Comments from users welcome. Corrections made if necessary.

XUNDL: Procedures

- At McMaster, most draft datasets are prepared by one or two undergraduate (first and second-year Physics) students, working full-time in summer and part-time during semesters. They also get an opportunity to participate in experimental work in nuclear astrophysics with Dr. Alan Chen. Students get training in basic nuclear physics, use of ENSDF, NSR databases; and all the computer codes related to ENSDF work.
- Undergraduate student participation for regular updating of XUNDL database is absolutely necessary.

Paper Appears

PRL 108, 262502 (2012)

2012Do07

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Key Resonances in the ${}^{30}P(p, \gamma){}^{31}S$ Gateway Reaction for the Production of Heavy Elements in ONe Novae

D. T. Doherty,¹ G. Lotay,¹ P. J. Woods,¹ D. Seweryniak,² M. P. Carpenter,² C. J. Chiara,^{2,3} H. M. David,¹ R. V. F. Janssens,² L. Trache,⁴ and S. Zhu²

 ¹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom
 ²Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA
 ³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
 ⁴Cyclotron Institute, Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA (Received 9 March 2012; published 28 June 2012)

Material emitted as ejecta from ONe novae outbursts is observed to be rich in elements as heavy as Ca. The bottleneck for the synthesis of elements beyond sulphur is the ${}^{30}P(p, \gamma){}^{31}S$ reaction. Its reaction rate is, however, not well determined due to uncertainties in the properties of key resonances in the burning regime. In the present study, gamma-ray transitions are reported for the first time from all key states in ${}^{31}S$ relevant for the ${}^{30}P(p, \gamma){}^{31}S$ reaction. The spins and parity of these resonances have been deduced, and energies have been measured with the highest precision to date. The uncertainty in the estimated ${}^{30}P(p, \gamma){}^{31}S$ reaction rate has been drastically reduced. The rate using this new information is typically higher than previous estimates based on earlier experimental data, implying a higher flux of material processed to high-*Z* elements in novae, but it is in good agreement with predictions using the Hauser-Feshbach approach at higher burning temperatures.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.262502

PACS numbers: 23.20.Lv, 21.10.Dr, 26.50.+x

week ending

29 JUNE 2012

Collect relevant data

TABLE I. Properties of excited states in ³¹S. Level energies are corrected for the recoil of the compound nucleus. The first column lists the most precise energy measurement of the state from previous work.

E_x (keV) (previous)	E_x (keV) (present)	E_r (keV)	E_{γ} (keV)	a_2/a_4	R _{DCO}	ΔJ	Assignment
$6134(2)^{a}$	6138.3(21)	7.4(21)	2785.7(20) ^c			0, 2	$(3/2,7/2)^+ \rightarrow 7/2^+$
			$4889.5(6)^{c}$	0.15(12)/0.03(14)	1.12(24)	0, 2	$(3/2,7/2)^+ \rightarrow 3/2^+$
$6160.2(7)^{b}$	6158.5(5)	27.6(6)	1707.6(3)	0.22(2) / - 0.07(3)	1.08(7)	0	$7/2^+ \rightarrow 7/2^-$
			2873.9(6)			1	$7/2^+ \rightarrow 5/2^+$
$6259(1)^{a}$		129.1(10)					$1/2^{+}$
$6283(2)^{a}$		152.1(21)					$3/2^+$; $T = 3/2$
$6327(2)^{a}$	6327.0(5)	196.1(6)	5077.7(5) ^c	0.14(7)/0.10(9)	0.90(24)	0	$3/2^- \rightarrow 3/2^+$
$6357(2)^{a}$	6357.3(2)	226.4(5)	$5108.0(2)^{c}$	-0.25(5)/-0.01(7)	0.49(11)	1	$5/2^- \rightarrow 3/2^+$
6376.9(5) ^b	6376.9(4)	246.0(6)	1925.7(2)	-0.71(1)/0.03(1)	0.44(2)	1	$9/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^-$
			3025.4(3)	-0.46(1)/-0.01(2)	0.58(2)	1	$9/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^+$
-	6392.5(2)	261.6(5)	$5143.1(2)^{c}$	-0.25(3)/-0.09(3)	0.75(3)	1	$5/2^+ \rightarrow 3/2^+$
$6393.7(5)^{b}$	6394.2(2)	263.3(4)	1091.2(4)	-0.37(5)/-0.01(1)	0.47(2)	1	$11/2^+ \rightarrow 9/2^+$
			3042.9(1)	0.26(1) / - 0.26(1)	1.33(3)	2	$11/2^+ \rightarrow 7/2^+$
$6543(2)^{a}$	6541.9(4)	411.0(6)	$5292.5(4)^{c}$	0.13(4) / - 0.03(6)	0.94(15)	0	$3/2^- \rightarrow 3/2^+$
$6585(2)^{a}$	6583.1(20)	452.2(21)	3298.0(20) ^c			0, 1	$(5/2,7/2)^- \rightarrow 5/2^+$
6636.3(15) ^b	6636.1(7)	505.2(8)	2184.9(4)			1	$9/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^-$
			3284.7(2)	-0.48(1)/-0.02(2)	0.49(3)	1	$9/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^+$

^aRef. [11]. ^bRef. [14].

^cGamma rays observed for the first time in this work.

Collect relevant data



FIG. 2 (color online). Mirror diagram for states within 500 keV of the ${}^{30}P + p$ threshold. Excitation energies and J^{π} values in ${}^{31}S$ are from the present work with ${}^{31}P$ from Refs. [20,21,25].

Mirror Nuclei: ³¹P: spins and parities are not all correct based on recent evaluation. This is not mentioned in XUNDL dataset but will get discussed in ENSDF evaluation.

Write in ENSDF format

31S 28SI(4HE,NG):XUNDL-11 2012D007 201207 31S c Compiled (unevaluated) dataset from 2012Do07: 31S 2c Phys Rev Lett 108, 262502 (2012) 31S c Compiled by E. Thiagalingam and B. Singh (McMaster); July 9, 2012 31S c Updated by B. Singh, July 18, 2012 31S c The experiment was performed using the Argonne ATLAS accelerator 31S c {+4}He beam at E=22 MeV. Target=120 |mg/cm{+2} {+28}Si. Gamma rays 31S 2c detected by a Gammasphere array. Measured E[g, I[g, [g]g-coin, [g([q]),31S 3c DCO ratios. Deduced levels, J, p, reaction rates for 31S 4c {+30}P(p, g){+31}S of astrophysical interest 31S c Comparison of level energies, spins and parities with those in 31S 2c mirror nucleus {+31}P 31S L 0.0 1/2+31S cL J\$ from Adopted dataset for {+31}S in ENSDF database 31S L 1248.8 3/2+ 31S L 2235.6 31S L 3284.6 5/2+ 31S L 3352.9 7/2+ 7/2-31S L 4451.0 L 5304.7 31S 9/2+ 31S L 6138.3 21(3/2,7/2)+31S cL \$Ep{-res}=7.4 {I21} 31S G 2785.7 20 31S G 4889.5 6 31S 2 G DCO=1.12 24 \$ A2=+0.15 12 \$ A4=+0.03 14 31S L 6158.5 5 7/2+ 31S cL \$Ep{-res}=27.6 {I6} 31S G 1707.6 3 31S 2 G DCO=1.08 7 \$ A2=+0.22 2 \$ A4=-0.07 3 31S G 2873.9 6 5 3/2-31S L 6327.0 31S cL \$Ep{-res}=196.1 {I6} 31S G 5077.7 5 31S 2 G DCO=0.90 24 \$ A2=+0.14 7 \$ A4=+0.10 9

Display in HTML/NDS format

28SI(4HE,NG):XUNDL-11 2012Do07

Compiled (unevaluated) dataset from 2012De07: Phys Rev Lett 108, 262502 (2012). Compiled by E. Thiagalingam and B. Singh (McMaster); July 9, 2012. Updated by B. Singh, July 18, 2012. The experiment was performed using the Argonne ATLAS accelerator. ⁴He beam at E=22 MeV. Target=120 µg/cm^{2 28}SI. Gamma rays detected by a Gammasphere array. Measured Ey, Iy, yy-coin,

The beam at $\Sigma = 22$ mev. Large the properties of the properties

sigles, spins and particles with those in mirror i

³¹S Levels

E(level)	Jπ	Comments
0.0	1/2+	$J\pi$: from Adopted dataset for ³¹ S in ENSDF database.
1248.8	3/2+	
2235.6		
3284.6	5/2+	
3352.9	7/2+	
4451.0	7/2-	
5304.7	9/2+	
6138.3 21	(3/2,7/2)+	Ep _{res} =7.4 21.
6158.5 5	7/2+	$E_{p_{res}}=27.6~6.$
6327.0 5	3/2-	$E_{p_{res}} = 196.1 \delta$.
6357.3 2	5/2-	Epres=226.4 5.
6376.9 4	9/2-	$E p_{res} = 246.0 \delta$.
6392.5 2	5/2+	Ep _{ren} =261.6 5.
6394.2 2	11/2+	Epres=263.3 4.
6541.94	3/2-	$Ep_{ren} = 411.0 \delta$.
6583.1 20	(5/2,7/2)-	Epres=452.2 21.
6636.1 7	9/2-	Ep _{res} =505.2 8.

 $\gamma(^{31}S)$

Eγ	E(level)	Comment	s
1091.2 4	6394.2	DCO=0.47 2: A_=-0.37 5: A_=-0.01 1.	
1707.6 3	6158.5	$DCO=1.08$ 7; $A_2=+0.22$ 2; $A_4=-0.07$ 3.	
1925.7 2	6376.9	$DCO=0.44$ 2; $A_2=-0.71$ 1; $A_4=+0.03$ 1.	
2184.9 4 2785.7 20	6636.1 6138.3		
2873.9 6	6158.5		
3025.4 3	6376.9	DCO=0.58 2; $A_2=-0.46$ 1; $A_4=-0.01$ 2.	
3284.7 2	6636.1	$DCO=0.49 \ 3; \ A_2=-0.48 \ 1; \ A_4=-0.02 \ 2.$	
3298.0 20	6583.1		
4889.56	6138.3	$DCO=1.12\ 24; A_2=+0.15\ 12; A_4=+0.03\ 14.$ $DCO=0.90\ 24; A_2=+0.14\ 7; A_4=+0.10\ 9$	
5108.0 2	6357.3	DCO=0.49 11; $A_2=-0.25$ 5; $A_4=-0.01$ 7.	
5143.1 2	6392.5	$DCO=0.75$ 3; $A_2=-0.25$ 3; $A_4=-0.09$ 3.	
5292.5 4	6541.9	$DCO=0.94 \ 15$; $A_2=+0.13 \ 4$; $A_4=-0.03 \ 6$.	

5.90 m	
<u>9/2</u>	6636.1
(5/2,7/2)-	6583.1
3/2-	1111\ 6541.9
11/2+	6394.2
5/2+	6392.5
9/2-	6376.9
5/2-	6357.3
3/2-	6327.0
7/2+	6158.5
(3/2.7/2)+	6138.3
	5304.7
7/2-	4451.0
7/2+	3352.9
5/2+	3284.6
0.2+	0204.0
3/2+	1248.8

0.0

$^{31}_{16}\mathrm{S}_{15}$

1/2 +

28SI(4HE,NG):XUNDL-11 2012Do07 (continued)

Level Scheme

Another Recent Paper

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 86, 014326 (2012)



In-beam spectroscopy of ²¹⁵Rn₈₆

M. E. Debray,^{1,2} M. Davidson,³ J. Davidson,³ A. J. Kreiner,^{1,2,3} M. A. Cardona,^{1,2,3} D. Hojman,^{1,3} D. R. Napoli,⁴ S. Lenzi,⁵ G. de Angelis,⁴ M. De Poli,⁴ A. Gadea,⁴ D. Bazzacco,⁵ C. Rossi-Alvarez,⁵ N. Medina,⁶ and C. A. Ur⁵
¹Departamento de Física, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Buenos Aires, Argentina
²Escuela de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad de San Martín, San Martín, Argentina
³CONICET, 1033 Buenos Aires, Argentina
⁴INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Legnaro, Italy
⁵Dipartimento di Fisica, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy
⁶Departamento de Fisica Nuclear, Universidade de Saō Paulo, Brazil
(Received 29 July 2011; revised manuscript received 4 May 2012; published 20 July 2012)

The yrast level structure of ²¹⁵Rn has been studied by means of in-beam spectroscopy $\alpha - \gamma - \gamma$ coincidence techniques through the ²⁰⁷Pb(¹⁸O,2\alpha 2n) reaction at 93 MeV bombarding energy, using the 8π GASP-ISIS spectrometer at Legnaro. New spectroscopic information has been obtained. The deduced low-lying level scheme of ²¹⁵Rn does not exhibit the alternating parity structure observed in the heavier known isotones ²¹⁶Fr, ²¹⁷Ra, ²¹⁸Ac, and ²¹⁹Th. From this result, the lightest nucleus showing evidence for octupole collectivity is ²¹⁶Fr, defining the lowest-mass corner for this kind of phenomenon as $N \ge 129$ and $Z \ge 87$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014326

PACS number(s): 21.10.Re, 23.20.Lv, 25.70.Gh, 27.80.+w

Collect relevant data

E_{γ}^{a} (keV)	I_{γ}	R	I^{Tot}	Adopt. mult.
123.2	5(1)		42(9)	(<i>M</i> 1) ^b
158.7	22(6)	1.1(5)		
197.0	9(2)	0.9(0.26)	14(3)	E2
203.9	27(6)	0.5(2)	74(16)	M 1
215.6°	4.3(9)			
230.9°	4.1(9)			
273.6	24(5)	0.92(0.25)	28(6)	E2
316.4	25(3)	0.7(2)	37(5)	$M1^{d}$
317.7 ^e	10(2)		15(5)	M1/E2
327.4	10(2)		12(2)	$(E2)^{\mathrm{f}}$
376.4	< 2			
383.5	42(8)	0.6(2)	45(9)	
387.2	78(14)	0.9(2)	82(15)	E2
388.1 ^e	25(7)		26(8)	(E2)
446.2	86(6)	0.85(0.15)	88(7)	E2
482.3	13(1)		13(1)	$(M1/E2)^{f}$
570.2	100(5)	1.0(0.15)	100(5)	E2
572.5°	5(2)			
629.8	26(3)	0.9(3)	26(3)	<i>E</i> 2



Collect relevant data



Display in HTML/NDS format

						207PB(180,2A2NG):XUNDL	201
					115Rn ₁₂₀		
		207 P	B(180.2A2	NG):XUND	. 2012De11	Level Sche	me
d (unevaluated d by R. Singh 3 MeV from 13 rometer. Targe empton-suppre-) dalamet from (McMastar); 4 NFN, Legnare (2 2 mg/cm ² th mod Ge delart	m 2012Dell: July 26, 2011 Satility. Mos hick backed 1 toys and mul	Phys Hav C : L outed N7, 17 by a 25 pg/m tiplicity fills	84, 014326 (d) , η/-, αγ-, (x , ² carbon foil r of 80 BGO 1	17 20, 3013). ##97-colm, y(0) using GAST-INIS Gamma rays wave delacted by GAST wavey of incident. The ships publicle from meeting	Intensities: re	lativ
al ware detect a wore records al leading to	ed by 1515 is d, about 32% levels in ²¹⁸ lb	of which hel	y of 40 AB-B longed to 2n2 ligh-spin law	n channel les da, J, z in ²¹	A icial of 150,100 γ-γ-α coincidenne ing io Servia in ¹¹² En, uthera io 2mln En.		
			_	Rn Levels	<u>h</u> ,		
1zt					Comments		
D/2+ 11/2+ 13/2+ 15/2+ 17/2+ 19/2+ 21/2+ 23/2+ (25/2+)	Ja: Dom ²⁰ Ja: (7/2)+ 1	³ Rn Adopted a samigned in	Levels in E5 Adopted Lev	ISDF dalabase mola of ²¹⁵ En :	n RNEDF dalahasa (rangtlar's nois).		
27/2+ (29/2+)						· ¹² ²⁰ ¹¹	
d equates fit ed by 2012Del	in Hy data (b) 1 based on m	y compilier). millipolarity :	essignments,	hend siruciur	s, and systematics of similar bands in ²¹³ Re, ²¹³ Re		
built on viters	2Bel 19'2					(29/2+) V & & &	27
			-	$\gamma(^{215}Rn)$		0,000,000,000	0
igular anisolri ve to the incis for Ad=1, dipol	apy ratio for a lent beam dir le (ur sireiche	a set of dates wellon. Happen of dipole).	tiors at 31.7" ted ratio is	, 36.0°, 144.0 I for A.L.7, qu	* and 148.2* and the other set at 20* sdrupole (or streithed quadrupsie) and	21/2+ (25/2+)	ę.
B(lown1)	<u></u>	Mult		1(7+0)	Comments		_ లో లో
542. S+z	22 6	(M1)	7.14	42.3	Mull.: from y-ray intensity balance (2012Dell). R(0)=1.1 &	23/2+ V V 90-90'A	a- "
1504.8 1607.8	9 2 27 6	N2 M1	0.552	14 J 74 JE	R(9)=0.52 26. R(9)=0.5 2	21/2+ v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	42 V
	4.1 3						on N-
1007. n 316. 5	24 5 25 3	82 M1	0.183	28 E 37 S	R(0)=0.22.26. Mull.: From measurement of conversion coefficients in ²¹³ Ha g decay by 1957R(202: Jour. Phys. G 12, 92. In ENNEDY database, M1(+R2) is assigned.	$\frac{19/2+}{17/2+}$	2.00
1334.3	101 2	M1+82	0.11 20	15 4	R(9)=0.7 Z.		
		1.871	0.1067	12 3		15/2+	.0
17 31. 1	10 2				the first state of the second state of the sec	10/24	1
1731.1 946.3 383.5+x	10 2 <2 42 II	1821	0.0687	45.9	wy: when have i is in the limit wy added in anthony level of the second	13/2+ v	v ~
1731.1 946.3 353.5+x	10 2 <2 42 8	1821	0.0687	45.3	by other hand of the source o	<u>13/2+</u> ↓	10
1731.1 946.3 353.5+x 1403.8 1234.3	10 2 <2 42 8 78 14 251 7	1 H2 1 H2 (H2)	0.0687 0.0670 0.0665	45 3 82 75 26 8	a) ² Work Ander 1 W Willer, appl. and a fit mathematic fit and a straight from 1. Million of the straight from 1(y+en) and by Heled by Hyles 6 H. R(0)=6 H J. R(0)=6 H J.	13/2+ ↓ 11/2+ ↓	
1731.1 946.3 353.5+x 1403.8 1334.3 1016.5	10 2 <2 42 8 78 14 251 7 86 6	1 H2 1 H2 (H2) H2 H2 (H2)	0.0667 0.0670 0.0665 0.0464	45 3 82 15 26 8 88 7	by "set and a for a solution, speaks in mathematic break actions (Green 2). Mult: General by compliant from Hysen) and by Heldel by DDIFFLE 4. H(1)=4.6 4. H(1)=4.6 4. H(1)=4.6 4.	13/2+	
1731.1 946.3 383.5+r 1403.8 1334.3 1016.5 2287.1 570.1	10 2 <2 42 8 78 14 25% 7 86 6 13 1 100 5 6 2	1 H21 H2 (H2) H2 (M1+H2) H2	0.0687 0.0670 0.0665 0.0464 0.10 7 0.0259	45 3 82 15 26 8 88 7 13 1 100 5	$\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} x_1^* = y_1 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_3 x_4 + i x_4 x_4 x_4 x_4 x_4 x_4 x_4 x_4 x_4 x_4$	<u>13/2+</u> ↓ <u>11/2+</u> ↓ 9/2+	
1731.1 946.3 383.5+x 1403.8 1334.3 1016.5 2287.1 570.1	10 2 <2 42 8 78 14 258 7 86 6 13 1 100 5 5 2	1 H21 H2 (H2) H2 (M1+H2) H2 Centino	0.0687 0.0670 0.0665 0.0464 0.10 7 0.0259 ef an real p	45 3 82 15 26 8 88 7 13 1 100 5 age (footnoise	A ² = 2 ² −	13/2+ ↓ 11/2+ ↓ 9/2+	
	I (une velocited) by R. Singh umain. Targe mpins. angue 1 wave scatter for 1 wav	$\begin{array}{c c} 1 transition of the states 1 form we have 1 & 0 \mbox{ transition of the states 1 \mbox $	$\frac{2 d T}{T_{1,1,1,1}}$ I have valuated a factor factor of the set of the se	$\frac{2 \text{Dist} $	$\frac{\text{DUPLEDOADNUCLUMM}}{Product of the set of the se$	$ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 $	$\frac{1}{12} H_{112}$ $\frac{1}{12} \text{Level Sche}$

2De11 (continued)

eΙγ

542.2+x 383.5 + x

2287.11804.8 1731.11607.8 1403.81334.31016.5 946.3 570.1316.5

0.0

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 86, 014328 (2012)

Competing single-particle and collective behavior in ⁷¹Se

A. R. Howe,^{1,*} R. A. Haring-Kaye,¹ J. Döring,² N. R. Baker,¹ S. J. Kuhn,³ S. L. Tabor,⁴ S. R. Arora,¹ J. K. Bruckman,⁵ and C. R. Hoffman^{4,†}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio Wesleyan University, Delaware, Ohio 43015, USA ²Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz, D-10318 Berlin, Germany ³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Earlham College, Richmond, Indiana 47374, USA

⁴Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA

⁵Department of Physics, Monmouth College, Monmouth, Illinois 61462, USA

(Received 16 December 2011; revised manuscript received 29 June 2012, published 30 July 2012)

The high-spin decay of ⁷¹Se was studied using the ⁵⁴Fe(²³Na, αpn) reaction at 80 MeV and the Florida State University Compton-suppressed Ge array consisting of three clover detectors and seven single-crystal detectors. Based on prompt γ - γ coincidences measured in the experiment, the known level scheme was enhanced and extended to higher spin with 19 new transitions. A band that was previously suggested to have positive parity was reassigned as the "missing" signature partner of an existing negative-parity band. Spins were assigned based on directional correlation of oriented nuclei ratios. Lifetimes of 17 excited states were measured using the Doppler-shift attenuation method. Experimental Q_t values imply an intermediate degree of collective behavior for ⁷¹Se at high spin. Theoretical Q_t values determined from cranked Woods-Saxon (CWS) calculations show better agreement with the experimental ones for the positive-parity states than the negative-parity states. Shape competition and γ softness characterize the low-spin states of the lowest positive- and negative-parity bands based on the CWS calculations. At high spin, triaxial shapes with $\gamma > 0^\circ$ are predicted.

The XUNDL Process: calculational errors

(Reply received from authors August 1: matter resolved)

COMPETING SINGLE-PARTICLE AND COLLECTIVE

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 86, 014328 (2012)

TABLE II. Initial-state spins, γ -ray transition energies, mean lifetimes, electric quadrupole transition strengths B(E2), and transition quadrupole moments $|Q_t|$ in ⁷¹Se measured in this work. Individual mean lifetimes deduced from a DSAM analysis of line shapes measured at the indicated angles from transitions with energy E_{γ} are given in the same row. The accepted mean lifetimes (τ_{acc}) represent the weighted average (based on the uncertainties) of the measurements at 35° and 145° where results are available.

I_i^{π}	E_{γ} (keV)	τ _{prev} ^a (ps)	$\tau_{35^{\circ}}^{b}$ (ps)	$\tau_{145^{\circ}}^{b}$ (ps)	τ_{acc} (ps)	B(E2) (W.u.) ^c	$ Q_t $ (e b)
				Band 1		\sim	
$(\frac{15}{2}^+)$	1263.3			0.39^{+11}_{-10}	0.39^{+11}_{-10}	37^{+13}_{-8}	1.63^{+26}_{-19}
$(\frac{19}{2}^+)$	1033.6			0.43^{+12}_{-9}	0.43^{+12}_{-9}	66^{+17}_{-14}	2.01^{+25}_{-23}
$(\frac{23}{2}^+)$	1045.7		<1.15 ^d		<1.15 ^d	>20	>1.07
-				Band 2			
$\frac{13}{2}$ +	1037.3	1.30(40)	$>2^{e}$	>2 ^e	>2 ^e	<19	<1.25
7 + 2	1150.5	0.77(30)	0.77^{+6e}_{-5}		0.77^{+6e}_{-5}	30(2)	1.40(5)
$\frac{21}{2}^{+}$	1186.9	0.58(40)	0.44(3) ^e	0.36^{+4e}_{-3}	0.41(2) ^e	48(2)	1.69(4)
$\frac{25}{2}^+$)	1199.2		0.56(5)	0.35(6)	0.47(4)	38^{+4}_{-3}	1.46^{+7}_{-6}
$\frac{29}{2}^+$)	1202.0		0.57(5)	0.52(5)	0.54(4)	34^{+3}_{-2}	1.36(5)
$\frac{33}{2}^+$)	1339.2		<0.13 ^d		< 0.13 ^d	>84	>2.09
-				Band 3			
5-	800.9	0.76(40)	>2 ^e	>2 ^e	>2 ^e	<71	<2.24
<u>9</u> -	945.5	<1	1.45^{+8}_{-7}	0.81^{+3}_{-4}	0.92(3)	67(2)	2.03(3)
<u>3</u> -	1077.7		0.56^{+3}_{-2}	0.42(2)	0.48(2)	67(3)	1.95(4)
$\frac{27}{2}^{-}$)	1140.7		0.78^{+8}_{-6}	0.42(6)	0.57(5)	42^{+4}_{-3}	1.52^{+7}_{-6}
$\frac{31}{2}$)	1302.0		< 0.33 ^d	<0.14 ^d	<0.24 ^d	>52	>1.66
-				Band 4			
7-2	909.6		1.88^{+31}_{-24}	1.05^{+13}_{-11}	1.18(11)	64^{+7}_{-5}	2.04^{+10}_{-9}
$\frac{21}{2}^{-}$)	1063.6		0.75(3)	0.62^{+4}_{-3}	0.70(2)	49(1)	1.70(2)
$\frac{25}{2}^{-}$)	1200.9			<0.24 ^d	< 0.24 ^d	>78	>2.08

corrected

18 +7-8 44 +22-23



^aFrom Ref. [20].

^bDetermined from spectra gated from below (GFB) the listed transition, unless otherwise noted.

 $^{\circ}1$ W.u. = 17.46 e^2 fm⁴.

^dEffective lifetime.

^eDetermined from spectra gated from above (GFA) the listed transition.

Number of Papers by Year



Current contents of XUNDL

- 4897 compiled datasets
- 2129 nuclides: A=1-294 spread over 288 isobaric chains. (only A=2, 3, 4, 223, 275, 292 not represented)
- Data extracted from 3120 publications, mostly from 1995-2012 in primary nuclear physics journals.
- About 550 communications with original authors of papers to resolve data inconsistencies or errors, and to obtain additional details of data for completeness and archival purpose.
- This part of the activity is considered as most useful as it is timely and of interest to researchers and ENSDF evaluators.

Mass Compilations

- Penning-trap systems and Radioactive-ion beam facilities have pushed the field of precise mass measurements very fast. Just in 2008-2012, about 90 primary publications in PRL/PRC have appeared for masses of about 500 nuclides, many of these faroff the stability line in chart of nuclides.
- ENSDF format unsuitable for mass papers.
- Since AME-2003 (NP-A 729, 337), ~ 120 papers have been compiled, with data for about 600 nuclides.
- Compiled data are available on ORNL web page: www.nuclearmasses.org.
- There have been several requests from researchers for these compiled data.

(Aug 8-9, 13-16 afternoon sessions)

Why A=211?

Practical reasons:

-Current data in ENSDF ~10 years old: Jan 1, 2003.

-Short mass chain i.e. not a huge amount of total data.

-Mixture of different types of decays and reactions.

-Although, there are perhaps only 12 new papers for A=211, still each mass chain in ENSDF needs to be updated after 10 years.

-Update of new Q values from 2011/2012-AME from previous 2003 values

-Update of internal conversion coefficients using BrIcc code, replacing previous values from Hager-Seltzer coefficients.

(Aug 8-9, 13-16 afternoon sessions)

Nuclear Physics reasons:

- Experimentally known Nuclides of A=211 (Z=80-91, N=131-120) are semi-magic or few particles away from Z=82, N=126 doubly-magic Pb-208 nuclide.
- Single- or multi-particle structures are expected
- Significant number of isomers have been identified.
- Comparisons with shell-model calculations are possible
- Medical physics reasons:

At-211 (7.2 h) + Po-211 (0.5 s): used for targeted alpha-particle radiotherapy. Easily produced in a cyclotron using Bi(α ,2n) reaction. Radiopharmaceutical compounds used to treat carcinomas (M. Welch, Jour. Nucl. Med. 46, 1254 (2005)); also brain tumors. At-211 labeled monoclonal antibodies.

Environmental aspects: Bi-211 (2.1 min), Po-211 (0.5 s), Pb-211 (36 min); and At-215 (0.1 ms), Po-215 (1.8 ms), TI-207 (4.8 min) present in environment from U-235 decay

(Aug 8-9, 13-16 afternoon sessions)

Experimentally known nuclides of A=211

Hg-211: Z=80, N=131: only isotope ID; no T_{1/2}

TI-211: Z=81, N=130: Isotope ID; T_{1/2} just came in PL-B acc. paper Aug 3, 2012

Pb-211: Z=82, N=129: α decay; (t,d); in-beam γ-ray

Bi-211: Z=83, N=128: α , β - decays; (α,p) ; (d,p); (t,p); in-beam γ -ray

Po-211: Z=84, N=127: α , β -, ϵ decays; (d,p); in-beam γ -ray

At-211: Z=85, N=126: α , ϵ decays; in-beam γ -ray

Rn-211: Z=86, N=125: α , ϵ + β + decays; in-beam γ -ray

Fr-211: Z=87, N=124: α decay; in-beam γ -ray

Ra-211: Z=88, N=123: α decay

Ac-211: Z=89, N=122: α decay

Th-211: Z=90, N=121: only isotope ID and $T_{1/2}$ known

Pa-211: Z=91, N=120: (uncertain isotope ID, no T_{1/2})

α-decay parents: A=215 nuclides. α-decay daughters: A=207 nuclides

(Aug 8-9, 13-16 afternoon sessions)

Nuclide assignments:

- Alejandro Sonzogni + Gopal Mukherjee (Aug 13-16): Pb-211, Fr-211 Moinul Haque Meaze Ariel Tarazaga Frank Bello Garrote Jia Wang
- 2. Daniel Abriola: Jasmeet Kaur Hanxiong Huang Pavel Blokhin Maryam Hassanvand
- 3. Jagdish Tuli : Jeong-Yeon Lee Neha Sharma Mazhar Hussain Mohamed Eisa

Ac-211, Ra-211

Po-211

(Aug 8-9, 13-16 afternoon sessions)

Nuclide assignments:

4. Tim Johnson: Rn-211

Yong-Jing Chen Sansarbayer Enkhbold Gehan Khalil Bo Yang

5. Elizabeth McCutchan (Aug 8,9) + Coral Baglin (Aug 13-16): Bi-211

Oleksandr Gorbachenko Zehua Hu Natasa Todorovic Mahmoud Taha

6. Balraj Singh: At-211 Sukhjeet Dhindsa Ali Abdul Alzubedi Hai Xuan Nguyen Monika Patial

(Aug 8-9, 13-16 afternoon sessions)

- All work may not get completed by Aug 16. Some will be required in the next few weeks.
- Expected completion of first draft by the end of Oct 2012
- Send data files to B. Singh, co-ordinator for this evaluation.
- Final files by end of Nov 2012; send for review.
- Possible joint publication in NDS by Feb 2013 under the authorship of lecturers, and those participants who contribute effectively to the evaluation effort.

(Aug 8-9, 13-16 afternoon sessions)

Reference material on CD:

All previously published evaluations of A=211
A=211, 215 in Table of isotopes-1978
A=211, 215 DDEP evaluations of selected isotopes.
2011AuZZ: AME interim mass adjustment files
2011StZZ: compilation of static magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments

2004An04: evaluated nuclear radii.

Requires familiarity with retrievals of information from ENSDF, XUNDL, NSR, NUDAT databases; and use of computer codes such as FMTCHK, GTOL, BrIcc, LOGFT, ALPHAD, PANDORA, ENSDAT, etc.