Introduction to the calculation of X-ray absorption spectra Matteo Calandra and Oana Bunau

/afs/ictp/public/shared/smr2376/Tutorial/XSpectra/Introduction_to_XAS.pdf or \$WORKSHOP/Tutorial/XSpectra/Introduction_to_XAS.pdf

> C. Gougoussis, M. Calandra, A. P. Seitsonen, and F. Mauri Phys. Rev. B **80**, 075102 (2009)

P. Giannozzi et al., J. Phys. Condens. Matter **21**, 395502 (2009).













Detector

Ni K-edge XAS of NiO



Challenge: describe core-hole attraction from first principles.

Chemical and Orbital selectivity

The choice of the incident photon energy selects the core electron excited. Experimentalists are then free to choose:

(i) the atom from which the electron is excited (absorbing atom).

(ii) the atomic level from which the electron is excited.



Core state	Edge name		
1s	К		
2s	L_1		
$2\mathrm{p}_{1/2}$	L_2		
$2\mathrm{p}_{3/2}$	L_3		
3s	M_1		

Chemical and Orbital selectivity

The choice of the incident photon energy selects which core electron is excited. Experimentalists are then free to choose:

- (i) the atom from which the electron is excited (absorbing atom).
- (ii) the atomic level from which the electron is excited.

	Note that core-sate	binding	energies	of atoms	are very	[,] different:
--	---------------------	---------	----------	----------	----------	-------------------------

Element	K 1s	L ₁ 2s	L ₂ 2p _{1/2}	L ₃ 2p _{3/2}	M ₁ 3s	M ₂ 3p _{1/2}	M ₃ 3p _{3/2}
1 H	13.6						
2 He	24.6*						
3 Li	54.7*						
4 Be	111.5*						
5 B	188*						
6 C	284.2*						
7 N	409.9*	37.3*					
8 O	543.1*	41.6*					
9 F	696.7*						
10 Ne	870.2*	48.5*	21.7*	21.6*			
11 Na	1070.8†	63.5†	30.65	30.81			
12 Mg	1303.0†	88.7	49.78	49.50			
13 Al	1559.6	117.8	72.95	72.55			
14 Si	1839	149.7*b	99.82	99.42			
15 P	2145.5	189*	136*	135*			
16 S	2472	230.9	163.6*	162.5*			
17 Cl	2822.4	270*	202*	200*			
18 Ar	3205.9*	326.3*	250.6†	248.4*	29.3*	15.9*	15.7*
19 K	3608.4*	378.6*	297.3*	294.6*	34.8*	18.3*	18.3*
20 Ca	4038.5*	438.4†	349.7†	346.2†	44.3 †	25.4†	25.4†
21 Sc	4492	498.0*	403.6*	398.7*	51.1*	28.3*	28.3*
22 Ti	4966	560.9†	460.2†	453.8†	58.7†	32.6†	32.6†

For more see http://xdb.lbl.gov/



XANES=X-ray near edge structures. EXAFS=Extended X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure.

Name of XAS structures



EXAFS=Extended X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure.

From Fermi golden-rule:



The matrix element is:

$$\mathcal{O} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} + \frac{i}{2} (\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}) (\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{r})$$

electric dipole electric quadrupole
1s \rightarrow p states 1s \rightarrow d states

See Ch. Brouder, J. Phys. Cond. Matt. 2, 701 (1990) for more details.

In the absence of a magnetic field the cross section can be separated in dipolar and quadrupolar terms (cross terms are zero in this case):

$$\sigma = \sigma_D + \sigma_Q$$

with:

$$\sigma_{D} = 4\pi^{2} \alpha \hbar \omega \sum_{f} \left| \langle f | \sum_{j} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j} | i \rangle \right|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i} - \hbar \omega)$$

$$\sigma_{Q} = 4\pi^{2} \alpha \hbar \omega \sum_{f} \left| \langle f | \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} (\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}) (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}) | i \rangle \right|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i} - \hbar \omega)$$

and the two terms can be calculated separately.

The quadrupolar part is in the pre-edge region.

XAS cross section needs all-electron states



ALL ELECTRON STATES

However we have pseudopotentials and we do not have all-electron states!

$$\sigma(\hbar\omega) = 4\pi^2 \hbar\omega \sum_{f} |\langle \tilde{f} | \mathcal{O} | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega)$$

PSEUDO WAVE FUNCTION

labeled by a tilde from now on

How to solve this problem ?

Use the projected augmented wave (PAW) method!

As we use pseudopotentials, the electron wavefunction that we obtain in our simulation is different from the all-electron wavefunction:



Assume that a linear mapping exists between the two wavefunctions:

$$|\psi
angle = \mathcal{T}| ilde{\psi}
angle$$

As the pseudowavefunction differs from the AE one only in the augmentation region (core region) then the mapping operator is different from the identity only in the augmentation region.



The mapping is written as:



Note that:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{R}n}(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{R}n}(\mathbf{r})$$

Outside the augmentation region.

PAW for XAS – short explanation

The mapping is written as:

with

$$\mathcal{T} = 1 + \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{R}}$$

R= coordinates of the nuclei

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathbf{1} + \sum_{\mathbf{R},n} \left(|\phi_{\mathbf{R},n}\rangle - |\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{R},n}\rangle \right) \left\langle \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R},n} \right|$$

PAW Projectors are built to satisfy identified the conditions

$$\langle \tilde{p}_{R,n} | \tilde{\phi}_{R',n'} \rangle = \delta_{RR'} \delta_{nn'}$$
 orthogonality Within the
 $\sum_{n} | \tilde{p}_{Rn} \rangle \langle \tilde{\phi}_{Rn} | = 1$ completeness augmentation region.

$$\phi_{\mathbf{R}n}(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{R}n}(\mathbf{r})$$

outside the augmentation region.

By replacing
$$\mathcal{T} = \mathbf{1} + \sum_{\mathbf{R},n} \left(|\phi_{\mathbf{R},n}\rangle - |\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{R},n}\rangle \right) \langle \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R},n}|$$
 in the XAS matrix element
 $\langle f|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle = \langle \tilde{f}|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{R}n} \langle \tilde{f}|\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}n}\rangle \langle \phi_{\mathbf{R}n}|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle$
 $-\sum_{\mathbf{R}n} \langle \tilde{f}|\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}n}\rangle \langle \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{R}n}|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle$

Since the projectors satisfy the condition,

$$\sum_{n} |\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}n}\rangle \langle \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{R}n}| = 1$$
 we get:

$$\langle f|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{R}n} \langle \tilde{f}|\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}n}\rangle \langle \phi_{\mathbf{R}n}|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle$$

M. Taillefumier et al. PRB 66, 195107 (2002)

PAW for XAS – short explanation

As the core state $\psi_i(\mathbf{r})$ is localized on the absorbing atom site \mathbf{R}_0 we drop all terms with $\mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{R}_0$

$$\langle f|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{R}n} \langle \tilde{f}|\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}n}\rangle \langle \phi_{\mathbf{R}n}|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle \approx \langle \tilde{f}|\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{0}n}\rangle \langle \phi_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{0}n}|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle$$

Thus the all-electron matrix element is written only in terms of all-electron atomic partial waves, paw projectors and the core initial state.

Thus from an all-electron calculation on the isolated atom we can get the state

$$|\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{R}_{0n}}\rangle = |\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{R}_{0n}}\rangle\langle\phi_{\mathbf{R}_{0n}}|\mathcal{O}|i\rangle$$

such that:

$$\langle f | \mathcal{O} | i \rangle = \langle \tilde{f} | \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{R}_{0n}} \rangle$$

M. Taillefumier et al. PRB 66, 195107 (2002)



Challenge: describe core-hole attraction from first principles.

The core-hole is modeled in a supercell approach.

The pseudopotential of the absorbing atom has a core-hole in the core-state.

As we work with periodic boundaries we build a supercell to minimize the interaction between the core-hole and its periodic images

Supercell calculations



O atom with a core hole atom without core hole

K-edge

Weakly correlated materials (SiO₂, Cu,)
Correlated materials (NiO, Cuprates...)
Large and correlated systems (Telephone number compound)
The patological case of Diamond!

L_{2,3}-edges

Copper, Cuprite





Excellent agreement Theory - Experiment

M. Taillefumier et al. PRB 66, 195107 (2002)



M. Taillefumier et al. PRB 66, 195107 (2002)

Do we really need the core-hole ?



YES! Core-hole effects can be huge (usually they are smaller in metals).



Courtesy of Delphine Cabaret

Intersite 4p-3d hybridization

An example: NiO

What atomic states form the dipolar part ?

Ni atomic states



Ch. Gougoussiss et al. Phys. Rev. B 79, 045118



NiO





Ni atomic 4p states are very extended: hybridization with off-site Ni 3d states is possible.

Can 4p states promote transition to off-site Ni 3d states in dipolar Ni K-edge XAS ? Are these transition visibles ?

T. Uozumi et al. Europhys. Lett 18, 85 (1992)

Ni K-edge XAS in NiO - Experiments

 t_{2g} : ϵ // [100] k // [010] e_g : ϵ // [110] k // [-100]



Open problems:

- Excitations in the pre-edge and near-edge region, attribution unclear, A is considered quadrupolar in literature, all the others are clearly dipolar.
- Can any of the dipolar feature be related to intersite 4p-3d hybridization?

Ni K-edge XAS in NiO - Theory

Experiments: D. Heumann, G. Dräger, S. Bocharov - J. Phys. IV, 7 699 (1997)



- U=7.6 eV (CALCULATED!)- Very good agreement up to the near-edge region
- U seems to have no effect close to the edge.

However in the pre-edge region...

Ch. Gougoussiss et al. Phys. Rev. B 79, 045118

Ni K-edge XAS in NiO - Theory



- In DFT peak position and angular dependence are both incorrect.

- DFT+U corrects the error.

The use of U is mandatory to describe pre-edges of correlated magnetic insulators!!!

Ch. Gougoussiss et al. Phys. Rev. B 79, 045118

Ni K-edge XAS in NiO - B peak interpretation (XSpectra+projwfc.x@QE)



The absorbing atom has up spin.

The B peak is due to transitions to up spin states, but the absorbing atom has 3d up states occupied!

- The B peak is due to transitions to up spin states of next-to-nearest neighbouring Ni atoms.



Intersite Ni 4p-Ni 3d hybridization!!!!

Ch. Gougoussiss et al. Phys. Rev. B 79, 045118

check isn't that O K-edge?

Competition betxeen core-hole attraction and electron-electron repulsion.



Best Agreement U+Core-hole

The two peak structure needs U+core-hole.



A. Juhin *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **81**, 115115

NiO/LiCoO₂ What did we learn ?

- Strong non-local effects in the dipolar part of K-edge XAS
- Hubbard U necessary to obtain agreement in the pre-edge region

This is fairly general for correlated oxides.



Note:

Couple Xspectra with projwfc.x to obtain decomposition of XAS in partial dos.

Naturally doped compound.

Fondamental question: Where are hole sitting, chain or ladders ?

Incommensurate crystal structure (infinite number of atoms / cell)

What is the best periodic approximand? 4 chain/5 chain periodicity ?



- 316 atoms AF unit cell-Correlation effects (U)-Core-hole effects



 $Sr_{14}Cu_{24}O_{41}$

 $Sr_{14}Cu_{24}O_{41}$



C=Chain L=Ladder Legs R=Ladder Rungs

V. Ilakovac et al., Phys. Rev. B 85, 075108

4-fold chain periodicity in disagreement with experiments

 $Sr_{14}Cu_{24}O_{41}$



Reduced gap = reduced distance between peaks

L=Ladder Legs R=Ladder Rungs

V. Ilakovac et al., Phys. Rev. B 85, 075108

5-fold chain periodicity in better agreement with experiments

 $Sr_{14}Cu_{24}O_{41}$



Long distance structural effects!

V. Ilakovac et al., Phys. Rev. B 85, 075108

R=Ladder Rungs



Contrary to all previous analysis, based on semi-empirical models, U and antiferromagnetic ordering favor the strong chain hole-attraction.

V. Ilakovac et al., Phys. Rev. B 85, 075108

The pathological case of diamond!



Very big size-effects, the simulation converges with 250 atoms. Note that peak A is not well described in DFT.

M. Taillefumier, D. Cabaret and F.Mauri PRB 66, 195107 (2002)



Correlation effects ?





Vibrational effects !



M.P. Ljungberga, J.J. Mortensenb, L.G.M. Petterssona, Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena 184, 427 (2011)

 $L_{2,3}$ - edges

O. Bunau and M. Calandra, in preparation Next XSpectra school (?).



 $L_{2,3}$ -edges : dipolar transition between $2p_{1/2,3/2}$ to empty d (mostly) and s states.

More difficult problem then K-edge, more important correlation effects. Difficult to explain at the DFT level.

Next XSpectra version will include this feature.

Cu L_{2,3} - edges



Metallic Cu: fully screened core-hole. Excellent agreement.



In L_{2,3} edges core-hole effects seem less important. Best agreement half core-hole (somewhat empirical...).



Using Hubbard U the agreement get worst.... Not the good kind of correlation!

Resumé

→ XSpectra @ QE is a useful tool to describe K-edge and (soon) L_{2,3} absorption edges in the pre-edge, edge and near-edge region.

→ Current implementation includes:

✓ Norm-conserving and Ultrasoft pseudopotentials.

Lanczos method to avoid calculating occupied states.

✓ DFT+U method.

✓ Treatment of static core-hole in a supercell approach.

Coupled to projwfc.x @ QE allows attribution of XAS features to atomic orbitals.

→ Large systems can be tackled at a reasonable costs (316 atoms < 10000h sp3)

If you find XSpectra useful, please cite the following works in your publications:

C. Gougoussis, M. Calandra, A. P. Seitsonen, and F. Mauri Phys. Rev. B 80, 075102 (2009)

M. Taillefumier, D. Cabaret and F.Mauri PRB 66, 195107 (2002)

P. Giannozzi et al., J. Phys. Condens. Matter 21, 395502 (2009).

