



2464-18

Earthquake Tectonics and Hazards on the Continents

17 - 28 June 2013

Mongolia

R. Walker University of Oxford, UK

Past earthquakes, slip-rates & mountainbuilding in Mongolia

Richard Walker Oxford University



Centre for the Observation and Modelling of Earthquakes, Volcanoes & Tectonics



Oxford

Ed Nissen, Laura Gregory, Matt Fox, Conall MacNiocaill

MUST

Amgalan Bayasgalan, Erdenebat Molor, Gantulga Bayasgalan, Tsolmon Amgaa

UCL

Andy Carter

USC

Josh West



Philippe Vernant (http://www-gpsg.mit.edu/~vernant/)



The active faulting in Mongolia is very young (~ 5Ma)

... so we can learn how the faults and mountains have evolved through time

Vassallo et.al. 2007













Bayasgalan et.al. 2005

1905 Bulnay Mw 8.3



Jid palaeo-rupture 850-950 years old (Walker et.al. 2006)



Ruptures are well preserved due to the arid environment







A map of active faults in NE Asia

(with Y. awata at the Geological Survey of Japan, and A. Bayasgalan at the Mongolian University of Science and Technology)



Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

The Altay



Compare distribution and rates of deformation over timescales from:

- 1) present-day (GPS)
- 2) 100 year (earthquakes)
- 3) 1000 year (palaeoruptures)
- 4) 10-100 ka (landscape)
- 5) >1 Ma (AFT, palaeomag, large-scale topography and river displacements)

How does this sketch work? How does it evolve through time?





























Palaeo-earthquake ruptures Length ~ 30 km Slip ~ 2.5 m Magnitude ~ 7 Har-Us-Nuur fault slip-rate is ~2.4 mm/yr Evidence for paleo-earthquake of Mw ~7 Average earthquake repeat interval ~1,000 yr

In these examples we are using 10Be exposure dating Optical (OSL) dating U-series dating







Quickbird imagery





Geological records of faulting

















Walker, Geoscientist magazine, 2012

GPS velocity field




Campbell et al., in preparation





50 +/- 5 m displacement

KOMPSAT-2 (1 m imagery)

Pit 1 OSL dating: 25.7 + \- 5.8 ka

Average slip-rate: 1.4-2.75 mm/yr

Only one Mw ~7 palaeoearthquake rupture visible in the landscape

Potential for large earthquakes (Mw 7.5+) on remaining fault segments?







Past earthquakes, slip-rates & mountainbuilding in Mongolia

Richard Walker Oxford University



Centre for the Observation and Modelling of Earthquakes, Volcanoes & Tectonics



Oxford

Ed Nissen, Laura Gregory, Matt Fox, Conall MacNiocaill

MUST

Amgalan Bayasgalan, Erdenebat Molor, Gantulga Bayasgalan, Tsolmon Amgaa

UCL

Andy Carter

USC

Josh West



Philippe Vernant (http://www-gpsg.mit.edu/~vernant/)



The active faulting in Mongolia is very young (~ 5Ma)

... so we can learn how the faults and mountains have evolved through time

Vassallo et.al. 2007













Bayasgalan et.al. 2005

1905 Bulnay Mw 8.3



Jid palaeo-rupture 850-950 years old (Walker et.al. 2006)



Ruptures are well preserved due to the arid environment







A map of active faults in NE Asia

(with Y. awata at the Geological Survey of Japan, and A. Bayasgalan at the Mongolian University of Science and Technology)



Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

The Altay



Compare distribution and rates of deformation over timescales from:

- 1) present-day (GPS)
- 2) 100 year (earthquakes)
- 3) 1000 year (palaeoruptures)
- 4) 10-100 ka (landscape)
- 5) >1 Ma (AFT, palaeomag, large-scale topography and river displacements)

How does this sketch work? How does it evolve through time?





























Palaeo-earthquake ruptures Length ~ 30 km Slip ~ 2.5 m Magnitude ~ 7 Har-Us-Nuur fault slip-rate is ~2.4 mm/yr Evidence for paleo-earthquake of Mw ~7 Average earthquake repeat interval ~1,000 yr

In these examples we are using 10Be exposure dating Optical (OSL) dating U-series dating







Quickbird imagery





Geological records of faulting














Walker, Geoscientist magazine, 2012

GPS velocity field





Campbell et al., in preparation





50 +/- 5 m displacement

KOMPSAT-2 (1 m imagery)

Pit 1 OSL dating: 25.7 + \- 5.8 ka

Average slip-rate: 1.4-2.75 mm/yr

Only one Mw ~7 palaeoearthquake rupture visible in the landscape

Potential for large earthquakes (Mw 7.5+) on remaining fault segments?





