# SAR and InSAR for disaster response and precursors

Matt Pritchard Cornell

Sang-Ho Yun JPL

SAR and InSAR provide multiple observations useful For hazard assessment and response

- 1) Phase change
- 2) Coherence change
- 3) Amplitude change (also use optical images)
- 4) Polarimetry
- 5) Topographic mapping (also use optical images)

Case studies:

- 1) Sinkholes, floods, wildfires, permafrost
- 2) Using coherence change as a proxy for damage
- 3) Glacier change in Patagonia



### Many InSAR applications already discussed

Landslides (but precursory deformation before landslides is an open question)

Inter-seismic strain: where will future earthquakes occur?

Rapid calculation of co-seismic deformation Which fault ruptured? For Coloumb stress change on nearby faults (e.g., Haiti, Neena Mountain, etc.)

Precursory deformation before volcanic eruptions (not always)

Land subsidence: coastal areas, damage to infrastructure

## InSAR and SAR to measure floods



Varzea (Amazon) Dry Season



Varzea (Amazon) Wet Season



JERS-1 Dry Season



JERS-1 Wet Season



Double bounce of inundated areas increases signal amplitude and changes the phase signal (causing "fringes")

# Example following hurricane Katrina



Radarsat-1 image from 2 Sept. 2005 compared with Landsat ETM+ mosaic

Lu et al., 2010

# Mapping wildfire severity and extent

Yukon River Basin, Alaska











Rykhus and Lu, 2011

## Sinkholes in Texas



Daisetta 2008 sinkhole had no precursory deformation

Paine et al., 2012

# Expansion of old sinkholes and potential new ones



Paine et al., 2012

### Permafrost change



Seasonal signal related to active layer thickness Long term trend from climate change

Impact on local infrastructure & global impact on methane

Liu et al., 2010



Figure 2: (a) Long-term average seasonal subsidence occuring between June and September based on InSAR measurements. The inset map shows the location of the study area as a red box on the North Slope of Alaska. (b) Long-term trends in surface subsidence between 1992 and 2000. The Arctic Ocean in the northeast is in white. Gray areas indicate regions where no robust InSAR measurements could be made. Figures are adapted from Liu, et al. [2010]. Disaster response: InSAR coherence to rapidly produce damage proxy maps

#### Synopsis:

- •All-weather, day/night radar with automatic algorithm (no human intervention)
- •More effective than other satellite sensors and crowdsourcing?)

•Need for validation: Haiti example: only ~10% of the most damaged buildings were identified in 0.8 m/pixel imagery. Vertical collapse not easy to detect in nadir imagery (e.g., Booth et al., 2012)

The Aria project of Caltech/JPL

Sang-Ho Yun<sup>1</sup>, Eric Fielding<sup>1</sup>, Mark Simons<sup>2</sup>, Piyush Agram<sup>2</sup>, Frank Webb<sup>1</sup>, Paul Rosen<sup>1</sup>, Akiko Tanaka<sup>3</sup>, Susan Owen<sup>1</sup>

1. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

2. California Institute of Technology

3. Geological Survey of Japan, AIST, Tsukuba, Japan

# Data Acquisition Latency (all InSAR missions)



# Natural coherence change with time



Multiple image analysis of arid Andes (Chile/Argentina)

Black pixel = water

Green = unchanging volcanic deposit

Blue = windblown salt flat



# February 2011 Christchurch From radar data acquired **3 days** after EQ Ground Truth Map released **8 months** after EQ



Damage Proxy Map (ALOS PALSAR): 2010.10.10 - 2011.01.10 - 2011.02.25 ARIA – JPL/Caltech



2011.08.28 version Data provided by the New Zealand Government http://data.govt.nz





### 2011 Kirishima Volcano Eruption Ash Fall Damage

ALOS PALSAR 2010/05/20 - 2010/11/20 - 2011/02/20





Damage Proxy Map ARIA – JPL/Caltech



Ground Truth: Contour lines that indicate the amount of ash deposits in kg/m<sup>2</sup> - Geological Survey of Japan (AIST)

TIME SADO

With 99 percentile anomaly threshold, the detection boundary corresponds to 100 kg/m2 curve (~10 cm deep)





# Remote sensing of Patagonia glacier change

Matt Pritchard Mike Willis Andrew Melkonian Cornell

Cornell Andes Project Andrés Rivera *U. Chile* 

Joan Ramage Claudio Berti Lehigh U.

Despite being 0.6% of all ice, Patagonia & Alaska contribute ~20% of all eustatic sea level rise (Jacob et al., 2012)

They are more responsive to change and produce glacial outburst floods



MODIS image

# Glacial Lake Outburst Floods

Colonia glacier, Northern Patagonia Icefield: near proposed dam-site

5 floods in 2008-2009, each 200 million m<sup>3</sup> - potential for bigger ones unprecedented since monitoring started in 1963 (Dussaillant et al., 2009)



# HPS 12 glacier, southern Patagonia: Oct. 2001



### Landsat over SRTM DEM.

# HPS 12 glacier, southern Patagonia: Feb. 2010



Lost thickness = Empire State Building



### Cordillera Darwin Icefield: 2,500 km<sup>2</sup> (Melkonian et al., 2013)

54'15'S



# Summary

• Satellite radar is a versatile & underutilized complement to optical sensors for disaster response

• While some applications for hazard assessment are well developed (earthquakes, inter-seismic, volcanoes, landslides, subsidence, etc.) others that could be more widely used

#### Advantages:

•All-weather, Day-night capability

•Derived products are routine, uniform, and fast

- 1) Phase change: ground movement
- 2) Coherence change: damage
- 3) Amplitude change: Ground movement & damage
- 4) Polarimetry
- 5) Topographic change

Taking full advantage of the temporal coverage from the constellation of international sensors is a challenge