# **Regional Climate Modelling:**

motivations, techniques, illustrations, climate change scenario and uncertainties

Samuel Somot samuel.somot@meteo.fr

Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques Météo-France, Toulouse (France)



# Framework and content of the talk

Numerical Modelling Regional climate processes

Temporal and spatial scales

Past climate, future scenarios

#### 1. Regional Climate Modelling: What / Why / How

- Motivations for using Regional Climate Models (RCM)
- What are RCM ?
- The RCM added-values
- Some drawbacks of RCM and how to fix it
- Performing regional climate change scenarios with RCM

#### 2. Illustrations using RCM: Mediterranean and French examples

- Temperature and precipitation changes
- Changes in extreme indices

#### 3. Uncertainty in regional climate change scenarios

- Source of uncertainty
- Large coordinated programmes: ENSEMBLES, CORDEX
- Illustrations

#### 4. Concluding remarks and perspectives



# Motivations for using Regional Climate Model

The dynamical downscaling concept:

- RCM: (1) high-resolution version of a GCM (2) limited to a given area and (3) cheaper than a GCM at the same resolution

- RCM: (4) can be driven by various driving models (reanalysis, GCM, other RCM)

- Mostly used for model evaluation, model development,

understanding of regional climate processes, study of regional climate past variability, sensitivity studies of regional climate, regional climate change scenarios, as inputs for impact studies

#### For who?

(

- Climate researchers (see above)

- The research community of the other components of the climate system (RCMs as an input): regional or coastal oceanography, snow and glaciers, land-surface, hydrology

- The research "impact" community (impact of climate change): health, biodiversity, economy, tourism, energy

- The climate service community : support for decision, adaptation

# What are Regional Climate Models (RCM)?

Definition of RCM:

"Climate model for regional purposes"

Main characteristics

- High-resolution GCM, Stretched or zoom GCM, Limited-area model
- RCM (LAM) = nested/driven climate model by Surface and Lateral Boundary Conditions (SBC, LBC)
- RCM (LAM): one-way nesting
- Components: Atmosphere+Land mostly but sometimes vegetation, snow, glaciers, hydrology, ocean, sea-ice, chemistry, aerosols, lake, human activities (pollution, city, dam, irrigation)

Added-values and drawbacks:

- RCMs bring added-values wrt GCM or reanalyses
- BUT RCM can also come with drawbacks or retained-value wrt their driving model
- This depends on the model, the configuration and the use...

### What are Regional Climate Models (RCM)?



# What are Regional Climate Models (RCM)?





# Where does the added-value come from ?

Several potential sources of RCM added-value wrt the driver:

- Cheaper in terms of computational resources at the same resolution
- Higher-resolution
  - Turbulence (small scale atmospheric features, mesoscale cyclones)
  - Higher-resolution of the model forcings: orography, islands, land-sea contrast, sea surface temperature, aerosols climatology, land-use, sea-ice coverage
  - Non-hydrostatic model at 2-km resolution with explicit convection scheme
- Regional adaptation of the RCM
  - better evaluation using regional observations
  - more complex physical parameterizations
  - regional tuning of the physics
- More components of the regional climate system
  - Ocean, glaciers, sea-ice, flooded area, irrigation, dam, lake, city, ...



## Turbulence

GCM at a 450-km resolution



Fig. 5. Instantaneous field of clouds simulated by CGCM2 with equivalent grid mesh of 450 km (left panel) and CRCM with grid mesh of 45 km (right panel) (superimposed on the GCM-simulated field, showing the frame of the RCM domain) (figures kindly provided by Dr. Daniel Caya, Chief, Climate Simulation Team, Ouranos Consortium).

Laprise et al. 2008

RCM at a 45-km resolution



CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012

## Resolution, orography and land-sea contrast







#### The added-value: question of scales

What are the relative scales of GCM and RCM ?

- in time: RCM can add value at daily and sub-daily time scales
- in space:





#### Added-value in mean precipitation spatial pattern



Observations precipitation in Winter



CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012

Added-value in extreme precipitations



### **Examples: Precipitations and extremes**

Model choice uncertainty for extreme precipitation in the Alps (*Frei et al. 2006*)

5-year return value for daily precipitation in Autumn (SON) OBS (1971-1990) GCM (1961-1990) RCM (1961-1990)



Extreme precipitation in France (Colin, PhD, 2011) ALADIN RCM (1958-2001, ERA40): 50 km vs 12 km

Accumulated precipitation (mm) for the case study (December, 17<sup>th</sup>, 1997)



Quantile 99.8 of daily precipitation (mm/d) for the Autumn season (SOND)



CL

Extreme wind speed in France (*M. Déqué, pers. comm.*) ALADIN RCM (1958-2001, ERA40): 50 km vs 12 km

Number of days with wind spead over 60 km/h



Distribution and extreme of the sea wind speed

Quantile-quantile plots for daily wind speed (m/s, 2000-2001) over the sea (*Herrmann et al., 2011*)



ERA40 underestimates the wind speed because of the low-resolution RCM (50km) has a clear added-value RCM (12km) has an added-value close to the coast only Using Spectral Nudging or ERA-Int LBC improves the temporal chronology

EO

CE

vance

QuikQSCAT 2000-2001 Average

# The main RCM drawbacks

The RCM drawbacks:

- Retained value: the RCM is worse than the driver at a given scale (RCM can in particular degrade the large-scales)

- The additional small scales produced by the RCM are not adequate: noise instead of signal

#### Main causes:

- The use of a particular RCM adds a source of uncertainty (physics, components, design)

- The boundary conditions is often considered as an ill-posed problem (small coupling zone, impact of the domain position, 6h-frequency coupling, spatial spin-up, one-way nesting, mismatch at the outflow)

- RCM internal variability, divergence from driving model
- Inconsistent physics between GCM and RCM



#### Where are the RCM drawbacks ?



#### How to fix the RCM drawbacks ?





## Illustration of RCM evaluation





# Illustration of RCM evaluation

#### Model

#### Observation



CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012

Source: Météo-France

### Illustration of RCM evaluation



# Illustration of RCM evaluation and scenario

Average temperature over France in Summer (JJA, °C)

**Observations** 



ARPEGE-Climat, 50 km, A2 scenario



Source: Météo-France

### Illustration of regional climate change scenario

Temperature change (Scenario A2 / CNRM-CM5 / 2070-2099)



# Illustration of regional climate change scenario

#### Precipitation change (Scenario A2 / CNRM-CM5 / 2070-2099)



ARPEGE-Climat RCM, 50 km, [2070-2099] - [1960-1989]



CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012

Source: Météo-France

### Illustration of regional climate change scenario

#### Future-climate Lyon city analogue



Results based on temperature and precipitation change

(Scenario A1B / ARPEGE-Climate RCM 50 km)



#### Illustration of RCM evaluation: daily distribution and extreme

#### Quantile-Quantile plot for Paris (1960-1989)



30

# Illustration of RCM scenario: daily distribution and extreme

Temperature daily probability density functions (Paris)





Source: Météo-France

# Illustration of RCM scenario: daily distribution and extreme

Precipitation daily probability density functions (Paris)





#### Illustration of RCM scenario: extreme indices

Drought indice: Maximum number of consecutive dry days (JJA)



ARPEGE-Climat RCM, 50 km, A2



Source: Météo-France

#### Illustration of RCM scenario: extreme indices

Number of Summer heat wave days per year (Tmax anomaly of +5°C during at least 6 consecutive day)





# Change in the number of drozen days (Ratio Future/Present)

**A1B** 

**A2** 





#### Change in Winter (DJF) maximum wind speed Ratio Future/Present

**B1** 

#### A1B





- Uncertainty for the GCM simulations (CMIP models)
  - Socio-economic assumptions
  - Emission and concentration scenarios (B1, A1B, A2, ...)
  - Choice of the GCM (model configuration, model systematic error)
  - Internal climate variability

#### Uncertainty for the RCM simulations

- The one inheritated from the GCM
- PLUS
- Choice of the RCM (model configuration, model systematic error)
- Internal variability
- Currently, the RCM numerical cost and the large uncertainty range lead to the impossibility to cover all the uncertainties in regional climate change scenarios
  - Results based on limited number of GCM-RCM pairs for some scenarios
  - Methods combining RCM runs and statistics are used to virtually full-fill the SCENxGCMxRCMxIV matrix



# Source of climate change uncertainty Fraction of uncertainty explained by different sources

as a function of lead time

Temperature (average. per decade), global average, CMIP3 models



#### The PRUDENCE project strategy



Choice of the RCM: change in temperature (Summer, °C)



Sources of uncertainty in the simulation of temperature and precipitation change (2071-2100 minus 1961-1990) over Europe





The ENSEMBLES project (European project, FP6)

- The whole European domain
- ERA-40 driven runs + transient scenario A1B
- 7 GCMs (CNRM, METOHC(3), MPI, BCM, CGCM)
- 14 RCMs (C4I, CNRM, DMI, ETHZ, ICTP, KNMI, METNO, METOHC(3), MPI, SMHI, UCLM, OURANOS)
- 25 km resolution
- 19 available runs for the period 1950-2050
- 13 available runs for the period 2051-2100
- Weighted models
- Statistical methods to complete the GCMxRCM matrix
- Probablistic view of the regional climate change
- Data available: http://ensemblesrt3.dmi.dk/

# GCMxRCM matrix

	BCM	CNRM	HC-lo	HC-med	HC-hi	MPI
C4I					Х	
CNRM		Х				
DMI	Х	Х				Х
ETHZ				Х		
HC-lo			Х			
HC-med				Х		
HC-hi					Х	
ICTP						Х
KNMI						Х
METN	Х			Х		
MPI						Х
SMHI	Х		Х			Х
UCLM				Х		



Source: FP6-ENSEMBLES project

#### Temperature change (°C) in Winter, 2021-2050 vs 1961-1990, 19 runs, 25 km, A1B



CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012

# Temperature change (°C) in Summer, 2021-2050 vs 1961-1990, 19 runs, 25 km , A1B



CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012

Precipitation change (mm/d) in Winter, 2021-2050 vs 1961-1990, 19 runs, 25 km , A1B



CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012

# Precipitation change (mm/d) in Summer, 2021-2050 vs 1961-1990, 19 runs, 25 km , A1B



CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012



Scenario A1B, 2021-2050 vs 1961-1990, 25 km, 18 runs



Source: FP6-ENSEMBLES, Déqué et al. 2012

Bivariate (temp, prec) climate change pdf for Barcelona Scenario A1B, 2021-2050 vs 1961-1990, 25 km Using 78 virtual runs after filling the matrix with statistics



Source: FP6-ENSEMBLES, Déqué and Somot 2010; Déqué et al. 2012

# The CORDEX international programme

- Launched by WCRP (first meeting in Toulouse, February, 2009)
- Coordinated by F. Giorgi (ICTP) and C. Jones (SMHI)
- Evaluation: ERA-Interim, 1989-2008
- Scenario: CMIP5, RCP8.5, RCP4.5, 1950-2100
- Many GCMs and many RCMs
- 50 km resolution, 12 official domains
- Priority domain: Afro-CORDEX
- 50 km and 12 km : Euro-CORDEX, Med-CORDEX
- ARCM and AORCM: Med-CORDEX
- DMI central archive, ESG, regional database



Source: CORDEX programme













## Conclusion: Regional climate modelling

 Regional climate models are mature tools to study regional climate change : a lot of results already available

 They can bring clear added-value wrt GCM or reanalysis for chosen spatial and temporal scales

- RCM are not perfect and sometimes do not add value to driver
- They are an additional layer of uncertainty to be taken into account
- RCM are useful in the following conditions:
  - You want to prove an added-value for a given process/area
  - You are interested in small spatial scales, extreme events, coastal areas, moutainuous areas, islands, ...
  - The RCM used has been well evaluated over the area of interest
  - GCMs agree on the climate change signal over the area of interest

 Different runs (or better large coordinated projects) are available over the area of interest

# Further work: Regional climate modelling

#### Improve uncertainty estimates of regional climate change

- Decrease in GCM uncertainties (GCM community)
- Use statistical methods to full-fill the GCMxRCM matrix
- Probabilistic climate change using the past large coordinated project outputs (ENSEMBLES)
- Promote new large coordinated projects for new areas in the world (CORDEX, ocean area, small islands)

#### Develop the next generation of the Regional Climate Models

Improve the quality of the RCM (improved evaluation, physics and dynamics)
Add new coupled components of the regional climate system: ocean, rivers, aerosol, land use, lake, city, chemistry, irrigation, dam (CIRCE, HyMeX, CLIM-RUN)
Increase the resolution of the regional climate model: 10 km, 2 km (CECILIA, SCAMPEI, CLIM-RUN, Med-CORDEX, Euro-CORDEX)

#### Transfer the data and expertise to the various users

- Set-up of operational climate services (DRIAS, CLIM-RUN)

ENSEMBLES ensembles-eu.metoffice.com/ CORDEX wcrp.ipsl.jussieu.fr/RCD\_CORDEX.html CIRCE www.circeproject.eu CECILIA www.cecilia-eu.org/ CLIMRUN Summer School, Trieste, Oct 2012 HyMeX www.hymex.org/ SCAMPEI www.cnrm.meteo.fr/scampei/ DRIAS www.drias-climat.fr CLIM-RUN www.climrun.eu



### **Bibliography for RCM and Mediterranean**

Review and perspectives Giorgi 2006 DeElia et al. 2008 Laprise et al. 2008 Laprise 2008 Rummukainen 2010

#### Design of the Euro-

Mediterranean RCM Jones et al. 1995 Christensen et al. 1997 Noquer et al. 1998 Lenderink et al. 2003 Vannitsem and Chomé 2005 Colin et al. 2010

#### Large-scale and Spectral nudging VonStorch et al. 2000 Biner et al. 2000 Miguez-Macho et al. 2004 Radu et al. 2008 Alexandru et al. 2009 Colin et al. 2010

RCM and added-value for the Mediterranean Sotillo et al. 2005 (wind over sea) Feser 2005 Zagar et al. 2006 (wind over land) Rockel and Woth 2007 (wind) Herrmann and Somot, 2008 (air-sea flux) Déqué and Somot. 2008 (extreme rain) Herrmann et al. 2011 (wind over sea)

Mediterranean Regional Climate System Model Somot et al. 2008 Artale et al. 2010

RCM and retained value Lenderink et al. 2003 (various) Caya and Biner 2004 (internal variability) McDonald 2007 (lateral boundary) Jacob et al. 2007 (choice of RCM) Lucas-Picher et al. 2008 (internal variability) Leduc and Laprise, 2008 (spatial spin-up) Sanchez-Gomez et al. 2008 Radu et al. 2008 (model divergence) Sanchez-Gomez et al. 2008 (model choice) Christensen et al. 2010 (model choice) CLIMRUN Summer School, Tries Vanvyve et al. 2008 (internal variability)

#### RCM and Big-brother experiment Denis et al. 2000 Antic et al. 2004 Laprise et al. 2008 Colin et al. 2010

#### RCM and two-way nesting Lorentz and Jacob 2005

#### RCM and extremes

Frei et al. 2006 Kiellstrom et al. 2007 Boberg et al. 2008 Christensen et al. 2008 Kostopoulou et al. 2009 Beauland et al. 2010

#### **RCM and Mediterranean climate** change

Gibelin and Déqué, 2003 (stretched model) Giorgi et al. 2004 (RCM) Gao et al. 2006, Herrmann et al. 2011 (high-resolution) Goubanova et al. (2007) Somot et al. 2008 (coupled RCM)