



2583-6

Workshop on Coherent Phenomena in Disordered Optical Systems

26 - 30 May 2014

Coherence Length of a Weakly Interacting One-dimensional Polariton Condensate

> Maxime RICHARD Institut Neel – CNRS Grenoble France

# **Coherence Length of a Weakly Interacting One-dimensional Polariton Condensate**

E. Durupt<sup>1</sup>, S. Klembt<sup>1</sup>, A. trichet<sup>1,\*</sup>, F. Médard<sup>1,\*\*</sup>, A. Minguzzi<sup>2</sup>, S. Datta<sup>2</sup>, Le Si Dang<sup>1</sup>, <u>M. Richard<sup>1</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup>Institut Néel - CNRS, Grenoble, France \*Now at Oxford University, Material science dpt, UK \*\* Now at Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Physique et Modélisation des Milieux Condensés - CNRS, Grenoble, France



### Microcavities in the strong coupling regime



Microcavity in the strong coupling regime with a **2-dimensional** degree of freedom  $(k_x,k_y)$ 

+ weak in-plane disorder

## Microcavities in the strong coupling regime



Microcavity in the strong coupling regime with a 1-dimensional degree of freedom  $(k_z)$ 

+ weak in-line disorder





MOCVD

SEM Micrograph of a ZnO microwire



#### ZnO

- Bulk excitonic transition @ 3.31eV
- Excionic binding energy of 60meV
- Large E. dipole moment



#### ZnO

- Bulk excitonic transition @ 3.31eV
- Excionic binding energy of 60meV
- Large E. dipole moment





Microwire

**0.5-1.5** μm

#### Multimode optical fibers





#### Multimode optical fibers



#### Microwire







n, m+1

n, m







n, m+1



n, m (WGM transverse numbers)





n, m+1



n, m







![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Counts: 0 500 1000 1500 2000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000

#### **Quick summary** [2]

- Rabi splitting = **290meV**
- Stable at room temperature
- Dimensionality = 1D
- Q = 800

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

- $\rightarrow$  Exciton-like polaritons :
- >15x heavier than « light polaritons »
- Still 1000x lighter than exciton

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Classical Monte-Carlo simulation to model 2-particles scattering within the reservoir  $\rightarrow$  Free exciton scattering is excluded (P<sup>(1),</sup> P<sup>(2)</sup>)

 $\rightarrow$  LO relaxation is excluded (LO)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

<200µeV blueshift up to 10P<sub>th</sub>

Large excitonic binding energy Large excitonic medium volume → Weak interactions

Measurement of  $g^{(1)}(x,-x,\omega)$  $\rightarrow$  Imaging Michelson interferometer

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

 ${\sim}10\%$  correlation build-up over  $10\mu m$  range

- Not limited by excitation spot

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Not limited by excitation spot

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

Another realization of disorder

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

What is the physics governing the measured correlation function  $g^{(1)}(x,-x,\omega)$  ?

Cf. Talk by Michiel Wouters this afternoon

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

(1) Gain+loss noise in 1D in the low interaction limit [3,4] + disorder

(2) Time-integrated motion in disorder+ decay

## Model for time-integrated 1D condensate motion in disorder: mean-field approach

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

[5] M. Wouters and I. Carusotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 140402 (2007)

## Model for time-integrated 1D condensate motion in disorder: mean-field approach

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Conclusion

• Generation of a transient quasi-excitonic 1D condensate

• **10µm correlation length** at threshold in spite of much heavier polaritons.

- Spatial phase correlation properties mostly determined by time-integrated propagation in disorder.
- Vanishing interactions at threshold.

#### **Perspective :**

Look for signature of gain/loss induced noise in the correlation decay

- Enter the steady-state 1D interacting regime

# Acknowledgments

# F. Médard

A. Trichet

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### S. Datta

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Gain mechanism

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Classical Monte-Carlo simulation to model scattering within the reservoir
- Free exciton scattering is excluded (P<sup>(1),</sup> P<sup>(2)</sup>)
- LO relaxation is excluded (LO)

# Quantum degeneracy of Bose gases

Criterion at thermodynamic equilibrium

Interparticle distance  $\langle d \rangle \approx \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi m kT}} = \Lambda_{dB}$ 

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Quantum degeneracy of Bose gases

Criterion at thermodynamic equilibrium

Interparticle distance  $\langle d \rangle \approx \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi m kT}} = \Lambda_{dB}$ 

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

Quantum degeneracy achieved for

- Low mass
- low temperature
- Large density

# Quantum degeneracy of Bose gases

• Driven-dissipative condensate (laser) in k=0

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

→ Quick summary
At or out-of-equilibrium,
mass always matters

Quantum degeneracy achieved for

- Low mass
- long lifetime
- Large density

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### $\rightarrow$ La position 🚖 est la source du condensat :

*Preuve* : en positionnant un petit spot dessus on genere toute la partie propagative À contrario si on place le spot sur la partie propagative on n'excite rien  $\rightarrow$  La direction de propagation n'est donc pas ambigue

#### $\rightarrow$ La propagation du condensat se fait à vitesse finie

*Preuve* : le comportement de l'inclinaision des franges sur l'image de phase: le délai entre les points z et -z vaux tau=(z-z0)/vg(z)-(-z-z0)/vg(-z) où z0 est le point d'autocorrelation. on observe des franges *spectrales* de periode infini en z0 (gradient de phase purement selon z), et de periode de plus en plus courte quand (z-z0) augmente (i.e. gradient de phase selon lambda augmente avec z-z0). On peut en déduire le DeltaVg entre paires de points (z-z0) et (-z-z0) du condensat

#### $\rightarrow$ L'impulsion k<sub>z</sub> du condensat est non-nulle mais pas nécessairement constante *Preuve* : 1- observation direct dans l'espace des kz.

2– observation directe par l'interferogramme. Par contre dans ce cas là on n'accède qu'à la difference de phase entre les points z-z0 et -z-z0, on peut donc rajouter n'importe quelle fonction (approximativement) paire F à la phase du condensat : i.e.  $phi(z)=k_z(z-z0)+F(z-z0)$ . Les résultats sont donc compatible avec l'hypothèse de la remontée d'un potentiel (i.e.  $k_z$  pas constant mais diminuant au cours de la propagation).