Physical mechanisms (Land-climate feedbacks & forcings; Local vs large-scale drivers)

Sonia I. Seneviratne

Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Switzerland <u>sonia.seneviratne@env.ethz.ch</u>

Acknowledgements: Edouard Davin, Martin Hirschi, Brigitte Müller, Boris Orlowsky, Rene Orth, Adriaan Teuling, Micah Wilhelm, Neville Nicholls, IPCC SREX authors



Introduction

Soil moisture-temperature feedbacks

Surface albedo-temperature interactions

Physical drivers of droughts

Precipitation extremes

Conclusions

Changes in extremes vs changes in mean





Changed Symmetry

Changes in extremes can occur:

- As a result of shifts in mean climate
- As a result of changes in variability or skewness of the distribution of climate variables



(IPCC SREX, 2012: http://ipcc-wg2.gov/SREX/)

Physical processes can affect both changes in mean climate as well as changes in variability and changes in skewness

What are physical processes that specifically affect extremes?

Introduction

Soil moisture-temperature feedbacks

Surface albedo-temperature interactions

Physical drivers of droughts

Precipitation extremes

Conclusions



Our body uses evaporation for cooling

Evaporation & temperature





Our body uses evaporation for cooling

→ Similar mechanism maintains cool temperatures on land surfaces!

Evapotranspiration uses large amounts of energy!



Soil moisture – temperature feedbacks



Evaporative fraction $EF = \lambda E/R_n$

SH

LW_{net} LH=λE

Dry soil

SW_

G



(Seneviratne et al. 2010, Earth Science Reviews)

SH

Sonia Seneviratne / IAC ETH Zurich

SH

SWnet

G

LW_{net} LH=λE

Wet soil

LW_{net} LH=λE

Transitional regime

SW.

G

Soil moisture variability found to be a main driver for temperature variability in Europe in model simulations for both present and future

2080-2099

1970-1989





temperature

⁷⁰ variability

80

10

- ⁵⁰ attributable to soil
- ⁴⁰₃₀ moisture variability
- ²⁰ (model estimate)

Up to **60%** of *summer temperature variability* is induced by soil moisture feedbacks:

- In the Mediterranean area in late 20th century climate
- In Central and Eastern Europe in late 21st century climate

Soil moisture – temperature feedbacks

Evaporative fraction $EF = \lambda E/R_n$



Sonia Seneviratne / IAC ETH Zurich

Δ Tmax [(2081-2100)-(1980-1999)] for 10th (left), 50th (middle), and 90th percentile (right), JJA



Stronger warming of hot extremes in mid-latitude regions: Soil moisture feedback

(Orlowsky and Seneviratne 2012, Climatic Change)

GLACE-CMIP5: Soil moisture feedbacks in projections

Experimental set-up

Impact of soil moisture-climate feedbacks on CMIP5 projections: First results from the GLACE-CMIP5 experiment

Sonia I. Seneviratne,¹ Micah Wilhelm,¹ Tanja Stanelle,¹ Bart van den Hurk,² Stefan Hagemann,³ Alexis Berg,^{4,5} Frederique Cheruy,⁶ Matthew E. Higgins,⁷ Arndt Meier,⁸ Victor Brovkin,³ Martin Claussen,³ Agnès Ducharne,⁹ Jean-Louis Dufresne,⁶ Kirsten L. Findell,⁴ Joséfine Ghattas,⁹ David M. Lawrence,⁷ Sergey Malyshev,¹⁰ Markku Rummukainen,⁸ and Benjamin Smith¹¹



Soil moisture (point in Central Europe)

GLACE-CMIP5 investigates the impact of **decadal changes in soil moisture** on climate

First stage: 5 CMIP5 models (CESM, EC-EARTH, GFDL, IPSL, MPI-ESM)

(Seneviratne et al. 2013, GRL)



Consistent sign in 4 out of 5 models

Large imposed anomalies: Consistent with regions projected to be affected by more droughts in CMIP3 and CMIP5

GLACE-CMIP5: Impacts on temperature projections

4

1

0

-1



Stronger impacts on Tmax than on daily mean temperature

Stronger impacts on temperature extremes (Tmax95)

Presence of non local effects (generally downwind)

∆Temperature [K], JJA



Clear scaling between Δ LH and Δ T (with different sensitivities for Tmean, Tmax, and Tmax95)

(Seneviratne et al. 2013, GRL)

Soil moisture – temperature feedbacks

Correlation NHD E-Int and preceding 3mn SPI CRU



Soil moisture – temperature feedbacks: Extremes

Analysis for Southeastern Europe

Quantile regression of %HD with 6month SPI



Impact of soil moisture on hot extremes

Conditional probability: **Higher** probability of occurrence with drier springs

0.1, 0.3, 0.7, 0.9 %HD quantiles

(Hirschi et al. 2011, Nature Geoscience)

Quantile regression of NHD E-Int and preceding 3mn SPI CRU



10th percentile regression slope



NHD: # hot days SPI: Standardized Precipitation Index

(Mueller and Seneviratne 2012, PNAS)

= -----

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

European analysis: High percentage of hot days found for combination of 1) dry springs and 2) anticyclonic summer weather regimes





(Quesada et al. 2012, Nature Climate Change)

Both dry springs and anticyclonic summer weather regimes are necessary but not sufficient conditions for the occurrence of hot extremes

AIR TEMPERATURE FORECAST SKILL (r² with land ICs minus r² w/o land ICs)



⁽GLACE-2 Experiment; Koster et al. 2010, GRL)

Role of boundary-layer development



(Miralles et al. 2014, Nature Geoscience)

- Drying of soil moisture prior to heat waves or during heat waves is a important factor contributing to hot temperature extremes
- Soil moisture regime explains projected asymmetric increase of temperature extremes in mid-latitude regions compared to that of mean global temperature
- Both anticyclonic circulation patterns and antecedent dry conditions are necessary conditions for the occurrence of hot days in Europe; also feedbacks with boundary layer are relevant

Introduction

Soil moisture-temperature feedbacks

Surface albedo-temperature interactions

Physical drivers of droughts

Precipitation extremes

Conclusions

The sensible heat flux can be affected either by changes in latent heat flux, or by changes in net radiation

Land energy balance



 $R_n \cong \lambda E + SH$

H: Heat storage (within considered layer)
R_n: Net radiation
λE: Latent heat flux (= latent heat of vaporization * E)
SH: Sensible heat flux
G: Ground heat flux

Radiation balance



| Surface | Conditions | α | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Clouds | not so thick/quite thick | 0.4/0.7 | | |
| Open water | zenith angle 30/60/85° | 0.05/0.1/0.6 | | |
| Grass land * | | 0.2-0.3 | | |
| Forest * | | 0.1-0.2 | | |
| lce | quite dirty/not so dirty | 0.25-0.35 | | |
| Snow | old/fresh | 0.45/0.85 | | |
| Global | with clouds | 0.3 | | |
| | surface | 0.15 | | |
| Source: Dingmann 1993; IPCC 2007; Corti & Peter 2009 * See more precise estimates on next slide | | | | |

| Land cover group | DJF (SC) | DJF (SF) | JJA (SF) |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Crops | 0.59 ± 0.07 | 0.15 ± 0.03 | 0.15 ± 0.02 |
| Grasses | 0.61 ± 0.07 | 0.19 ± 0.03 | 0.16 ± 0.02 |
| Evergreen trees | 0.22 ± 0.05 | 0.10 ± 0.02 | 0.09 ± 0.01 |
| Deciduous trees | 0.29 ± 0.04 | 0.12 ± 0.02 | 0.12 ± 0.02 |
| Bare soil | 0.59 ± 0.08 | 0.26 ± 0.07 | 0.26 ± 0.07 |

Table 2: MODIS seasonal mean shortwave broadband $(0.3 - 5 \mu m)$ directional hemispherical reflectance (black-sky albedo) in the northern temperate regions (30-60°N) for the five land cover groups

DJF: December-January-February; **JJA:** June-July-August **SC:** Snow covered **SF:** Snow free

(Boisier et al. 2013, Biogeosciences)

Strength of snow-albedo feedback depends on the background vegetation (forests can also warm the climate!)





Surface albedo can either be modified externally (forcing):

- Land cover changes (deforestation)
- Land use changes, changes in land management (e.g. agricultural practices: see later)
- White roofs, etc.

or through feedbacks:

- Changes in snow cover
- Changes in soil moisture (affect soil albedo, vegetation albedo)
- Plant phenology
- Vegetation dynamics

or through combination of both!

Snow/ice – albedo feedbacks

Strongest effect at limit of snow/ice cover



Δ Tmax [(2081-2100)-(1980-1999)] for 10th (left), 50th (middle), and 90th percentile (right), DJF



Stronger warming of cold extremes in high-latitude regions: Snow feedback

(Orlowsky and Seneviratne 2012, Climatic Change)

Changes in albedo induced by agricultural management





Differences in surface albedo from no-till farming



(Davin et al. 2014, PNAS)

Preferential cooling of warmer extremes from surface albedo forcing



(Davin et al. 2014, PNAS)

ETH

Changes in albedo induced by agricultural management



Overall effect of no-till farming (also including evaporation impacts):

Strong preferential cooling of hot extremes!

(Davin et al. 2014, PNAS)

- Changes in albedo (either as feedback or forcing) strongly impact temperature and also include non-linear effects
- Stronger warming of cold extremes in winter in many high latitude regions
- Stronger cooling of hot temperatures in mid-latitude summer when surface albedo is increased (possibly relevant for climate adaptation?)

Introduction

Soil moisture-temperature feedbacks

Surface albedo-temperature interactions

Physical drivers of droughts

Precipitation extremes

Conclusions



Fraction of interannual precipitation variance forced by SSTs



(Schubert et al., in prep.)

SST explains a large amount of the interannual precipitation variability in many regions, but:

- Some of the resulting effects may be due to the amplification of land-atmosphere feedbacks
- In many regions, SSTs do not provide any (or only little) forcing
- Some local/regional effects (not resolved by GCMs) are strongly affected by local/regional characteristics

1932-1938 Composite Precipitation



(Schubert et al. 2004, Science)

1932-1938 Composite Precipitation



US dust bowl: SST vs land-atmosphere effects on droughts



28.07.2014



Drought drivers

Impact of parameterization of potential evapotranspiration for trends in global droughts

- Red: Penman-Monteith (temperature, radiation, wind speed, relative humidity)
- Blue: Thornthwaite (temperature only)



(Sheffield et al. 2012, Nature)

Drivers of drought: Potential evapotranspiration



The drivers of potential evapotranspiration are themselves affected by feedbacks from the land surface!

(Seneviratne 2014, Nature News and Views)

Drivers of drought: Actual evapotranspiration



The interactions between soil moisture drought and evapotranspiration depend on various factors, e.g. land cover, land use, plant photosynthesis

(Seneviratne 2014, Nature News and Views)

Land cover impacts on evapotranspiration

During heatwave days in Central Europe (data mostly available after 2003), forests are found to evaporate less than grassland!

Behaviour under long-term drought may reverse



(Teuling et al. 2010, Nature Geoscience)

Conceptual model: Although grassland evaporates more in the short term, this can lead to a quicker drying! \rightarrow reversal of relationship after critical threshold



(Teuling et al. 2010, Nature Geoscience)

Impact of vegetation cover in 2003 heatwave: region with particularly strong temperature increase in France



Double cropping leads to drying in intercropping season: Effect seen in temperature



Through vegetation, the water and carbon cycles are interlinked

→ Leads to large number of feedbacks and interactions





Decreased ET (L*ET), i.e. enhanced sensible heat flux, leads to enhanced air temperature inside the elevated CO_2 plot (SoyFACE, Illinois)

(Long et al. 2006, Science)



Competing effects of temperature and CO₂ on grassland evapotranspiration (plot experiment)

(Morgan et al. 2011, Nature)

Plants-CO₂ effects: Impact on hydrological droughts

x: proportion of land under'drought' at the same timeF(x): cumulative density functions.

For JULES (including plant- CO_2 effect) the maximum land over under drought is 20% (0.2) and is reached under historical climate; for H08 maximum drought extent is ~ 55%

When JULES is run without CO_2 effect, the maximum land area under drought is 40%.



Fig. 3. Cumulative density functions (CDFs) of daily global deficit index (GDI) calculated over 30-y periods (1976-2005 for historical forcing and 2070–2099 for RCP forcings) for each multimodel ensemble member.

(Prudhomme et al. 2014, PNAS)



Preconditioning is important for many drought events

(Seneviratne 2014, Nature News and Views)



(Koster et al. 2010, Nature Geoscience)

Soil moisture anomaly at Rietholzbach catchment

Soil moisture (15 cm)





Soil moisture "memory"







Substantial skill from soil moisture alone

Using precipitation forecasts (ECMWF) and snow initialization further increases skill

(Orth and Seneviratne 2013, JGR)

Although large-scale drivers are important for droughts, local and regional effects play an essential part as well:

- Precipitation anomalies are affected by soil moisture feedbacks
- Evapotranspiration is controlled by several feedbacks with the land surface and by local and regional processes and forcings (plant physiology, land cover, land management, ...)
- Preconditioning (soil moisture, snow or groundwater anomalies) can strongly affect soil moisture and hydrological drought events

171

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Introduction

Soil moisture-temperature feedbacks

Surface albedo-temperature interactions

Physical drivers of droughts

Precipitation extremes

Conclusions

- Several studies suggest that soil moisture-precipitation feedbacks play an important role (e.g. Koster et al. 2004, Science)
- Studies document both positive and negative feedbacks (e.g. Findell et al. 2011, Nature Geoscience; Taylor et al. 2012, Nature). Effects include moisture recycling, changes in boundary layer stability and mesoscale circulation patterns.
- Possible confounding effects from precipitation persistence (e.g. Guillod et al., in press) and model dependency of results (Hohenegger et al. 2009, J. Climate) Cause problems for analyses
- Despite (or because of) uncertainties: Need to be better investigated, in particular for extremes

Soil moisture-precipitation feedbacks





⁽Koster et al. 2004, Science)

Soil moisture-precipitation feedbacks

Model simulations of Pakistan 2010 floods with (left) and without (right) evapotranspiration from land surface



Air masses spent 72 hours over land before raining out: Model suggests that 90% and 60% of rainfall over Pakistan was originating from land (reevaporation)

(Martius et al. 2013, Quart. J. Roy. Met. Soc)

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Impacts of changes in soil moisture under future climate

GLACE-CMIP5 experiment



Part of projected mean precipitation drying in mid-latitudes in future scenarios is due to soil moisture-precipitation feedbacks

Extreme precipitation: Leads overall to a decrease (counteracts Clausius-Clapeyron effect)

(Seneviratne et al. 2013, GRL)



Impact of irrigation on precipitation



Annual precip. from irrigation (mm)



(Wei et al. 2013, JHM; based on ET data from Wisser et al. 2010, HESS)

Large uncertainties, model dependency of results, but high relevance:

- Evaporation from land possibly major source of moisture for Pakistan floods
- Substantial impacts in future climate (due to land drying: counteracting Clausius-Clapeyron effect)
- Land management effects (e.g. irrigation) are relevant

Introduction

Soil moisture-temperature feedbacks

Surface albedo-temperature interactions

Physical drivers of droughts

Precipitation extremes

Conclusions

- Land-climate interactions play an important role in controlling extremes (hot extremes, droughts, heavy precipitation)
- Local/regional feedbacks include a range of poorly constrained processes (vegetation physiology, land cover and land use changes)
- The respective contributions of large-scale drivers and regional land feedbacks and forcings need to be considered jointly

Persistence of soil moisture



⁽R. Orth, ETH Zurich)