# Physical Aspects of IMRT

Samuel Tung, M.S. Sr. Medical Physicist UT MD Anderson Cancer Center



Making Cancer History®

# **3D/IMRT** Comparison



# IMRT Techniques

- Conventional Beam modifiers (wedge, partial blocks)
- Compensators LINAC, Proton therapy
- Computerized MLCs LINAC
- Binary MLCs PEACOCK, Tomotherapy
- Robot-Controlled Cyberknife
- Scanning Beams Proton therapy (IMPT)

# IMRT Delivery

• Step and Shoot



• Sliding Window



• VMAT



# IMRT Delivery: Step and Shoot



# IMRT Delivery: Sliding Window



# IMRT Delivery : VMAT



# Motivation?





# Benefits of Using IMRT

- Dose reductions to normal tissue
- Dose Escalation to target structures
- Improves target coverage of complex tumor shapes, e.g. tumor wraps around brainstem or spinal cord
- Ability to delivers different doses to different targets
- Ideal for reducing doses to critical structures



# IMRT Inverse Planning

- Optimization Process for Fixed Field IMRT
  - Beamlet Based Optimization
  - Direct Aperture Optimization (DAO)

## The Beamlet Model

 Before an IMRT optimization, each beam is defined and divided into a number of smaller beamlets (pencil beams), usually 5 mm x 5 mm



# The Beamlet Model

 The corresponding dose distributions from all beamlets are computed and added together.



## The Beamlet Model

 Beamlet weights are optimized to produce an optimized fluence map or matrix for each beam direction.



## The Beamlet Two-Steps Model

• Leaf Sequencing: From "ideal" fluence, the "deliverable" MLC patterns are generated map base on machine characteristics.



## The Beamlet Two-Steps Model

- The final "full" dose is calculated from all small beam segments (control points)
  - Requires a large number of segments in order to simulate the "ideal" map
  - Small field segments cause significant degradation in the plan quality
  - What you see from "ideal" fluence is "NOT" what you get from small fields

# NOMOS CORVUS Plan (2002)



# NOMOS CORVUS Plan (2002)



# IMRT Dosimetry - Small Fields



# Dose Modeling Problem



# Dose Modeling Problem

## IMRT – MLC dosimetric leaf gap

- Accounts for extra transmission through the rounded leaf edge
  - Modeled as an apparent gap between two closed straight edge leaves



# Dose Modeling Problem

# IMRT – MLC minimum dose dynamic leaf gap

Minimal tip to tip distance which needs to be maintained for any moving leaf pair in the dMLC mode





## The Beamlet Two-Steps Model

 1<sup>st</sup> Generation IMRT was adopted by nearly all TPS in1990:

- Corvus (NOMOS) Sliding Window
- Pinnacle (ADAC) Step and Shoot
- Eclipse (Varian) Sliding Window
- Plato (Nucletron)
- Xio (CMS)

## **Direct Aperture Optimization (DAO)**



#### **Direct Aperture Optimization (DAO)**

- Inverse planning technique where both the beam shapes and the beam weights are optimized at the same time
- All of the MLC delivery parameters are included in the optimization (DMPO)
- Number of beam segments and minimum MU per segment can be also predefined

# DAO via Simulated Annealing

- Pick a parameter (leaf position, aperture weight) randomly
- 2) Change the parameter by a random amount
- Calculate objective function based on the new dose distribution
- 4) Objective function lower: accept change
- Objective function higher: accept change with certain probability

## Prescription: 3 apertures per angle Begin with 3 identical copies



#### Pick an Parameter and Make a Change

Aperture 1 Leaf pair 6 Left leaf position Move leaf in 1 cm

#### Keep or Reject the Change

Based on:

MLC constraints.
 Cost function & Annealing Rules.

## MLC Constraints

#### Some sample Elekta constraints:

1) Opposed leaves cannot come closer than 1-cm from oneanother



2) Opposed-adjacent leaves cannot come closer than 1-cm from one-another



#### After numerous iterations...



Add them up along with their weights...

#### Final intensity map from DAO



#### Small number of apertures can produce large number of intensity levels

#### Example: 3 apertures/angle



#### Small number of apertures can produce large number of intensity levels

$$N_n = 2^n - 1$$

N = Number of intensity levels n = Number of apertures

For 3 apertures, 7 intensities For 4 apertures, 15 intensities For 5 apertures, 31 intensities For 6 apertures, 63 intensities

#### DAO - Benefits

- Highly conformal IMRT plans with only 3 to 5 apertures per beam.
- 2. MU efficient and efficient delivery
- Can be used for IMAT treatment planning.

-	IMRT Parameters				
Optimization		Conversion			
Max iterations			Stopping tolerance		1e-05
Convolution dose iteration	Ĭ10		Apply tumor overlap fra	action	
Beam	Optimization Type	Al B→■ Redo Spread	low jaw Use current ption jaws as max	Split if necessary	
000-040 Soft Palate	DMPO				
000-080 Soft Palate	None Beam Weight				
000-120 Soft Palate 000-160 Soft Palate	Intensity Modulation				
LAO SCV	None	-			
DMPO	Intensi	ty Modulation	SmartArc	U	Segment Weight
Maximum number of segme	ents [13	5	Minimum number of lea	af pairs	Ĭ2
Minimum segment area		cm <sup>2</sup>	Minimum leaf end sepa	aration	
Minimum segment MUs			Beam Splitting		
Compute final dose			Minimum overlap dista	nce	Ĭ2 cm
Use SVD for dose calculation 🛛 🔿 Yes 🧃		′es 🕒 No	Maximum overlap dista	ance	Ĭ4 cm

IMRT Parameters		Trial: Apprvd JP				
Beam Convert All Dose Engin	ie Status					
000-200 Soft P Convert CC Convolu	tion 🖃 Computed					
000-240 Soft P Convert CC Convolu	tion - Computed					
000–280 Soft P Convert CC Convolu	tion - Computed					
000–320 Soft P Convert CC Convolu	tion - Computed					
000–000 Soft P Convert CC Convolu	tion = Computed					
Filter Beams						
Beam 000-320 Soft Palate	Clin leaves					
Control Point MU Weight Locked	Fill in leaves					
○ 1 3.44676 ¥ 4.10 No	Max leaf motion					
C 2 2.02095 1 2.41 No	MLC Options	Beam's Eye View JRR for "000-320 Soft Palate" (CP 2)				
○ 3 7.59148 ¥ 9.04 No	Sort Control Points					
○ 4 4.83975 ¥ 5.76 No	Delete Current Control Point					
○ 5 5.53945 Ĭ 6.59 No	Beam MU/Fraction	Image: Non-Ample Compute ODM Difference				
0 6 11.2321 [13.37 No						
○ 7 12.7601 [15.19 No	Total control points for beam	13				
○ 8 6.46645 ¥ 7.70 No						

## Evaluating the Techniques

- Is it robust?
- Is it flexible?
- Is it fast?
- Do plans deliver efficiently?

# **DMPO Summary**

- Plan Quality
  - Total cost function \$\\$ 50\% => Better normal tissue protection with more uniform dose to all target volumes
- Treatment delivery
  - Total MU  $\downarrow$  40% => Less Tx time
  - Segments  $\downarrow 50\% =>$  Less down time

# VMAT / IMAT

# IMAT / VMAT Optimization

IMAT treatment planning represents a particular complex optimization problem.
The size of the problem
Dynamic motion
Motion limitation
The dose calculation time

#### First Generation IMAT 2000-2007

- Treatment plans were developed using forward planning or simple beam shaping based on the patient's anatomy.
- The dose rate was constant as the gantry rotated around the patient.

#### Next Generation IMAT 2008-

- Treatment plans with full <u>inverse planning</u>.
- The <u>dose rate varies</u> as the gantry rotates around the patient.

## IMAT Inverse Planning Solutions

- <u>Varian</u> → Eclipse RapidArc
- <u>Philips</u> → Pinnacle SmartArc
- <u>Elekta</u> → Monaco VMAT
- <u>Nucletron</u> → Oncentra MasterPlan VMAT
- <u>Siemens/Prowess</u> → Prowess Panther

#### Philips Pinnacle – SmartArc Planning Steps

- Add a dynamic arc beam
- Specify couch, collimator, and beam angles
- Specify dose objectives
- 4. Specify SmartArc optimization parameters
- 5. Optimize
- 6. Compute final convolution dose

#### SmartArc Optimization (1)

- Beams are generated at the start and the stop angles and at 24° increments from the start angle.
- A fluence map optimization is performed.
- The fluence maps are sequenced and filtered so that there are only 2 control points per initial beam angle.

#### SmartArc Optimization (2)

- These control points are distributed to adjacent gantry angles and additional control points are added to achieve the desired final gantry spacing.
- All control points are processed to comply with the motion constraints of VMAT.

#### SmartArc Optimization (3)

- The DMPO algorithm is applied with an aperture based optimization that takes into account all of the VMAT delivery constraints.
- 7. The jaws are conformed to the segments based on the characteristics of the linac.

# N and n Optimization: An Intermediate Case



# HN cases