Decadal predictability associated with the AMOC What are the climate impacts of the AMV?

Rym Msadek (CERFACS/CNRS and GFDL/NOAA)



<u>Collaborators:</u> Yohan Ruprich-Robert (Princeton U./GFDL), Tom Delworth (GFDL), Fred Castruccio (NCAR), Gokhan Danabasoglu (NCAR), Steve Yeager (NCAR)

> CLIVAR Workshop on Decadal Climate Variability and Predictability

ITCP- Trieste, November 16-20, 2015



Where should we expect decadal predictability?

Potential predictability variance fraction (Boer 2004)

CMIP3 models



Boer (2012) See also Ting et al. (2009), Terray (2012)

The North Atlantic, the North Pacific and the Southern Ocean regions are good candidates

Results from CMIP5 initialized decadal predictions

Doblas-Reyes et al. (2013)



See also Van Oldenborgh et al. (2011), Kim et al. (2012), Bellucci et al. (2015)

Skill associated with AMOC initialization

0.8

0.6

0.2

0.2 -0.4 -0.6

OHC 1986-1995 OHC 1996-2005 a) 75°N[50°N **_**_0.8 25°N-0° 80°W 0° 80°W

Observed warming of the North Atlantic SPG successfully predicted when **AMOC** is initialized



Predicted climate anomalies following the mid-90s shift



Msadek et al. (2014) See also Robson et al. (2013)

Climate impacts similar to those observed and simulated during a positive AMV (e.g. Sutton and Hodson 2005)

Predicted climate anomalies following the mid-90s shift



Msadek et al. (2014) See also Robson et al. (2013)

Climate impacts similar to those observed and simulated during a positive AMV (e.g. Sutton and Hodson 2005)

Can we predict some of the Pacific decadal variability if we know the AMV?

Chikamoto et al. (2012), Meehl and Teng (2012), Mochizuki et al. (2010)





Outline

What would be the global climate anomalies if coupled models were able to properly simulate the AMV?

I-Global description of the AMV impacts during summer and winter

2-Pacific response to the AMV

3-Atlantic atmospheric response to the AMV

4-Conclusion and discussion

Experimental design: proposed for DCPP component C

In a global coupled model, we restore the North Atlantic SST to the observed AMV pattern (1std)

Externally forced part of the AMV subtracted following Ting et al. (2009)

```
Restoring time scale= 100 W /m2/K
```

Ocean-atmosphere coupling allowed outside the Atlantic



AMV+ ensemble: daily North Atlantic SST
AMV- ensemble: daily North Atlantic SST
CTL ensemble: daily North Atlantic SST
AMV daily Climatology - AMV pattern

Two climate models

GFDL-CM2.1 (2° atm, 1° ocean) 100 members NCAR-CESM1(1° atm, 1° ocean) 30 members Response= AMV+ minus AMVaveraged over 10yr

Results- Global impacts during summer



Results- Global impacts during summer



Results- Global impacts during winter



Results- Global impacts during winter



What drives the PDO-like anomalies?

CM2.1 DJFM - T2m / sic

CM2.1 DJFM - Z500 / SF200



What drives the PDO-like anomalies?

CESM1 DJFM - T2m / sic

CESM1 DJFM - Z500 / SF200



Mechanism

CM2.1 Full AMV SST and UV @850mb

Enhanced Walker circulation drives a La-Niña like response

Consistent with McGregor et al. (2014), Kuscharski et al. (2015), Chikamoto et al. (2015), Li et al. (2015)



Do we need ocean-atmosphere coupling?

CM2.1 DJFM - T2m

CM2.1 DJFM - Z500 / SF200





Is the North Atlantic response "polluted" by teleconnections from the Pacific?

The North Atlantic response



The contribution of the SPG and TROP are opposite

The North Atlantic response



The PDO teleconnection over the North Atlantic projects onto a response that tends to weakens the direct response to SPG SST

The North Atlantic response



Mechanism of the North Atlantic response

DJFM CM2.1



Rossby wave train that originates in the tropics. Barotropic response

Mechanism of the North Atlantic response

DJFM CM2.1

Changes in storm track activity in FULL AMV CM2.1

var[Z500' (2-8 days)]



Southward shift of the North Atlantic storm tracks

Signal to noise ratio

CM2.1 DJFM S2N

CESM1 DJFM S2N



About 20% of PDO/PNA variance explained by AMV in these experiments Few land areas above 10% (nothing over Europe)

Conclusion

The AMV drives global impacts in temperature, precipitation and sea level pressure that are overall similar between the GFDL and NCAR models.

Over the Pacific, the observed AMV pattern drives a negative IPV-like response. The tropical Atlantic is the main driver of this teleconnection, with a mechanism involving changes in the Walker circulation consistent with *McGregor et al. (2014), Kucharski et al. (2015), Li et al. (2015). The anomalies are reinforced by extratropical coupling.*

The Atlantic warming yields an increased frequency of La Niña-like events and IPV-like events: possible modulation of the Pacific response by the AMV

The North Atlantic response to a positive AMV projects onto a shifted negative NAO and is mainly driven by the SPG, which is consistent with *Gastineau et al. (2012), Gastineau and Frankignoul (2015), Peings and Magnusdottir (2014, 2015), Omrani et al. (2014).*

The North Atlantic response is weakened by a teleconnection between the tropical Atlantic and the North Pacific. Might partly explain why it is hard to detect the signal in observations.

=> Tropical and extratropical anomalies must coincide to give a significant modulation of the NAO

Perspectives and challenges

Are these climatic impacts due to the AMOC? The AMOC has a weak SST signature in the tropical Atlantic: is it a model deficiency or a reality?

The tropical Atlantic appears to be key in the Pacific teleconnection: its bad representation in coupled models is problematic for decadal predictions

Weak signal to noise ratio and few impacts over land particularly over Europe. Similar results at high resolution. Too much noise?

Limitation of the protocol: drift in the North Atlantic because we use a constant restoring coefficient and we restore only to temperature. Ok for fixed AMV pattern but not for pacemaker experiments. => Need to restore SST and SSS and use a variable restoring coefficient (See Christophe Cassou's talk on Friday).

We have only investigated the Atlantic influence here. The Pacific has also a strong influence on the Atlantic. How do the two-way teleconnections add up and which ones are predictable?

Mechanism

CESM1 Full_AMV - SST / UV@850

Modulation of ENSO

High-resolution response

CM2.1 JJAS

FLOR JJAS

High-resolution response

CM2.1 DJFM FLOR DJFM 90N 90N 60N 60N 30N 30N 0 30S 30S 60S 60S T2m T2m 90S 90S 300W 270W 240W 210W 180 150W 120W 90W 300W 270W 240W 210W 180 150W 120W 90W 60W 30W 30E 60E 60W 30W 0 30E 60E 0

-0.42 -0.3 -0.18 -0.06 0.06 0.18 0.3 0.42 K

Sum of tropics and SPG contribution

Sum of tropics and SPG contribution

Why does it matter for DCPP component C?

Monthly values for the AMO index, 1856 -2013

In the pacemaker experiments, we need to impose a given sign for the AMV for more than 10 years.

The Atlantic subsurface drift can be communicated to other ocean basins

Why is there a drift?

Restoring SST only does not give consistent T/S relationship We are not allowing temperature feedbacks We favor weak AMOC states because it is easier to constrain a shallow mixed layer