Separate Universe Simulations

arXiv:1409.6294 and arXiv:1503.03487

Christian Wagner

with Fabian Schmidt, Chi-Ting Chiang, Titouan Lazeyras and Eiichiro Komatsu

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



Outline

- Motivation
- Implementation
- Simulations
- Applications
 - Squeezed-limit N-point functions
 - Local halo bias
- Summary

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



Motivation

- In cosmology one basic question is how some quantity/observable responds to a change in the large-scale matter density, δ_{ρ} .
 - Most prominent example is the bias of tracers (e.g. galaxies) of the underlying matter density field:

$$N_{g}(\delta_{\rho}) = N_{g,0} \left(1 + b_{1}\delta_{\rho} + \frac{b_{2}}{2}\delta_{\rho}^{2} + \dots \right)$$

 Other examples are the flux in Lyman-α, the matter power spectrum, halo profiles, number density of voids, ...

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



Motivation

- Such a question is usually addressed by indirect approaches:
 - One could consider subvolumes of cosmological simulations. As the mean overdensity in the subvolumes varies, one could measure the desired quantity as a function of δ_{ρ} . **Problems:**
 - all other quantities also vary from subvolume to subvolume
 => a lot of noise!
 - results depend on the size of the subvolumes / smoothing scale
 - Bias parameters of tracers can be measured by clustering statistics like the power spectrum and higher N-point functions.

Problems:

- one needs large volumes to get statistically accurate results
- only b₁ can be measured easily





Motivation

- The separate universe picture allows for an efficient simulation of the effect of a large-scale overdensity
 - The evolution inside a spherical uniform overdensity embedded in a (flat) FRW background is exactly equivalent to that inside a separate (curved) universe (Lemaitre 1933).
 - In order to simulate the separate universe with standard N-body codes, we need to find the mapping

$$\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, H_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_\rho} \widetilde{\Omega}_m, \widetilde{\Omega}_\Lambda, \widetilde{H}_0$$

So far this was only worked out for small δ_{ρ} (McDonald 2003, Sirko 2005, Li et al. 2014)

- Advantages:
 - The random realization of the initial density fluctuations can be kept the same
 - => sample variance cancels to a large extent
 - $\delta_{
 ho}$ can be large and chosen by hand

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



Implementation

The overdensity is absorbed in the modified background density: $\rho(t)(1+\delta_{\rho}(t)) = \tilde{\rho}(t)$

$$=> \frac{\Omega_m H_0^2}{a^3(t)} \left(1 + \delta_\rho(t)\right) = \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_m \widetilde{H}_0^2}{\widetilde{a}^3(t)}$$

- Matching the scale factors at early times, for which $\delta_{\rho} \rightarrow 0$, we get: $\Omega_m H_0^2 = \tilde{\Omega}_m \tilde{H}_0^2$
- Using the Friedmann equations and the continuity equations, we can derive

$$\tilde{K} = \frac{5}{3} \Omega_m H_0^2 \frac{\delta_{\rho}(t_i)}{a(t_i)} = \frac{5}{3} \Omega_m H_0^2 \frac{\delta_L(t_0)}{D(t_0)}$$





Implementation

The mapping of the cosmological parameters defined with respect to $\tilde{a} = 1$ and a = 1, respectively:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{H}_{0} &= H_{0}(1 + \delta_{H_{0}}) \\ \widetilde{\Omega}_{m} &= \Omega_{m}(1 + \delta_{H_{0}})^{-2} \\ \widetilde{\Omega}_{\Lambda} &= \Omega_{\Lambda}(1 + \delta_{H_{0}})^{-2} \end{split} \qquad 1 + \delta_{H_{0}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{5}{3}\Omega_{m}} \frac{\delta_{L}(t_{0})}{D(t_{0})} \\ \widetilde{\Omega}_{\Lambda} &= \Omega_{\Lambda}(1 + \delta_{H_{0}})^{-2} \end{split}$$

As the physical densities $\Omega_m H_0^2$ and $\Omega_b H_0^2$ as well as the spectral index n_s and the initial amplitude A_s remain unchanged, the initial power spectrum is the same in both cosmologies.





Implementation

Output scale factors

As $\tilde{a}(t) \neq a(t)$, the same physical time corresponds to different scale factors.

We define the difference as

$$\widetilde{a}(t) = \left[1 + \delta_a(t)\right] a(t)$$

Due to mass conservation

 $1 + \delta_{\rho}(t) = \left[1 + \delta_a(t)\right]^{-3}$

Comoving coordinates

 $x = \left[1 + \delta_a(t)\right] \widetilde{x}$

 Reference background density

 $\rho(t) (1 + \delta_{\rho}(t)) = \tilde{\rho}(t)$

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



Simulations

- We simulate 16 realizations of a $\sim (500 \text{ Mpc/h})^3$ box with
 - the fiducial cosmology: flat $\Lambda \text{CDM } \Omega_{\text{m}} = 0.27$, $H_0 = 70 \text{ km/s Mpc}^{-1}$, $\sigma_8 = 0.8$, $n_s = 0.95$
 - 22 separate universe cosmologies with $\delta_{L}(t_0) = \pm (0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.07, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7, 1)$
 - => $\Omega_{\rm m}$ ranges from 0.17 to 0.66
 - Ω_{Λ} ranges from 0.46 to 1.79
 - \tilde{H}_0 ranges from 45 to 88 km/s Mpc⁻¹
- We output snapshots at redshifts in the fiducial cosmology: z = 0 and z = 2

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



The nth-order response functions of the power spectrum $1 = d^n P(k, t | \delta)$

$$R_n(k,t) = \frac{1}{P(k,t)} \frac{d^n P(k,t \mid \delta_L)}{d\delta_L^n} \bigg|_{\delta_L = 0}$$

k

q₁

 \boldsymbol{q}_n

are equivalent to the angle-averaged squeezed-limit n+2-point functions

$$R_n(k) = \lim_{q_i \to 0} \frac{\int \frac{d^2 \hat{q}_i}{4\pi} \langle \delta(k) \delta(k) \delta(k) \delta(q_1) \cdots \delta(q_n) \rangle_c}{P(k) P_l(q_1) \cdots P_l(q_n)}$$

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



- The nth-order **full** response $R_n(k,t) = \frac{1}{P(k,t)} \frac{d^n P(k,t | \delta_L)}{d\delta_L^n} \Big|_{\delta_L=0}$
 - 1) Growth-only effect: $G_n(k,t) = \frac{1}{P(k,t)} \frac{d^n P(k,t \mid \delta_L)}{d\delta_L^n} \Big|_k$
 - 2) Dilation effect:
 - 3) Reference density effect:2) and 3) together:

$$P(k) = (1 + \delta_a)^3 \tilde{P}([1 + \delta_a]k)$$
$$P(k) = (1 + \delta_\rho)^2 \tilde{P}(k)$$
$$P(k) = (1 + \delta_\rho) \tilde{P}([1 + \delta_a]k)$$

Expansion in
$$\delta_L$$
: $\delta_a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \delta_L^n$ and $\delta_\rho = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \delta_L^n$

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



How do we get the nth-order response?

Power spectrum measured using the comoving scales and the reference density of the respective cosmology



Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



The nth-order growth-only response functions of the power spectrum

$$G_n(k,t) = \frac{1}{P(k,t)} \frac{d^n P(k,t \mid \delta_L)}{d\delta_L^n} \bigg|_k$$



The nth-order growth-only response functions of the power spectrum

$$G_n(k,t) = \frac{1}{P(k,t)} \frac{d^n P(k,t \mid \delta_L)}{d\delta_L^n} \bigg|_k$$





- The nth-order **full** response $R_n(k,t) = \frac{1}{P(k,t)} \frac{d^n P(k,t | \delta_L)}{d\delta_L^n} \Big|_{\delta_L=0}$
 - 1) Growth-only effect: $G_n(k,t) = \frac{1}{P(k,t)} \frac{d^n P(k,t \mid \delta_L)}{d\delta_L^n} \Big|_k$
 - 2) Dilation effect:
 - 3) Reference density effect:2) and 3) together:

$$P(k) = (1 + \delta_a)^3 \tilde{P}([1 + \delta_a]k)$$
$$P(k) = (1 + \delta_\rho)^2 \tilde{P}(k)$$
$$P(k) = (1 + \delta_\rho) \tilde{P}([1 + \delta_a]k)$$

Expansion in
$$\delta_{L}$$
: $\delta_{a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{n} \delta_{L}^{n}$ and $\delta_{\rho} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \delta_{L}^{n}$

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



 The 1st-order full response functions of the power spectrum

$$R_1(k) = f_1 + e_1 \frac{kP'(k)}{P(k)} + G_1(k)$$





The 3rd-order **full** response functions of the power spectrum



Comparison to **rescaled-initial-amplitude** simulations



Application II Local halo bias

Count the number of halos per mass bin in each simulation $N_h(M \mid \delta_{\rho})$

Compute the fractional difference to the fiducial cosmology $\delta_{N_h}(M \mid \delta_{\rho}) = \frac{N_h(M \mid \delta_{\rho}) - N_{h,0}(M)}{N_{h,0}(M)}$

Fit a polynomial in δ_{ρ} to the measurements

$$\delta_{N_h} = b_1 \delta_{\rho} + \frac{b_2}{2} \delta_{\rho}^2 + \frac{b_3}{3!} \delta_{\rho}^3 + \cdots$$

Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik



Application II Local halo bias



Application II Local halo bias



Summary

- Separate universe picture is intuitive
- It singles out the effect of a uniform overdensity
- It is straightforward to implement in N-body simulations (and basically in any cosmological structure formation technique)
- Allows for efficient and precise computation of
 - the angle-averaged squeezed-limit N-point functions
 - the local halo bias parameters
- Easy estimation of cosmic variance effects
- Does not include effects of the tidal field or density gradients



...