# Tuning Your Radio to Axions and Their WISP Cousins

 **Andrei Lobanov MPIfR Bonn / University of Hamburg**





- Standard Model: *SU*(3)×*SU*(2)×*U*(1) gives us (nearly) all things we may need in life.
- □, The beauty and clearness of the dynamical theory, [...], is at present  *obscured by two clouds […]" (Lord Kelvin, 1900) … still true today?*
	- gravitation and dark energy
	- ...plus some "lesser evils" such as dark matter, strong CP problem, etc...
- $\Box$  Most of the solutions proposed invoke a "hidden sector" of the global parameter space, weakly coupled to "normal matter" of the SM through weakly interacting massive (WIMP) or slim (WISP) particles.







- WISP, and *axions* and *hidden photons* in particular, are strong dark matter candidates. Direct detection of WISP or putting bounds on their properties are important tasks for cosmology and particle physics.
- $\Box$  A number of experimental methods have been employed, both for laboratory and astrophysical searches – all relying on WISP interaction (coupling, kinetic mixing) with ordinary matter (most often: photons).
- □ Radio (24 MHz—2.4 THz): excellent sensitivity to WISP signal and access to DM/DE relevant particle mass ranges (0.1µev – 10meV)



# Current Limits: Axions

Max-Planck-Institut Mür<sup>\</sup> Radioastronomie



 $-2$  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \gamma + inv.$ Vacuum Birefringence **Read Dung**  $-4$  $-6$ SW1987  $LSW (ALPS-I)$  $-8$  $\operatorname{Log}_{10} g$  [GeV  $^1\!1$ Solar v Helioscopes (CAST)  $HB$ Telescopes  $-10$  $SN \gamma$ -burst Transparence **IAXO**  $x_{\rm ion}$ **LEADER AND**  $-12$ **BBN** cooling hint axion CDM **CMB**  $-14$ **ALP CDM ADMX-HF EBL ADMX**  $-16$  $-18$  $-8$  $-2$ 10  $-12$  $-10$  $-6$  $\bf{0}$  $\overline{2}$ 8 4 6 -4

 $Log_{10}$   $m_a$  [eV]





**MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT** 

### Direct DM Searches



 $\Box$  Dark Matter: sits in a halo, can be virialized with a velocity dispersion similar to the galactic velocity dispersion ( $\sigma_{\alpha} \sim 300$  km/s).

□ Axion DM: axion-photon conversion: expect a line with width of  $\Delta v/v \sim (\sigma_{\rm q}/c)^2 \sim 10^{-6}$ 





 $t_{mes}$ , SNR – measurement time and SNR;  $T_n$  – noise temperature;  $V_0$ ,  $Q_0$  – cavity volume and quality factors;  $B_0$  – magnetic field strength;  $\mathcal{G}_{\phi/\gamma}$  – form factor;  $\rho_0$  – DM density;  $Q_{\phi/\gamma}$  – quality factor of DM signal;  $m_{\phi/\gamma}$  – particle mass



- $\Box$  Resonant measurements have a bandwidth Δ $\nu/\nu \sim 1/Q \sim 10^{-5}$ , hence one needs to tune a cavity and make a large number of measurements in order to scan over a broad range of particle mass.
- Search range:  $\Delta v/v \sim 10^5$ , which requires ~  $10^{10}$  measurement steps.
- Alternatives: use multiple resonant modes (requiring fewer tuning steps) or avoid using the resonance at all.

### ADMX cavity tuned by an assembly of two tuning rods



# WISP Dark Matter eXperiment

### Direct WISP dark matter searches in the  $0.8-2.0 \mu\text{eV}$  mass range

Sebastian Baum<sup>1</sup>, Babette Döbrich<sup>2</sup>, Dieter Horns<sup>1</sup>, Joerg Jaeckel<sup>3</sup>, Reinhard Keller<sup>4</sup>, Denis Kostin<sup>2</sup>, Michael Kramer<sup>4</sup>, Axel Lindner<sup>2</sup>, Andrei Lobanov<sup>4,1</sup>, Wolf-Dietrich Möller<sup>2</sup>, Javier Redondo<sup>5,6</sup>, Andreas Ringwald<sup>2</sup>, Jacek Sekutowicz<sup>2</sup>, Alexey Sulimov<sup>2</sup>, Dieter Trines<sup>2</sup>, Alexander Westphal<sup>2</sup>

Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany  $\pm$  ${}^{2}Deutsches$  Elektronen Synchrotron DESY, Notkestrasse 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany <sup>3</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 16, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany <sup>4</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany  ${}^{5}Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität$ , Theresienstr. 37, D-80333 München, Germany <sup>6</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 München, Germany



# WISPDMX Overview



- □ WISP Dark Matter eXperiment (WISPDMX) is a pioneering search for hidden photon and axion dark matter in the 0.8-2.0 µeV range, exploring the particle masses below the mass range covered by ADMX.
- WISPDMX utilizes a HERA 208-MHz resonant cavity and a 40 dB amplifier chain, and plans to make use of a strong magnet (e.g. 1.15 T H1 magnet).
- $\Box$  Uses multiple resonant modes in the 200-600 MHz range.
- □ Completed Phase 1: hidden photon searches at nominal resonances of the cavity.
- □ Currently in Phase 2: HP searches with cavity tuning
- □ Phase 3: ALP searches



1 – 208 MHz HERA cavity; 2 – cavity ports; 3 – antenna probes; 4 – WantCom 22 dB amplifier; 5 – MITEQ 18 dB amplifier; 6 – network analyzer (HP 85047A); 7 -- control computer, with onboard digitizer (Alazar ATS-9360, 1.8Gs/s)



### *A. Lobanov* Accessible Resonant Modes

**MAX-PLANC** 

**□ Five resonant**  modes identified which have non zero form factors for hidden photon measurements.

□ Outside resonance:  $G_f \approx 0.0018$  hence measurem ents in the entire spectral range could also be used for constraining  $\chi$ .





Max-Planck-Institut  $\lambda$ für $\lambda$ Radioastronomie

*A. Lobanov*

**MAX-PLANC** 





- No HP signal detected. Gaussian distribution of measured power around rms; no daily modulation; no significant RFI signals.
- Limits, assuming  $\rho_0 = 0.39$  GeV/cm<sup>3</sup> and  $Q_{\phi/\gamma} = 2.2 \cdot 10^6$ :





# HP Exclusion Limits



 $\Box$  Exclusion limits from WISPDMX Phase 1 measurements: evaluating the broadband signal. □ Further improvements (factor  $\sim$ 10<sup>2</sup>) will come from stronger

 amplification, improving the frequency resolution, optimizing the antenna probes and cooling the apparatus.





# WISPDMX: Phase 2









- Tuning plunger assembly: one plunger ready, second being manufactured
- CST simulations of plunger assembly consisting of two plungers.
- $\Box$  The assembly should provide effective coverage of up to 56% of the 200-500 MHz range (up 70% with additional vacuum-pump tuning)
- It will also improve form factors of several modes
- Optimal antenna location is on the plunger frame







**MAX-PLANC** 

### □ WISPDMX: expected HP dark matter exclusion limits from tuned cavity measurements.



Log<sub>10</sub>  $m_{\gamma}$ [eV]



□ WISPDMX: expected ALP exclusion limits from measurements with tuned cavity combined with the solenoid magnet from H1 detector (1.15 Tesla)



 $Log<sub>10</sub> m<sub>a</sub>$  [eV]





- $\Box$  Scanning over a large mass range?
- $\Box$  Trying to get to lower particle masses?  $\rightarrow$

### **Need to decide between going**

**narrow**

**or wide broad**





 $\Box$  Tn~1K, B~5T, V~100 l, G~1.0

 $\Box$  Tn~100K, B~5T, V~10 m<sup>3</sup>, G~0.01

*A. Lobanov* Max-Planck-Institut Need for Broadband Searches

 $\Box$  Intrinsic measurement band  $W_{meas} \sim 10^{-5}$ ν limits severely the integration time and frequency scanning rate of microwave cavity searches

WISPDMX scanning speed for axions

$$
\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{f}{Q} \frac{1}{t} \sim \frac{30 \text{ MHz}}{\text{year}} \left(\frac{4}{\text{SNR}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3 \text{ K}}{T_n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{g}{10^{-15}/\text{GeV}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{V}{460 \ell}\right)^2 \left(\frac{B_0}{1.15 \text{ T}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\phi}}{0.5}\right)^2
$$

$$
\times \left(\frac{208 \text{ MHz}}{f}\right)^2 \left(\frac{Q}{2.7 \times 10^4}\right) \left(\frac{10^6}{Q_{\phi}}\right) \left(\frac{\rho_0}{0.3 \text{ GeV}/\text{cm}^3}\right)^2.
$$

and hidden photons

$$
\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{1}{N_{\rm rep}} \frac{f}{Q} \frac{1}{t} \sim \frac{135 \text{ MHz}}{\text{year}} \left(\frac{3}{N_{\rm rep}}\right) \left(\frac{4}{\text{SNR}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{300 \text{ K}}{T_n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\chi}{10^{-14}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{V}{460 \ell}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\gamma'}}{0.5 \times 0.25}\right)^2
$$

$$
\times \left(\frac{208 \text{ MHz}}{f}\right)^2 \left(\frac{Q}{2.7 \times 10^4}\right) \left(\frac{10^6}{Q_{\gamma'}}\right) \left(\frac{\rho_0}{0.3 \text{ GeV/cm}^3}\right)^2, \tag{2.19}
$$

Want to have an experiment without resonant enhancement required.



### Detection Limits



**O** SNR of detection: SNR =  $\frac{P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{noise}}}$  $P_{\text{noise}}$  $W t =$ <u>Pout</u>  $\kappa_B T_n$  $\frac{t}{W}$ , *W* – signal bandwidth,  $T_{\rm n}$  – system noise temperature. **□** Since  $P_{\text{out}} \propto V B^2$  and *W* is set by velocity dispersion of the dark matter, improving the detection SNR can be achieved by: – increasing measurement time, *t*; *... expensive* – reducing the system noise, *T*n; *... reaching quantum limit* – increasing the magnetic field strength, *B*; *... destructive ;-)* – increasing the volume, *V*. *... with TOKAMAKs? spherical reflectors? or dedicated radiometry chambers?*



# Spherical Reflectors



- $\Box$  Employing spherical reflectors enhance (focus) the near field EM signal from the reflector surface which arises due to its interaction with WISP dark matter (Horns et al. 2013). Promising for masses above 10 μeV.
- Suzuki+ 2015, first results. Pilot study at DESY/Karslruhe (Döbrich et al.)









- $\Box$  Large chamber volume (>10 m<sup>3</sup>), strong and stable magnetic field
- Tore Supra: initial measurements shown Q~100 and strong RFI at ν<1 GHz.
- **Q** Wendelstein (W7-X): stellarator may fare better, with  $Q \sim 100$  ( $v/1GHz$ )<sup>-1</sup> and double shielding of the plasma vessel – but complicated B-field.



W7-X: magnetic coils and plasma vessel





# Critical Issues



- □ Background and RFI noise: need to understand the background and reduce it as far as possible. Measurements made at Tore Supra have shown that RFI may be a serious impeding factor and shielding my be required
- $\Box$  Maximizing the effective volume: the receiving element may need to be specially designed so as to maximize the volume coverage. Use of a fractal antenna printed on a dielectric plate and located on the perimeter of the main radius of the torus may provide a viable solution





field



# Radiometry Chambers?



- $\Box$  "Squashing the cauliflower" and going to Q=1 with a detection chamber "coated" on the inside with fractal antennas.
- $\Box$  Should get a decent bandpass over a broad range of frequencies.
- Should get the sensitivity of the total inner surface area by adding (correlating) signals from individual fractal antenna elements.
- The correlation should also provide full  $4\pi$  directional sensitivity of measurement.









- **T** Time resolution of  $\sim$ 3 ns (L<sub>xvz</sub>/m).
- $\Box$  Both time and spectral resolution (~10 Hz) are achieveable with exitsing radioastronomy detector backends
- $\Box$  Coherent addition of signal effective Q ~ number of detector elements.
- Coherent addition of signal full directional sensitivity
- $\Box$  Possible prototype: cylindrical chamber, with fractal antenna elements at both ends of the cylinder.









□ WISP detection relies on low energy experiments; experiments in the radio regime are particularly promising

 WISPDMX: First direct WISP dark matter searches in the 0.8-2.0 μeV range: completing measurements at nominal resonances (Phase 1).

### $\Box$  Next steps:.

- WISPDMX: Definitive searches for hidden photon (Phase 2) and ALP (Phase 3) dark matter in the 0.8-2.0 μeV range.
- Further design and implementation of broad-band approaches to WISP searches over the  $10^{-2}$ –10<sup>-7</sup> eV mass range.

 $\Box$  This is an emerging field of study that has a great scientific potential.